DEDICATION

(II) And Nao ni said Turn again my daughters, why will ye go with me? (12)... go your way (14) And they lifted up their voice, and wept again, and Orpah kissed her mother-in-law, but Ruth clave unto her (15) And she said, Behold thy sister-in-law is gone back unto her people, and unto her gods; return thou after thy sister-in-law. (16) And Ruth said, Intreat me not to leave them; or to return from following after thee, for whither thou goest, I will go, and where thou lodgess, I will lodge; thy people shall be my people, and thy God my God (27) Where thou diest, will I die, and there will be buried the LORD do so to me, and more also, if ought but

death part thre and me."

I know how, when we used to read the Birle together, you would be affected by the sweetness and pathos of this passage. While you will be glad to read it again you will, I am sure, ask me what made me recall it in this connection. I wonder if you remember the occasion when we fell into discussion about the value of Ruth's statement "Thy people shall be my people, and thy God my God." I have a clear memory of it and can well recall our difference of opinion you maintained that its value lay in giving expression to the true seniuments appropriate to a perfect wife. I put forth the view that the passage and a sociological value and its true interpretation was the one given by Prof. Smith, namely, that it helped to distinguish modern society from ancient society. Ruth's statement "Thy people shall be my people and thy God my God" defined ancient society by its most dominant characteristic namely that it was a society of man plus God while modern society is a society of men only (pray remember that in men I include women also). My view was not then acceptable to you But you were interested enough to urge me to write a book on this theme I promised to do so. For as an oriental I belong to a society which is still ancient and in which God is a much more important member than man is. The part of the conversation which is important to me at this stage is the promise I then made to dedicate the book to you if I sudceeded in writing one. Prof Smith's interpretation had opened a new vista before me and I had every hope of carrying out my intention. The chances of developing the theme in a book form are now very ramote. you know, I am drawn in the vortex of politics which leaves no time for literary pursuits. I do not know when I shall be out of it. feeling of fallure to fulfil my promise has haunted me ever since the war started. Equally distressing was the fear that you might pass away as a war casualty and not be there to receive it if I were to have time to complete u. But the unexpected has happened. There you are, out of the throes of death. Here is a book ready awaiting dedication. This happy conjunction of two such events has suggested to me the idea that rather than postpone it indefinitely I might redeem my word, by dedicating this book which I have succeeded in bringing to completion. Though different in theme it is not an unworthy substitute, Will you accept it?

To. F.
In Thy Presence is the Fulness of Joy.

What Congress and Gandhi Have Done To The Untouchables

B. R. AMBEDKAR

"It may be your interest to be our masters, but how can it be ours to be your slaves?"—Thucydides.

Rs. \$0.00

THACKER & CO., LTD.

BOMBAY

FIRST PUBLISHED JUNE, 1945

SET AND PRINTED IN INDIA BY
C. MURPHY FOR THACKER & COMPANY, LIMITED, AT
THACKER'S PRESS, ESPLANDE ROAD, BOMBAY,
AND PUBLISHED BY C. MURPHY FOR
THACKER & CO., LTD., RAMPART ROW, BOMBAY.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | | | | Page |
|---------------------|------------------|---------|--------|-------|-----|------|
| Preface | ••• | • | | •• | | 1 |
| Chapier I — A Stran | ge Event | | | | | |
| Congress Takes Co | gnizance of the | Untouc | hables | | *** | 1 |
| Chapter II.—A Shab | as Saum | | | | | |
| Congress Abandon | | ** | , | • | • | 19 |
| CHAPTER III.—A ME | AM DEAL | | | | | |
| Congress Refuses | to Part with Po | wer | | *** | *** | 40 |
| CHAPTER IV.—An As | eject Surrend | ER | | | | |
| Congress Beats an | Inglorious Reti | reat | • | •• | *** | 100 |
| CHAPTER VA POLI | tigal Charity | | | | | |
| Congress Plan to I | Kill by Kındness | , | *** | ** | ••• | 123 |
| CHAPTER VIA FAI | SE CLAIM | | | | | |
| Does Congress Re | present All? | •• | ** | | • | 141 |
| CHAPTER VII-A FAI | | | | | | |
| Are Uniouchables | Tools of the Br | itish t | • | • | • • | 160 |
| CHAPTED VIII.—THE | REAL ISSUE | | | | | |
| What the Untouch | ables Want | • | •• | •• | • | 174 |
| CHAPTER IX.—A PLE | | | | | | |
| Let not Tyranny H | lave Freedom to | Enslav | ie | • | *** | 189 |
| CHAPTER X.—WHAT | | | es Say | ? | | |
| Beware of Mr. Ga | ındhı! | •• | • • | • • • | ٠ | 226 |
| CHAPTER XIGANDI | | | | | | |
| The Doom of the | Untouchables | *** | *** | *** | *** | 257 |
| Ambrimage | | ••• | •• | ** | *** | 279 |

APPENDIX

| ł. | Pandit Motilal Nehru, Secreatary of the Indian National Congress over the former's resignation from the Congress Committee, appointed in 1922 to draw up a Scheme for the Uplift of the Untouchables |
|--------------|--|
| II. | Subplementary Memorandum submitted to the Second Session of the Round Table Conference on behalf of the Untouchables in 1931. |
| III | The Minorities Pact submitted to the Minorities Committee of the Round Table Conference in 1931 |
| IV | Statement on Mr. Gandhi's Threat to Fast Unto Death against the Prime Minister's Award granting Separte Electorates to the Untouchables. |
| V, | Temple Entry in Travancore State |
| VI. | Pronouncements by or on behalf of His Majesty's Government relating to the position of the Untouchables in any Scheme for the Constitution of India |
| VII | Montagu-Cheimsford Report and the Simom Com- mission on the injustice caused by weightage to Muslims |
| VIII. | Cripps Proposals of 1942 |
| IX | Objections to Cripps Proposals |
| X. | Correspondence between Lord Wavell and Mr. Gandhi in July 1944 |
| XI. | Resolutions passed by the Working Committeee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation at its Meeting held in Madras on 23rd Sepetember 1944 |
| XII. IIII | Population by Minorities in British India. Population by Minorities in Indian States |
| αv. | Particulars of Scheduled Castes Constituencies in regard to seats and voting strength Province by Province |
| XV. (VI | Particulars regarding elections to seats reseved for Scheduled Castes Province by Province |
| 7 A T | The Wavell Plan |

PREFACE

"In 1892, there took place in England a new election to Parhament, in which the Conservatives neaded by Lord Salisbury lost and the Liberals headed by Mr. Gladstone won The remarkable thing about this election was that notwithstanding the defeat of his party at the polls. Lord Salisbury-contrary to Parliamentary convention—refused to surrender his office to the leader of the Liberal Party. When Parliament assembled, the Queen delivered the usual gracious speech from the throne containing the lagislative programme of Lord Salisbury's Government and the usual address to Her Majesty was moved from the Government side. Lord Salisbury's Government was an illegimate Government challenge to the fundamental principal of the British Constitution. which recognised Parliamentary Majority as the only title deed for a Party's right to form a Government. The Liberals took up the challenge and tabled an amendment to the address. The amendment sought to condemn Lord Salisbury's Government for its insistence on continuing in office, notwithstanding the fact that it had no majority behind it. The task of moving the amendment was entrusted to the late Lord (then Mr) Asquith. In his speech in support of the amendment, Mr. Asquirh used the now famous phrase-"cousu finita est: Rom. locuta est." (Rome has spoke and the dispute must end). The phrase was originally used by St. Augustine but in a different context. It was used in the course of a religious controversy and had come to be used as a foundation for Papal Sovereignty. Mt. Asquith used it as a political maxim embodying the basic principle of Parliamentary Democracy. Today it is accepted as the fundamental principle on which Popular Government rests, namely, the Right of a Political Majorty to Rule It told instantaneously against Salisbury's Government snd must tell against all parties who fail at the polls wherever Parliamentry Democracy is in operation.

I was reminded of this maxim when the results of the Elections to the Provincial Legislatures in India, which took place in February 1937 under the Government of India Act, 1935, were announced Congressmen did not actually say "Causa finita est. India locata est." But so far as the parties, which had opposed the Congress in the Elections, were concerned, that is what the results of the Elections seemed to proclaim. Having let the Untouchables against the Congress for full five years in the Round Table Conference and in the Joint Parliamentary Committee, I could not pietend to be unaffected by the results of the Elections. To me the question was: Had the Untouchables gone over to the

Congress? Such a thing was to me unimaginable. For, I could not believe that the Uniouchables—apart from a few agents of the Congress who are always tempted by the Congress gold to play the part of the traitor—could think of going over to the Congress enmasse forgetting how Mr. Gandhi and the Congress opposed, inch by inch up to the very last moment, every one of their demands for political safeguards. I had therefore decided to study the Returns of the election that took place in 1937.

While I was convinced that such a study was of great necessity from the point of view of the Untouchables, the work proceeded at a snail's pace This was due to three cause had to be kept aside for some time to give precedence to other literary projects, the urgency of which demanded a degree of priority which it was not possible to refuse. Secondly, the Blue Book on the Election Results of 1937, which was submitted to Parliament soon after the elections had taken place and which is the primary source for figures regarding the elections, proved inadequate and insufficient for my purpose. It does not give separately figures showing how the Scheduled Castes electors voted and how many votes the Scheduled Caste candidates got. It gives figures showing how electors in different constituencies voted, without making any distinction between Hindu voters and the Scheduled Castes voters Circular letters had therefore to be issued to the various Provincial Governments requesting them to send me the figures showing distribution of voting by Scheduled Caste eletors and the number of votes secured by each Scheduled Caste candidate. This inevitable delayed the work. Thirdly, the examination of these election returns proved a very laborious task as the statistical tables given in the Appendices to this book will show.

The work thus lingered on. I regret very much this delay, For I know how much mischief has been done by the Congress during the interval. The Congress has advertised the election results to bolster up its claim to represent the Untouchables. The main point in the advertisement is that out of 151 seats assigned to the Scheduled Castes the Independent Labour Party which was organized by me got only 12 seats and the rest of the seats were captured by the Congress. This mess is served out from the Congress kitchen as conclusive proof to show that the Congress represents the Untouchables. This false propaganda seems to have gone home in some quarters. Even a man like Mr. H. N Brailsford has reproduced in his Subject India' this absurd Congress version, without any attempt at verification and with apparent acceptance of its truth. I am sure that the results of the elections as set out in this book will hit the nail squarely on the head of this false propaganda. For, the

Congress version of the results of the election is an utter perversion As a maiter of fact the results of 1937 Election conclusively disprove the Congress claim to represent the Untouchables. Far from supporting the Congress version, the result of the Election show. (1) that our of 151 the Congress got only 73 seats: (2) that the Untouchables in almost every constituency fought against the Congress by putting up their own candidates; (3) that the majority of 73 seals won by the Congress were won with the help of Hindu votes and they do not therefore in any way represent the Scheduled Castes; and (4) that of 151 seats those won by the Congress in the real sense i.e., with the majority of votes of the Scheduled Castes, were only 38 As to the Independent Labour Party it was started in 1937 just a few months before the elections. It functioned only in the Province of Bombay There was no time to organize branches in other Provinces. Elections on the ticket of the Independent Labour Party were fought only in the Province of Bombay and there the Independent Labour Party far from being a failure obtained an astonishing degree of success. Out of the 15 seats assigned to the Scheduled Castes in Bombay Presidency it captured 13 and in addition it won 2 general seats. I am therefore glad that at long last I have succeeded in completing the work which proves beyond the shadow of doubt that the story that the Congress caputred all the seats reserved for the Scheduled Castes and that the Independent Labour Party was a failure, is a wicked lie. I trust that the book will prove interesting and instructive for all those who are interested in the subject and who desire to know the truth.

Before closing this preface, I wish to express my gratitude to those from whom I have received assistance in one form or another. I am grateful to the Provincial Governments for the troubles they have taken in responding to my circular and sending me additional facts and figures which I had called for. My thanks are also due to Mr. Karan Singh Kane, BA., M.L.A., at one time, Parliamentary Secretary in the U. P. Congress Government, for the help he has rendered in the most laborious task of preparing the tables."

The reader who reads the above preface and compares it with the table of contents will at once find that the book deals with topics which he far outside its boundary. The curious may like to know how the foregoing part of the preface is related to the table of contents. The explanation hes in the fact that the book in its present final form is quite different from what it was in its original form. In its original form it covered in very brief compass matter now dealt with on a vastly bigger scale in Chapters IV, V, VI, VII and IX and the statistical appearance of the preface and compares it with the content of the preface in the preface in the content of the preface in the content of the preface in the preface is related to the table of contents.

dices. The foregoing part of the pullace belonged to the book in its original form. That is why I have put it in inveited commas. The curious may also like to know why the final form of the book came to be so different from the original. The explanation is quite simple. The proofs of the book in its original form were seen by a lifend and co-worker. He was dissatisfied with the scope of the book and insisted that it is not enough deal with election results to expose the Congress claim to represent the Untouchables. I must do more. I must expose the efforts of the Congress and Mr. Gandhi to improve the lot of the Untouchables for the information of the Untouchables and also of the foreigners whom the Congress had decuded into accepting its side by misrepresentation of facts. Besides the difficulties ausing out of the fact that the book was already in proof form, this was a tall order and appeared to be beyond me having regard to other claims on my time He would not however, give way and I had therefore to accept his plan. The original work which would have been about 75 pages in print had to be completely recast and enlarged. The book in the present form is a complete transformation. It records the deeds of the Congress and Mr. Gandhl from 1917 to date in so far as they touch the problem of the Untouchables Much is written about the Congress, far more about Mr. Gandhi. But no one has so far told the story of what they have done about the Untouchables Everyone knows that Mr. Gandhi values more his reputation as the saviour of the Untouchables than his reputation as the champion of Swaraj or as the protagonist of Ahimsa. At the Round Table Conference he claimed to be the sole champion of the Untouchables and was not even prepared to share the konou, with anyone else I remember what a scene he created when his claim was contested. Mr. Gandhi does not merely claim for himself the championship of the Untouchables. He claims similar championship for the Congress. The Congress, he says, is fully pledged to redress the wrongs done to the Untouchables and argues that any attempt to give political, safeguards to the Untouchables is unnecessary and harmful. It is therefore a great pity that no detailed study of those claims by Mr Gandhi and the Congress has been undertaken so far.

With the Hindus who have been blind devotees of Mr Gandhi this study, although it is the first of its kind, will not find favour indeed it is sure to provoke their wrath. How can it be otherwise when the conclusion arrived at is "Beware of Mr. Gandhi"? Looking at it from a wider point of view, there is no reason for the Hindus to be enraged about it. The Untouchables are not the only community in India which thinks of Mr. Gandhi in these terms. The same view of Mr. Gandhi is entertained by the Muslims, the Sikhs and the Indian Christians. As a

matter of fact the H ndus should cognate over the question and ask why no community tests Mr Gandai although he las been saying that acis the friend of the Muslims, Sikhs and the Sebeduled Casies and what is the reason for this distrust? In my judgment, there cannot be a greater tragedy for a leader to be distrusted by everybody as Mi Gandhi is today however certain that this is not how the Hindus will react. usual, they will denounce the book and call me names the proverb says. 'The caravan must pass on, though the dogs bark." In the same way, I must do my duty, no matter what my adversaries may have to say For as Voltaire observed. Who writes the history of his own time must expect to be attacked for everything to has said, and for everything he has not said, but these little drawbacks should not discourage a man who loves truth and literty, expects nothing, fears nothing, asks nothing and limits his ambition to the cultivation of letters "

The book has become bulky It may be said that it suffers by reason of ever-elaboration and even by repetition. I am aware of this But I have writen the book especially for the Untouchables and for the foreigners. On behalf of neither could I presume knowledge of the relevant facts. For the particular audience I have in view, it is necessary for me to state both facts as well as arguments and pay no regard to the artistic sense or the fastidious taste of a cultivated and informed class of readers.

As it is my intention to make the book a complete compendium of information regarding the movement of the Untouchables for political safeguards. I have added several appendices other than those of statistical character. They contain relevant documents both official and non-official which have a bearing upon the movement. Those who are interested in the problem of the Untouchables will, I believe, be glad to have this information ready at hand. The general reader may complain that the material in the Appendices is much too much. Here again, I must state that the Untouchables are not likely to get the information which to the general reader may be easily accessible. The test adopted is the need of the Untouchables and not of the general reader.

One last word. The reader will find that I have used quite promiscuously in the course of this book a variety of nomenclature such as Depressed Classes, Scheduled Castes, Harijans and Servile Classes to designate the Untouchables I am aware that this is likely to cause confusion especially for those who are not familiar with conditions in India Nothing could have pleased me better than to have used one uniform nomenclature. The

fault is not altogether mine. All these names have been used officially and unofficially at one time or other for the Uniouchables. The term under the Government of India Act is 'Scheduled Castes' But that came into use after 1935 Before that they were called 'Harijans' by Mr. Gandhi and Depressed Classes' by Government. In a flowing situation like that it is not possible to fix upon one name, which may be correct designation at one stage and incorrect at another. The reader will overcome all difficulties if he will remember that these terms are synonyms and represent the same class.

I am grateful to Professor Manohar Chitnis and also to Mr. S. C. Joshi for help in correcting the proofs.

B R. AMBEDRAR

Ą

24th June 1945. 22, Prithviraj Road, New Delhi.

CHAPTER I

A STRANGE EVENT

Congress Takes Cognizance of the Untouchables

ſ

In the annual session of the Indian National Congress held a Calcutta in the year 1917 a strange event took place. In tha session the Congress passed the following resolution:—

"This Congress urges upon the people of India the necessity, justice and lighteousness of removing all disabilities imposed by custom upon the Depressed Classes, the disabilities being of a most vexatious and oppressive character, subjecting those classes to considerable hardship and inconvenience."

The President of the session was Mrs. Annie Besant The reso lution was moved by Mr. G A. Natesan of Madras and wa supported by Mr. Bhulabhai Desai from Bombay, by Mr. Ram. Iyer from Malabar and by Mr. Asaf Ali from Delhi. In movin the resolution, Mr. Natesan said:—

"Ladies and Gentlemen,-This question has been receiving great attention for years in other platforms, but in view of the unique character of this Congress, the Subject Committee thought it necessary, after having framed a scheme of selfgovernment for India, that we should complete that by asking us to prepare ourselves for the task of self-government first great duty is to see that all mequalities and injustices are removed. You will see that this resolution specially asks you to remove disabilities of a most vexatious and oppressive character. Without injuring your religious feelings, without giving up all that is best in your religious tradition, I think the Congress has a right to ask of you and of me and of others else-where that such absurd restrictions as the non-admission of these people to schools should be removed. The Congress has also a claim upon all human beings to see that in some portions of the country where these people are refused even the use of common well, these restrictions should disappear In attempting to elevate ourselves and in trying to remove these galling restrictions we are but elevating Indian manhood; and when Responsible Self-Government is to be given to as we shall be in a position to say that Indians of all classes, of all creeds, have the fullest rights, the commonest social rights,

Co gress Takes Cognizance of the Untouchables

have free access to all schools, to all institutions so that Indian manhood may develop in all its truest, best and noblest traditions?

fr. Bhulabhai Desai in supporting the resolution pointed or int:-

"The disabilities under which some of our brethien suffer are a great blow to the equality and brotherhood of man that we preach. From the great height of the resolution that you have passed this morning, with what face will we approach the British Democracy or any other power if we are unable to uplift our own brethern? They will say What lies in your own power, the obliteration of the social degradation of a section of your own people, you have been unable to do!' We can do it by self-help and by self-help alone and in this matter we need not approach any other power but ourselves. proves the necessity of the great forward step that this Congress has taken in allowing this resolution to be moved before you. The existence of this great bane is an insult to the name of Hinduism Therefore, both on the ground of necessity and on the ground of justice, as well as on the ground of righteousnes, for the truth that you cherish, how can you deny them what this resolution demands when the justice lies in your own hands? And if you fail to do that, with what justice, with what face, will you demand Self-Government?"

Ar. Rama Iyer said:-

"This resolution calls for social freedom by which we shall shatter the shackles that bind the lower classes. They are the foot of the nation and if you and I would climb the hill of Home Rule, we must first shatter the shackles on our feet and then, and then only, will Home Rule come to us. You cannot be political democrats and at the same time social autocrats. Remember that a man, a social slave, cannot be politically a free man. We all have come here to see the vision of United India, not only politically united but united all along the line. Therefore, let those of us, who are Brahmins, who belong to the higher castes, go to our villages and shatter the shackles of the low castes, people who are struggling against our own man—the social bureaucrats of our own land."

Mr Asaf Alı observed that .-

"The problem of the Depressed Classes was one of the most difficult of all. They had been crying shame upon the arbitrary and autocratic action of the bureaucratic bunglers, but now it was the turn of the Depressed Classes—the Untouch-

ables-to cover them, Indians, with shame. There were many millions of these victims of misfortupe who had been playing their degraded trades in utter muteness for thousands of years. never emerging from the abyss of degradation into which the cruel and utterly unjustified customs of the country had hurled them. Whe her it was the spring-time of hope, or the summer of realisation to other, to these unfortunate creatures it was always the winter of biask despair. It seemed a cruel arony of fate that those who were vociferously clamouring for the attainment or preservation of human lights themselves were so little mindful of the legitimate rights of others under was it just or fail that a mule section of humanity should be left to suffer the very wrongs for whose redress others were shedding their blood in the battlefield? Why. even the 'Untouchables' in spite of all that cruel custom had subjected them to, were human beings and children of the soil: in whose veins coursed the self-same 'red blood' as in the yeins of those who arrogated superiority to themselves. The Deppressed classes were entitled to the same privileges as their betters in wordly circumstances and could not be debarred from the birthright of man. It was standing reproach to the Indians that they had any Depressed Classes at all, and it was for the extinction of this icoroach that they prayed."

Many people would wonder why I describe the passing of the Resolution by the Congress moved and supported in such eloquent terms, as a strange event. But those who know the antecedents will admit that it is not an improper description. It was strange for many reasons.

In the first place, the President of the Session was the late Mrs. Annie Besant She was a well-known public figure and had many things for which she will be remembered by the future historian of India. She was the founder of the Theosophical Society which has its Home at Adyai. Mrs Annie Besant was well-known for reasing up Mr. Krishnamurti, the son of a Brahmin retired Registrar for a future Massiah. Mrs. Annie Besant was known as the founder of the Home Rule League. There may be other things for which friends of Mrs. Annie Be ant may claim for her a place of honour But I lo not know that she was ever a friend of the Untouchables. So for as I know she felt great antipathy towards the Untouchables. Expressing her opinion on the question whether the children of the Untouchables should or should not be admitted to the common school, Mrs Annie Besant in an article headed 'The Uplift of the Depressed Classes', which appeared in the Indian Review for February 1909 said ;-"In every nation we find, as the basis of the social Pyramid,

Congress Takes Cognizance of the Untouchables

a large class of people, ignorant, degraded, unclean in language and habits, people, who perform many tasks which are necessary for Society, but who are despised and neglected by the very Society to whose needs they manister. In England, this class is called the 'submerged tenth,' forming, as it does, one-tenth of the total population. It is ever on the verge of starvation, and the least extra pressure sends it over the edge. It suffers chronically from under-nutition, and is a prey to the diseases which spring therefrom. It is prolific, like all creatures in whom the nervous system is of a low type, but its children die off rapidly ill-nourished, lickety, often malformed. Its better type consists of unskilled labourers, who perform the loughest work, scavengers sweepers, navvies, casual docklabourers, costermongers, and into it, forming its worse type, drift the wastiels of Society the drunkards, the loafers, the coarsely dissolute, the trainps, the vagabonds, the clumsily criminal, the ruffiaus. The first type is, as a rule, honest and industrious, the second ought to be under continued control, and forced to labour sufficiently to earn its bread. In India, this class forms one-sixth of the total population, and goes by the generic name of the Depressed Classes.' It springs from the aboriginal inhabitants of the country, conquered and enslaved by the Aiyan invaders. It is drunken and utterly indifferent to cleanliness, whether of food, person or dwelling; but marriage is accompained with some slight formality, children are kindly treated, and there is very little brutality, violence or criminality Criminal communities, such as hereditary thieves, live apart, and do not mingle with the scavengers, sweepers, husbandmen and the followers of other simple chafts who make up huge bulk of the depressed. They are gentle, docile, as a rule industrious pathetically submissive, merry enough when not in actual want, with a bright though generally very limited intelligence; of truth and the civic virtues they are for the most part utterly devoid-how should they be anything else ?-but they are affectionate, grateful for the slightest kindness, and with much 'natural religion.' In fact, they offer good material for simple and useful though humble civic life.

What can be done for them by those who feel the barbarity of the treatment meted out to them, by those who feel that the Indians who demand freedom should show respect to others, and give to others a share of the consideration they claim for themselves?

"Here, as everywhere education is the levea by which way hope to raise them, but a difficulty arises at the outset

for one class of the community, moved by a noble feeling of compassion and benevolence, but not adding thereto a cateful and detailed consideration of the conditions, demands, for the children of the pariah community admission to the schools frequented by the sons of the higher classes, and charges with lack of brotherhood those who are not in favour of this policy. It becomes, therefore, necessary to ask whether brotherhood is to mean levelling down, and whether it is usual in family to treat the elder children and the babies in exactly the same way If is a zeal not according to knowledge—and not according to nature-which would substitute equality for brotherhood, and demand from the cultured and refined that they should forfest the hardly won fruits of the education of generations, in order to create an artificial equality, as disastrous to the progress of the future as it would be useless for the improvement of the present. The children of the depressed classes need, first of all, to be taught cleanliness, outside decency of behaviour, and the earliest rudiments of education, religion and morality. Their bodies, at present, are ill-odorous and foul with the liquor and strong-smelling food out of which for generations they have been built up; it will need some generations of purer food and living to make their bodies fit to sit in the close neighbourhood of a school-room with children who have received bodies from an ancestry trained in habits of exquisite personal cleanliness, and fed on pure fccd-stuffs. We have to raise the Depressed Classes to a similar level of physical purity, not to drag down the clean to the level of the dirty, and until this is done, close association is undesirable. We are not blaming these children, nor their parents, for being what they are; we are stating a mere palpable fact. The first daily lesson in a school for these children should be a bath, and the putting on of a clean cloth, and the second should be a meal of clean wholesome food; those primary needs cannot be supplied in a school intended for children who take their daily bath in the early morning and who come to school well-fed

"Another difficulty that faces teachers of these children are the contagious diseases that are bred from first, to take one examply, eye-disease, wholly due to neglect, is one of the most common and 'catching' complaints among them. In our Panchama schools in Madras, the teachers are ever on the alert to detect and check this, and the children's eyes are daily washed and disease is thus prevented. But is it to be expected that fathers and mothers, whose daily care protects their children from such dirty diseases should deliberately expose them at school to this infection?

Nor are the manner and habits of these forlorn little ones desirable things to be imitated by gently-nurtured children. Good manners, for instance, are the result of continual and rigid self-control, and of consideration for the comfort and convenience of others, children learn manners chiefly by imitation from well-bred parents and teachers and, secondarily, by suitable precept and reproof. If, at the school, they are to be made to associate with children not thus trained, they will quickly fall into the ways which they see around them For. until good hadits are rendered fixed by long practice, it is far easier to be slipshod than accurate, to be careless than careful Ought the children of families in which good manners and courtesy are hereditary, to be robbed of their heritage, a robbery that enriches on one, but drags the whole nation down? Gentle speech, well-modulated voice, pleasant ways. these are the valuable results of long culture, and to let them be swamped out is on true brotherhood.

"In England, it has never been regarded as desireable to educate boys or girls of all classes side by side, and such grotesque equalising of the unequal would be scoted. Eton and Harrow are admittedly the schools for the higher classes; Rubgy and Winchester are also schools for gentlemen's sons, though somewhat less aristocratic Then come a number of schools, frequented chiefly by sons of the provincial middle class. Then the Board Schools, where the sons of artisans and the general manual labour classes are taught; and below all these, for waifs and strays, are the 'ragged schools,' the name of which indicates the type of their scholars, and the numerous charitable institutions. A man in England who proposed that ragged school-children should be admitted to Eton and Harrow would not be argued with but laughed at. Here, when a similar proposition is made in the name of brotherhood, people seem ashamed to point out frankly its absurdity, and they do not realise that the proposal is merely a violent reaction against the cruel wrongs which have been inflicted on the Depressed Classes, the outery of an awakened conscience, which has not yet had time to call right reason to guide its emotions. It is sometimes said that Government schools pay no attention to social differences; therein they show that they are essentilly 'foreign' in their spirit. They would not deal so with the sons of their own people, though they may be careless of the sons of Indians, and lump them all together, clean and dirty alike. It is very easy to see the differences of 'tone' in the youths when only the sons of the cultured classes are admitted to a school, and it is to the

interest of the Indians that hey should send their sons where they are guarded from coarse influences as Englishmen guard their own sons in England."

The second reason why one is justified in describing the passing of this resolution as a strange event lies in the fact that it was entirely opposed to the declared policy of the Congress. In these days when the "Constructive Programme" of the Congress is hawked from every street and at all times when the Congress is resting after an active campaign of non-co-operation and civil disobedience, this statement may well cause surprise to present day Congressmen and their friends. The following extracts from the addresses of the Presidents who presided at the Annual Sessions of the Congress will suffice to bring home the fact that the Congress policy was to give no place to questions of Social Reform in the aims and object of the Congress.

To being with, Mr. Dadabhai Naoroji who presided at the Second Session of the Indian National Congress held in Calcutta in the year 1886. In this presidential address he referred to the Congress attitude towards Social Reform and said:—

"It has been asserted that this Congress ought to take up questions of social reform (Cheers and cries of 'Yes, Yes') and our failure to do this has been urged as a reproach against us Certainly no member of this National Congress is more alive to the necessity of social reform than I am; but, Gentlemen, for everything there are proper times, proper circumstances, proper parties and proper places (Cheers), we are met together as a political body to represent to our rules our political aspirations, not to discuss social reforms, and if you blame us for ignoring these, you should equally blame the House of Commons for not discussing the abstruser problems of mathematics or metaphysics. But, besides this, there are here Hindus of every caste, amongst whom, even in the same province, customs and social arrangements differ widely-there are Mahomedans and Christians of various denominations, Parsis, Sikhs, Brahmos and what not-men indeed of each and of all those numerous classes which constitute in the aggregate the people of India (Loud Cheers). How can this gathering of all classes discuss the social reforms needed in each individual class? Only the members of that class can effectively deal with the reforms therein needed. A National Congress must confine itself to questions in which the entire nation has a direct participation, and it

Congress Takes Cognizance of the Untouchables

must leave the adjustment of social reforms and other class questions to Class Congresses "

The subject was again referred to by the Hon Mr. Budrudd yabji who presided over the Third Annual Session of the Congress held in 1887. Mr. Tyabji observed:—

It has been urged-solemnly urged-as an objection against our proceedings—that this Congress does not discuss the question of Social Reforms I must confess that the objection seems to me strange, seeing that this Congress is composed of the representatives, not of any one class or community, not of one part of India, but of all the differdent parts, and of all the different classes, and of all the different communities of India. Whereas any question of Social Reform must of necessity affect some particular part of some particular community of India only and, therefore, Gentlemen, it seems to me, that although we, Mussalmans, have our own social problems to solve, just as our Hindu and Parsi friends have theirs, yet these questions can be best dealt with by the leaders of the particular communities to which they relate (Applause) I, therefore, think, Gentlemen, that the only wise and, indeed. the only possible course we can adopt is to confine our discussions to such quetions as affect the whole of India at large, and to abstain form the discussion of question that affect a particular part or a particular community only"

The third occasion when the subject was referred to was 1 092, when, Mr W C Bannerjee in his Presidential address to Eighth Session of the Congress gave expression to the ollowing sentiments—

"Some of our critics have been busy in telling us, thinking they knew our affairs better than we know then ourselves, that we ought not to meddle with political matters, but leaving politics aside devote ourselves to social subjects and so improve the social system of our country, I am one of those who have very little faith in the public discussion of social matters those are things which I think, ought to be left to the individuals of a community who belong to the same social organisation to do what they can for its improvement We know how excited people became when social subjects are discussed in public. Not long ago we had an instance of this when what was called the Age of Consent Bill was introduced into the Viceregal Legislative Council. I do not propose to say one word as to the merits of the controversy that arose over that measure, but I allude to it illustrate how apt the public mind

is to get ag taed over these social matters if they are discussed in a hostile and unfriendly spirit in public. I may point out that we do not all understand in the same sense wa hat is meant by socil reform Some of us are anxious that our daughter should have the same education as our sons, that they should go to Universities, that they should adopt learned professions: others who are more timid would be content with secong that their childern are not given in marriage when very young, and that child widows should not remain widows all the days of their lives Others more timed still would allow social problems to solve themselves the Congress commenced and has since remained, and will, I sincerely trust, always remain as a purely political organization devoting its energies to political matters and political matters only I am afraid that those whether belonging to our own country or to any other contry, who find fault with us for not making socil subject a part of our work, cherish a secret wish that we might all be set by the cars, as we are all set by the ears by the Age of Consent Bill, and that thus we might come to an ignominious end. They mean us no good and when we find critics of that description talking of the Congress as only fit to discuss social problems, I think the wider the birth we give them, the better "

"I, for one, have no patience with those who say we shell not be fit for political reform until we reform our socil system I fail to see any conection between the two Let me take, for instance, one of the political reform which we have been suggesting year after year viz, the separtion of judicial from executive functions in the same officer What possible connection can there be between this, which is a purely political reform and socil reform? In the same way, take the Permanent Settlement which we have been advocating, the amendment on the law relating to forests and other such measures; - and I ask agian, what have these to do with social reform? are we not fit for them because our widows remain unmarried and our girls are given in marriage earlier the, in other countries? beacuse our wives and daughters do not drive about with us visiting our friend? beacuse we do not send our daughter to Oxford or Cambridge? (Cheers)"

The last occasion when a Congress President is found to fer to this subject was in 1895 when the Congress Session as held in Poona and was presided over by Mr Surendranth annergee. Touching upon the subject, in his presidential address r Bannergee said.—

"We cannot afford to have a schism in our camp Already

they tell us that it is a Hindu Congress, although the presence of our Mahomedan friends completely contradicts the statement. Let it not be said that this is the Congress of one social party rather than that of another It is the Congress of United India, of Hindus and Mahomedans, of Christians, of Parsis and of Sikhs, of those who would reform their social customs and those who would not Her we stand upon a common platform—her we have all agreed to bury our social and religious differences and recognise the one common fact that being subjects of the same Sovereign and living under the same Government and the same political institutions, we have common rights and common grievances And we have called forth this Congress into existence with a view to safeguard and extend our rights and redress our grievances. What should we say of a Faculty of Doctors who fell out, because though in perfect accord as to the principals of their scince, they could not agree as to the age at which they should marry their daughter, or whether they should remarry their widowed daughters or not Outs is a political and not a social movement, and it cannot be made a matter of complaint against us that we are not a social organization any more then it can be urged against any of my lawyer friends that they are not doctors Even in regard to political matters, such is our respect for the opinions of minorities, that so far back as 1887, I think it was at the instance of Mr. Budruddin Tyapii, who once was our Persident and whose elevation to the Bench of the Bombay High Court is a matter of national congratulation a resolution was passed to the effect that where there is practical unanimity among a class, though in a minority in the Congress that a question should not be discussed, it should forthwith be abandoned "

"There is special danger to which an organization such as ours is exposed and which must be guarded against, the danger of their being developed from within the seeds of dissension and dispute."

\mathbf{II}

There are two questions about these statements which need planation. First is to know what the Social Reform party as to which the President refer. The second is why Mr. Sure indranath Bannerjee's address to the Congress in 1895 wanted last occasion when a Congress President found it necessare refer to the relation of the Congress to the problem of social eform and why no president after 1895 thaught it necessare dwell on it.

To undererstand the first question it is necessary to note that when the Indian National Congress was founded at Bombay in 1885, it was felt by the leaders of the movement that the National movement should not be exclusively political but that side by side with the consideration of political question, questions affecting Indian social economy should also be discussed and that the best endeavours of all should be put forth for vitalizing Hindu Society by removing all social evils and social With this view, Dewan Bahadur R Raghunath Rao and Mr Justice (then Rao Bahadur) M G. Ranade delivered addresses on Social Reform on the occasion of the meeting of the First Congress at Bombay In 1886. at nothing further was done Discussion, however, was going on among the leaders of the Congress movment and other leaders of educated Indian thought whether the Congress as such should concern itself with social questins or whether a separate body should be set up for the discussion of social questions. It was at last resolved after mature deliberation by, among others, Dewan Bahadur R Raghunath Rao, Mr Mahadev Govind Ranade, Mr. Narendra Nath Sen and Mr Jankinath Ghosal, that a separate organization called the Indian National Social Conference, should be staited for the consideration of subject relating to Indian social economy Madias had the honour of being the birth-place of the Conference, for, the First Indian National Social Conference was held at Madras in December 1887. with no less a man that the late Rajah Sir T Madhavrao, k cs.i., the premier Indian statesman of his time, as the President work done at this First Conference, however, was not much Among other important resolutions members then present recognized the necessity of holding annual National Conferences in different parts of India for considering and adopting measures necessary for the improvement of the status of our society, and of our social usages; and taking steps to organize and establish Provincial Sub-Committees of the Conferences. It was agreed that among social subjects which the Conference might take up, those relating to the disabilities attendant on distant sea-voyages, the rumous expenses of marriage, the limitations of age below which marriages should not take place, the remarriages of youthful widows, the evils of the re-marriages of old man with young girls, the forms and evidences of marriages and inter-marriages between sub-divisions of the same caste should form the subjects for discussion and determination.

As to sanctions it was thought there should be different Sub-Committees appointed for dealing with different social questions. The Sub-Committees were to be left to evolve certain fundamental principles and penalties for breach of these principles, to be

carried out and enforced as regards the members of Social Reform Party who might agree to be bound by such penalties, (1) by the Sub-Committees themselves, or (2) through their spiritual heads, whenever it was possible to do so, or (3) through Civil Courts, or failing all (4) by application to Government for enabling the Committees to enforce the rules in respect of their own pledged members.

While the Social Reform Party had formed a separate organizotion of its own to discuss the many social evils which festered Hindu Society, they were not satisfied with the Congress attitude of completely dissociating itself from questions of social reform Some of them were auxious to make it an issue whether Social Reform should not precede Political Reform and press for decision. In this they had many friends to support them. Among them was to be found the Government of India. Sir Aukland Colvin, a member of the Viceroy's Executive Council, very clearly and very emphatically stated that Indians ought to turn their attention to Social Reform in preference to endeavours they were making "to teach the British what their duties were in regard to the Government of India.

The reference to Social Reform in the addresses of the Congress Presidents referred to above can now be easily understood. They are a reply to the criticism by the Social Reform Party against the Congress dissociating itself from the problem of removing social evils.

Turning to the second question as to why no Congress President has referred to the question of Social Reform in his presidential address after 1895, the answer is that before 1895 there were two schools among Congressmen on the issue of social reform versus political reform. The viewpoint of one school was that expressed by Mr. Dadabhoy Naoroji, Mr. Budruddin Tyabji and Mr. Suirendranath Bannerjee The viewpoint of the other school was that expressed by Mr. W. C Bannerjee The former did recognize the need of social reform but thought that the Congress Session was not the proper platform for it. The latter denied that there was need for social reform and challenged the view that there cannot be political reform without social reform Though the two schools within the Congress were fundamentally opposed to each other, they had not upto 1895 developed a spirit of antagonism and intolerance towards each other. The former school was in a dominant position and the result was that the Indian National Congress and the Social Conference functioned as two parallel organizations each devoting itself to its own particular aims and objects. So great was the spirit of co-operation and good will between the two that the annual sessions of the National Congress and Social Conference were held in Immediate succession in the same pandal and a large majority of those who came to attend the Congress Session also attended the Social Conference. The Social Conference was, however, an eyesoic to the Congessmen who belonged to the Anti-social Reform Section. This section was evidently getting restive at the kindly disposition and the accommodating spirit which the dominant section in the Congress was showing to the Social Conference particularly in the matter of allowing it to use the Congress pandal for holding its session In 1895 when the Congress met in Poona, this Anti-Social Reform section rebelled and threatened to burn the Congress pandal if the Congress allowed it to be used by the Social Conference This opposition to the Social Conference was headed by no other person than the late M. Tilak one of those social tories and political radicals with which India abounds and who was the father of the slogan "Swaraj is my birthright" which is now seen blaoz ned on Congress banners. The rebellion succeeded largely because the Pro-Social Reform Party in the Congress was not prepared to fight its opponents * This rebellion had one effect It settled that the Congress was not to entertain any question of social reform on matter now urgent.** This is the explanation why on Congress President after 1805 has referred to the question of social reform in his presidential address. The Congress by its action in 1895 had become a purely political body with no interest and no concern in the removal or mitigation of social wrongs.

^{*}That the Pro-Social Reform Party in the Congress did not like to take up the challenge is evident from the letter which Mr Surrendranath Bannerjee wrote to Mr Ranade over the question raised by Mr Tilak's Party regarding the use of the Congress pandal by the Social Conference in which he said "The raison d'etre for excluding social questions from our deliberations is that were we to take up such questions it might lead to serious differences ultimately culminating in a schism, and it is a matter of the first importance that we should prevent a split The request of the other side is very unreasonable; but we have sometimes to submit to unreasonable demands to avert greater evils."

^{**}Some of the leading Social Reformers welcomed this rebellion by the Anti-Social Reform Party in the Congress against the Conference. Dewan Bahadur R. Raghunath Rao wrote to Mr. Ranade that "he was glad that the pandal was not allowed to be used by the Social Conference, for the deception that used to be practised by the Congress upon the English people that it worked in conjunction with the Social Conference was unveiled, and the English people would now clearly understand that the Congress really did not mean to work with the Social Conference."

Ш

Against this background the resolution passed by the Congress about the Depressed Classes in 1917 is obviously a strange event. The Congress had never done such a thing before although it had functioned for thirty-two years. It was even contrary to its declared policy.

why did the Congress think it necessary to pass such a resolution in the year 1917? What made it take cognizance of the Untouchables? What did it want to gain? Whom did it want to deceive? Was it because of a change in its angle vision or was it because of some ulterior motive? For an answer to these questions one must turn to the following resolution passed by the Depressed Classes in the year 1917 at two separate meetings held in the City of Bombay under two different Presidents. The first of these meetings was held on the 11th November 1917 under the Chairmanship of the late Sir Narayan Chandavarkar. In that meeting the following resolutions* were passed—

"First Resolution—Loyalty to Butish Government and prayer for victory to the Allies."

"Second Resolution carried at the meeting by an overwhelming majority, the dissentients being about a dozen. expressed approval of the scheme of reform in the administration of India recommended by the Indian National Congress and the All India Muslim League"

"Third Resolution carried unanimously was: 'As the population of the Depressed Classes in India considered Untouchable and treated as such, is very large, as their, condition is very degraded owing to that treatment and as they are behind the rest of the people in point of education, being unable to secure fair opportunities for their improvement, this public meeting of the Depressed Classes strongly feels that in the scheme of reform and reconstitution of the Legislativ Councils which Government may be pleased to adopt, due regard be paid to the interests of the said classes This meeting therefore prays the British Government to be so gracious as to protect those interests by granting to those classes the right to elect their own representatives to the said Councils in proportion to their numbers."

^{*} Addresses presented to the Viceroy in India and the Right Hon'ble th Secretary of State for India (1918) Parliamentary Paper Cd. 9178 pages 74-75

"Fourth Resolution unanimously carried at the meeting was: 'That the Government be prayed for the adoption, with all convenient speed, of a compulsory and free system of education rendered necessary by the fact that the social elevation of any community depends upon the universal spread of education among its members and that degredation of the Depressed Classes is due to their illiteracy and ignorance."

Fifth Resolution carried unanimously was as follows—'That the Chairman of this public meeting be authorised to request the Indian National Congress to pass at its forthcoming session a distinct and independent resolution declaring to the people of India at large the necessity, justice, and righteousness of removing all the disabilities imposed by religion and custom upon the Depressed Classes, those disabilities being of a most vexatious and oppressive character, subjecting those classes to considerable hardship and inconvenience by prohibiting them from admission into public schools, hosipitals, courts of justice and public offices, and the use of public wells, etc. These disabilities social in origin, amount in law and practice to political disabilities and as such fall legitimately within the political mission and propaganda of the Indian National Congress."

"Sixth Resolution prays all Hindus of the castes other than the Untouchables and Depressed, especially those of the higher castes, who claim political rights, to take steps for the purpose of removing the blot of degradation from the Depressed Classes, which has subjected those classes to the worst of treatment in their own country"

The second meeting was also held in November 1917 a week of after the first meeting. The Chairman was one Bapuji Namde Bagade a leader of the Non-Brahmin Party. At this meeting the following resolutions* were unanimously adopted—

- "(I) Resolution of loyalty to the British throne"
- "(2) That this meeting cannot give its support to the Congress-League Scheme in spite of its having been declared to have been passed at the meeting of 11th November 1917 by an overwhelming majority."
- "(3) That it is the sense of this meeting that the administration of India should be largely under the control of the British till all classes and specially the Depressed Classes, rise up to a condition to effectually participate in the administration of the country"

^{*}Ibid p. 75.

- (4) That if the British Government have decided to give political concession to the Indian Public, this meeting prays that Government should grant the Untouchables their own representatives in the various legislative bodies to ensure to them their civil and political rights."
- "(5) That this meeting approves of the objects of the Bahis krit Bharat Samaj (Depressed India Association) and supports the deputation to be sent on its behalf to Mr. Montagu."
- "(6) That this meeting plays that Government looking to the special needs of the Depiessed Classes, should make primary education both free and compulsory. That the meeting also requests the Government to give special facilities by way scholarships to the students of the Depressed Classes."
- "(7) That the meeting authorises the President to forward the above resolutions to the Viceroy and the Government of Bombay"

It is obvious that there is a close inter-connection between the resolution passed by the Depressed Classes at their meeting in Bombay under the chairmanship of Sir Narayan Chandavarkar and the Congress resolution of 1917 on the elevation of the Depressed Classes. This inter-connection will be easily understood by adverting to the political events of the year 1917. will be recalled that it was in 1917 or to be precises, on the 20th August 1917 the late Mr. Montagu the then Secretary of State for India announced in the House of Commons the new policy of His Majesty's Government towards India, namely, the policy of "gradual development of self-governing institutions with a view to progressive realization of responsible government in India as an integral part of the British Empire." Leading Indian politicians were expecting some such declaration of policy on the part of His Majesty's Government and were preparing schemes for changes in the constitutional structure of India in anticipation of such a policy. Of the many schemes that were formulated, there were two around which public attention was centred. One was called "the Scheme of the Nineteen." The second was called "the Congress-League Scheme." The first was put forth by 19 elected additional Members of the then Imperial Legislative Council The second was an agreed scheme of political reforms supported by the Congress and the League otherwise known as the Lucknow Pact Both these schemes had come into existence in 1916, a year before the announcement made by Mr. Montagu.

Of the wosh mes t e Co gi so vas n erested in seeing that ts own scheme w s accepted by His Majesty's Government The Congress with that purpose in view was keen on giving the Congress League scheme the status and character of a National This could happen only if the scheme had the backing of all communities in India In as much as the Muslim League had accepted the scheme, the problem of securing the backing of the Muslim Community did not ause. Next in numbers came the Depressed Classes. Tuough no as well organized as the Muslim, they were politically very conscious as their Resolutions show Not only were they politically conscious but they were all along Indeed in 1895 when Mi Tilak's followers threateanti-Congress ned to burn the Congress pandal if its use was allowed to the Social Conference for ventilating social wrongs, the Untouchables organized a demonstration against the Congress and actually burned its effigy. This antipathy to the Congress has continued The resolutions passed by both the meeting of the Depressed Classes held in Bombay in 1917 give ample testimony to the existence of this antipathy in the minds of the Depressed Classes towards the Congress. The Congress waite auxious to get the support of the Depressed Classes to the Congress-League scheme of Reforms knew very well that it had no chance of getting As the Congress did not then practise-it had not learned it then—the art of corrupting people as it does now, it enlisted the services of the late Sir Narayan Chandavarkar, an Ex-President of the Congress As the President of the Depressed Classes Mission Society he exercised considerable influence over the It was as a result of his inflence and out of Depressed Classes respect for him that a section of the Depressed Classes agreed to give support to the Congress-League Scheme.

The resolution as its text shows did not give unconditional support to the Congress-League scheme. It agreed to give support on the condition that the Congress passed a resolution for the removal of the social disabilities of the Untouchables. The Congress resolution was a fulfilment of its part of the contract with the Depressed Classes which was negotiated through Sir Narayan Chandavarkar.

This explains the genesis of the Congress Rasolution of 1917 on the Depressed Classes and its inter-connection with the Resolutions of the Depressed Classes passed under the Chairmanship of Sir Narayan Chandavarkar. The explanation proves that there was an ulterior motive behind the Congress Resolution. That motive was not a spiritual motive. It was a political motive.

What happened to the Congress Resolution? The Depressed Classes in their Resolution had called upon the "higher castes,

who claim political rights, to take steps for the purpose of removing the blot of degradation from the Depressed Calsses, which has subjected these classes to the worst of treatment in their own country." What did the Congress do to give effect to this demand of the Depress ed Classes? In return for the support it got, the Congress was bound to organize a drive against untouchability to give effect to the sentiments expressed in its Resolution. The Congress did nothing. The passing of the Resolution was a heartless transaction. It was a formal fulfilment of a condition which the Depressed Classes had made for giving their support to the Congress-League scheme. Congressmen did not appear to be charged with any qualms of conscience or with any sense of righteous indignation against men's inhumanity to man which is what untouchability is. They forgot the Resolution the very day on which it was passed. The Resolution was a dead letter. Nothing came out of it.

Thus ended the first chapter in the history of what the Congress has done to the Untouchables

A SHABBY SHOW

Congress Abandons Its Plan

ĭ

Mr. Gandhi enterd Indian politics in 1919 Very soon thereafter, he captured the Congress. He not only captured it but overhauled it completely and changed it out of recognition. He introduced three main changes The Old Congress had no sanctions. It only passed a resolution and left it there, hoping that the British Government, will take some action on it. If the British Government did not, it merely repeated the resolution next year and year after it. The old Congress was purely a gathering of intellectuals It did not go down to the masses to secure their active participation in the political movement as it did not believe in mass action. The old Congress had no machinery and no funds to carry on mass agitation. It did not believe in spectacular political demonstration to impress the British Government of the magnitude of its strength or to attract and interest the masses The new Congress changed all this. It made the Congress a mass organization by opening its membership to all and sundry. Any one paying four annas a year could be a member of the Congress. It forged sanctions behind its resolutions by adopting the policy of non-co-operation and civil disobedience. It made it a policy to stage demonstration of non-co-operation and civil disobedience and to court gaol It launched a country-wide organization and propaganda in favour of the Congress. It put out what is called a Constructive Programme of social amelioration. To finance these activities it started a fund of one crore of rupees. It was called the Tılak Swaraj Fund. Thus by 1922, the Congress was completely transformed by Mr Gandhi. The new Congress was entirely different from the old, except in name

The Constructive Programme of social amelioration was an important feature of the Congress It was outlined by the Working Committee of the Congress at its meeting in Bardoli, held in February 1922. It was also known as the Bardoli Programme. The resolution setting out the details of the programme ran as follows:—

The Work ng Comm tree dvises all Congress Organisations to be eng ged in the following activities

- (1) To enlist at least one crore members of the Congress.
- (2) To popularize the spinning wheel and to organize the manufacture of hand-spin and handwoven khaddar.
 - (3) To organize national school
- (4) To organize the Depressed Classes for a better life, to improve their social, mental and moral condition, to induce them to send their children to national schools and to provide for them the ordinary facilities which the other citizens enjoy.
- (5) To organize the temperance campaign amongst the people addicted to the drink habit by house to house visits and to rely more upon appeal to the drinker in his home than upon picketting.
- (6) To organize village and town Panchayats for the private settlement of all disputes, reliance being placed solely uponforce of public opinion and the truthfulness of Panchayat decisions to ensure obedience to them.
- (7) In order to promote and emphasise unity among all classes and races and mutual goodwill, the establishment of which is the aim of the movement of non-co-operation, to organize a social service department that will render help to all, irrespectives of differences, in times of illness or accident.
- (8) To continue the Tilak Memorial Swaraj Fund collections and call upon every Congress man or Congress sympathiser to pay at least one-hundredth part of his annual income for 1921. Every province to send every month twenty-five per cent of its income from the Tilak Memorial Swaraj Fund to the All-India Congress Committee."

The resolution was placed before the All-India Congress committee at its meeting held in Delhi on 20th February 1922 for enfirmation, which it did I am not concerned to set out what appened to the different items in this Programme of constructive tork. I am concerned with only one item namely that which elates to the Depressed Classes and it is that part of it which I ropose to deal with,

I will relate the story of the fate, which overtook this part of the Bardoly resolution relating to the Untouchables, stage by stage. To begin with the story, after the Bardoly resolution was confirmed by the All-India Congress Committee, the matter was remitted to the Working Committee for action. The Working Committee took up the matter at its meeting held in Lucknow in June 1922. On that part of the Bardoly problem which related to the uplift of the Untouchables, the Working Committee passed the following resolution:—

"This Committee hereby appoints a Committee consisting of Swams Shradhanandji, Mrs. Sarojins Naidu and Messrs. I. K. Yajnik and G. B. Deshpande to formulate a scheme embodying practical measures to be adopted for bettering the condition of the so-called Untouchables throughout the country and to place it for consideration before the next meeting of this Committee, the amount to be raised for the scheme to be Rs. 2 lakks for the present."

This resolution of the Working Committee was placed before the All-Iudia Congress Committee at its meeting held in Lucknow in June 1922. It accepted the resolution of the Working Committee after making an amendment to it saving, that "the amount to be raised for the scheme should be 5 lakes for the present" instead of 2 lakes as put forth in the resolution of the Working Committee

It seems that before the resolution appointing the Committee was adopted by the Working Committee, one of its Members Swami Shradhanand tendered his resignation of the membership of the Committee. At the very sitting at which the Working Committee passed the resolution appointing a Committee, another resolution on the same subject and to the following effect was passed by it:—

"Read letter from Swami Shradhanandji, dated 8th June 1922 for an advance for drawing up a scheme for Depressed Classes work Resolved that Mr Gangadhar Ran B Deshpande be appointed convener of the Sub-Committee appointed for the purpose and he be requested to convene a meeting at an early date, and that Swami Shradhananda's letter be referred to the Sub-Committee,"

The formation of a Committee marks the second stage in the history of this interesting resolution.

The next reference to the resolution appointing the Committee is found in the proceedings of the Congress Working Committee

held in Bombay in July 1922. At that meeting the Committee passed the following resolution.—

"That the General Secretary be asked to request Swams Shradhanand to reconsider his resignation and withdraw it and a sum of Rs 500 be remitted to the Convener, Syt. G B Deshpanse, for the centingent expenses of the Depressed Classes Sub-Committee"

Here the matter ended, so far as the year 1922 was concerned Nothing further spens to have been done. The year 1923 came on. Seeing that nothing was done to set going the Scheme for ameliorating the condition of the Untouchables, the Working Committee which met also at Gaya in January, 1923 took up the matter and passed the following resolution:—

"With reference to Swami Shradhanand's resignation, resolved that the remaining members of the Depressed Classes Sub-Committee do form the Committee and Mr Yajnik be the convener."

Thereafter the All-India Congress Committee which met Bombay in May 1923 passed the following resolution:—

"Resolved that the question of the condition of the Untouchables be referred to the Working Committee for necessary action."

Here ends the second stage in the history of the resolution remitting the question of the Untouchables to a special Committee. The third stage in its history is marked by the resolution of the Working Committee passed in May 1923 at its meeting held in Bombay This resolution ran as follows:—

"Resolved that while some improvement has been effected in the treatment of the so-called Untouchables in response of the policy of the Congress, this Committee is conscious that much work remained yet to be done in this respect and in as much as this question of untouchability concerns the Hindu community particulary, it requests the All-India Hindu Mahasabha also to take up this matter and to make strenuous efforts to remove this evil from amidst the Hindu Committee"

Thus is told the sad tale of the resolution and how it began and how it ended. What shameful close to a flaring start!

It will be seen how the Congress washed its hands of the problem of the Untouchables. It need out have added insula

to injury by relegating it to the Hind., Mahasabha. There could not be a body most unsuited to take up the work of the uplift of the Untouchables than the Hindu Mahasabha. If there is any body which is quite unfit for addressing itself to the problem of the Untouchables, it is the Hinda Mahasabha. It is a militant Hindu organization. Its aim and object is to conserve in every way everything that is Hindu, religious and cultural. It is not a social reform assocition. It is a purely political organization, whose main object and aim are to combat the influence of the Muslims in Indian politics Just to preserve its political strength. it wants to manintain its pocial solidarity, and its way to maintain social solidarity is not to talk about caste or unfouchability. How could such a body have been selected by the Congress for carrying on the work of the Untouchables passes my comprene-This shows that the Congress wanted somehow to get rid of an inconvenient problem and wash its hands of it. The Hindu Mahasabha of course did not come forth to undertake the work for it had no urge for it and also because the Congress had merely passed a pious resolution recommending the work to them without making any promise for financial provision. So the project come to an inglorious and an ignominious end

Before closing this chapter, it would not be unprofitable to ascertain why did the Congress abandon the work of social amelioration of the Untouchables of which it had made so much show? Was it because the Congress intended that the scheme should be a modest one not costing more than two to five lakes of rupees but felt that from that point of view they had made a mistake in including Swami Shradhanand in the Committee and rather than allow the Swami to confront them with a huge scheme which the Congress could neither accept nor reject? The Congress thought it better in the first instance to refuse to make him the convener* and subsequently to dissolve the Committee and hand over the work to the Hindu Mahasabha. Circumstances are not quite against such a conclusion. The Swami was the gratest and the most since re champion of the Untouchables. There is not the slightest doubt

^{*}The fact that the Congress was kee on having Mr Deshpande as the convener shows that they did not like to leave matters in the hands of Swami Shradhanand. The enoice of Mr. Deshpande also indicates that they did not want anything to be done for the simple reason that Mr. Deshpande was an Orthodox Brahmin who had taken no interest in the velfare of the Untouchables.

that if he had worked on the Committee he would have produced a very big scheme. That the Congress did not want him in the Committee and was afraid that he would make big demand on Congress funds for the cause of the Untouchables is clear from the correspondence that passed between him and Pandit Motifal Nehru, the then General Secretary of the Congress, and which is printed in the Appendix. If this conclusion is right, then it shows how empty of sincerity were the words of the Congress which passed, that resolution

Did the Congress abandon the programme because it was revolutionary? The resolution was in no sense a revolutionary resolution. This will be clear from the note which the Working Committee had appended to the resolution and which the All-India Congress Committee had approved. The note said —

"Whilst therefore in places, where the prejudice against the Untouchables is still strong separate schools and separate wells must be maintained out of Congress funds, every effort should be made to draw such children to national schools and to persuade the people to allow the Untouchables to use the common wells."

Obviously, the Congress was not out for the abolition of Untouchability. It had accepted the policy of separate schools and separate wells. The resolution did no more than to undertake amelioration of the condition of the Untouchables. And even such a timid and mild programme the Congress was unable to early through and which it gave up without remorse or shame.

11

Did the Congress abandon the programme because it had no funds? Quite the contrary The Congress had started the Tilak Swaiaj Fund in 1921 How much money did the Congress collect? The following table will give some idea Rupees one crore and thirty lakhs were contributed by the public to the fund. The fund was collected to carry out Congress propaganda and to finance the constructive programme of the Congress as drafted by the Working Committee at Bardoli. How was this huge amount spent by the Congress? Some idea as to the purposes on which the money out of this fund was spent can be gathered from the list of grants voted by the Working Committee during the years 1921, 1922 and 1923.

^{*}Appendix I.

| | 1 | - | 1 | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----|------|---------------------------|-----|------------|-------------|--|--|
| General Collections Augerera | , Re. | æ | Ð, | å | e e | 2 | | The second secon | |
| HO. I | 64,31,779 15 10 | 13 | 9 | 5,92,430 g | | a (| | er er Er | |
| Specific (esr-marked) Done. tions of Grants Amerura | · Allermannia · · | | | | | Part Age | | 70,88,498 11 55 | |
| : | | Ċ₹. | 10.5 | 37,32,230 9 10.5 9,45,552 | | 200 | ~ | | |
| | 1,01,64,010 | 61 | \$ 5 | 8 5 13,37,982 8 | | 11 075 000 | m | 3,58,553 14 6 | |
| Add-Miscellaneous Receipts, Interest, Other Funds | | | | | | | | 4 1,24,77,082 9 13 5 | |
| Vamme, Flood, Pro- vincial Membership, Delegation, Affiliano, | | | | | | | | | |
| et c. for 1921-23 | 1 | | | ł | | | | | |
| | | | | | - | ì | | 5,42,332 5 7.5 | |
| The ladian Annual Register-1923, p. 112. | -1923, p. 112. | | - | | | | 1,30 | 1,30,19,4(5 15 7 | |
| | | | | | | | r | ***** | |

I. Grants Voted in 1921:

- I. Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meeting held a Calcutta on January 51st and rebruary Ist, 2nd and 3rd 1921 -
 - 1 Rs. 1,00,000 to temain at the disposal of Mahatma Gandhi for the support of lawyers who give up their practice and stand in need of support (10)
- 2 Read the following telegram dated the 31st January 1921 from Syt C Rajagopalachanan:—

"Regret unable to attend meeting. Selected full time public workers for Tamil, Kerala part Karnarak about hundred, of whom about to ty lawyers suspending practice. Pending collection Tilk Fund sanction drawing Rs. 5,600 per month Student's movement progressing rapidly though newspapers do not show up news thus carry on against parental opposition, least two months. Must draw three thousand per nonth for this. Committee must immediately wite authority issuing Swarajya Fund receipts in name of Congress for convenient denominations like Kullafat receipts. Confident to make up all advances in three mentas. Do not hope large sums Magras."

Resolved that a sum of Rs. 8,000 be advanced to Tamil, Kerala and the Karnatak parts of the Madras Presidency for one month for the present, and for lature advances the matter be placed before the next meeting of the Working Committee (xx).

- I Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meeting ald at Bezwada on the 31st March and 1st April 1921 —

 3. A lump sum of Rs 6,000 by advanced to Pandit
- 3. A lump sum of Rs 6,300 by advanced to Pandit Mohanial Nehru, Secretary, U. P. Provincial Congress Committee, for carrying on propagatica and collecting funds (v)
- "4. Rs 17,000 be sanctioned for the remainder of the current year for the expenses of the office of the President, the Secretaries, the Cashier, and that out of the above a sum of Rs 300 per mensem be assigned to Mr. C Rajagopalachariat for the expenses of his secretary and the President's stenotypist (vn)
- 5. A sum of 1,000 dollars remitted by cable to Mr D.V S. Rao of the India Home Rule League of America, 1,400 Broadway, New York (viii)."
- III. The Working Committee at its resolution No 18 date 1st July 1921 appointed a Granes Sun-Committee to dispose of

^{*} Roman figures in the brackets after each grant refer to the resolution reWorking Committee by which the grant was made.

- Il appli a one f gia o T e Suo Co : ittee one sted Ar Gandhi Pandi No ilal Nen u and Seth Inminalal Bajaj. The Ollowing grants were voted by the Grants Sub-Committee in the ourse of several meetings:—
 - "6 A sum of R3 I lakh be voted as a grant for Swadeshi work in Bihar and a loan of R3 4 lakus recommended for the same purpose (1)
 - 7 A loan of Rs 35,000 to the C. P. (Hindustani) Provincial Congress Committee for Svadeshi (ii).
 - 8. Rs 25,000 for familie relief in the U. P. (Hi).
 - 9. Rs. 25,000 to the Punjab Provincial Congress Committee for famine relief, and the Jagraon School (iv).
 - 10. Rs 50,000 on the telegrap as application for the relief of the distressed in Malabar (1).
 - 11. Rs. 15,000 to the Gaulti Ashram, Betares City (vi).
 - 12 Rs 10,000 to the Pallipadu Ashram (vii).
 - 13 Rs 15,000 to the Andrea Jat neeya Kalashala, Masuli-patam (viii).
 - 14. Rs 10,000 to the Secretary, Taluka Congress Committee, Karjat (Maharashtra) (xx).
 - 15 Rs 10,000 to the Anatha Vidyareni Grina, Chinchwad (Maharashtra) (x).
 - 16. The applications of (1) Mr. K. G. Patade. Assistant General Secretary of the Depressed Classes Mission Society of India, (2) of the Kulladaikurichi National Scool, Vidyasungam and (3) of the Rajohmundry Depressed Classes Mission were rejected as unbacked and not in accordance with the instructions issued by the Sub-Committee (xiii).
 - 17 Rs 10,000 to the Keral Provincial Congress Committee to be principally spent on Swadeshi and the popularising of the hand-spinning and hand-weaving (xx).
 - 18 Rs. 60,000 to the Madras Provincial Congress Committee (xxn)
 - 19 Rs. 1,50,000 set apart for the U.P. Provincial Congress Committee (xxiii)
 - 20. Rs. 63,000 to the Sind Provincial Congress Committee (xxiv)
 - 21. Rs. 25,000 for famine relief in the Ceded Districts in Andhra (xxv).
 - 22. Rs. 20,000 to the Maharashtra Provincia Congress Committee (xxvi).

Congress Abandons Its Plan

23 Rs. 20,000 be granted to the Ganjam District Congress on mittee to: Swadeshi and for popularising hand-spinning nd hand-weaving (xvii) " The Working Committee dissolved the Sub-Committee 1

out on No 8 dated the 6th November 1921 and took the

stion of voting grants in its own hands IV. Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meeting at Delhi on the 3rd, 5th and 6th November 1921.—

Rs 25,000 to Mr Phukan of Assam for the purchase of action to be used in the manufacture of hand-spun varn and khaddar(ix) $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{s}$ 5,360 to the Kiishnapuram, Guntur District

(0.600 as an additional grant to the Andhra 26. RsJaticya Kalashala(xi) 27. Rs. 1,060 to the Rajahmundry Depressed Classes Mission (xi).

Andhra (x)

Rs. 5,000 to the Angalur Jateeya Parishramalayam 28. xm). 29

Rs 3,000 to Kautaram, Audhra (xiv). Rs 15,000 to the Andhra Provincial Congress Committee for general Swadeshi work (xv)

Rs 3,000 to the Masulipatam District Congress Committee (xvi).

Rs 30,000 to the Utkal Provincial Congress Com-32. mittee earmarked for the manufacture of handspun yarn and khaddar (xvii)

Rs 3,000 to help the toddy tappers of the Thama District who wanted to give up their profession (xviii) 34. 5,000 to the Nagpur Tilk Vidyalaya (xix)

Rs 5,000 to the Nagpur Asahyogashram (xx). 35

Rs. 25,000 to the Ajmere Provincial Congress Committee for the purpose of increasing the production of khaddar and charkah yarn (xxi) 37. Rs 18,00,000 if possible and in any case, at least Rs

10,00,000 for Gujarat (xxu)38. Rs. 40,000 to be immediately remitted to Sjt C Rajagopalachariar for the relief of the distressed in malabar

(xxiii)." V Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meeting in Bombay on the 22nd an 23rd November 1921 .-

"39 Rs. 10,000 to the Jat Angle Sanskrit High School, ohtak, Punjab (iii)

- 40 Rs 25 000 to the Bijapur Distric Congress Committee for famine relef and Swadesh work (111)
- 41. Rs 30,000 to help the dismissed mill-labourers of Macras by giving them Swadesh; work (ui)

II Grants Voted in 1922

- I. Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meeting eld in Bombay on 17th January 1922:—
 - "42 Application by the U.P. Provincial Congress Committee for Rs 50,000 already sanctioned and for a further grant of one lakh of rupees for Swageshi work, be referred to Mahatma Gandhi for final Lisposai (ii)
- 43. The application of the Assam Provincial Congress Committee for a territance of Rs 25,000 the bilance out of the sanctioned grant of Rs 55,000 he referred to Mahatma Gandhi for final disposal (vi)
- II Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meeting eld in Delhi on 26th February 1922 —
- "44 Rs 10,000 for initial expenditure on foreign scheme prepared by Mahatnin Gandhi (1)
- 45 Rs. 14,000 for the office expenditure for the current year (11)."
- III Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meeting eld in Ahmedabag on 17th and 18th March 1922:—
- "46 Rs 3,00,000 for organizing a larger production and marketing of khaddar (1).
- 47 Rs 10,000 out of Rs 50,000 already sanctioned for the U. P. Provincial Congress Committee (ix).
- 48 Rs. 5,000 to the Kerala Provincial Congress Committee ion general Congress work, amount to be deducted from the sum of Rs 84,000 sanctioned for relief in Malabar and further Rs 20,000 out of the above amount of Rs 84,000 be remitted for relief work (x)
 - 49 Rs 10,000 to the Robiak Angio-Vernagular School (xi)
- 50 Rs 15,000 out of the amount of Rs. 25,000 sanchoned for famine relief in the Ceded Districts be paid to Sit. T. Prakasam representing the Andhra Provincial Congress Committee (xii)"
- IV Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meeting end at Calcutta on the 20th, 21st and 22nd April 1922.
 - 51 Rs. 5,000 to the Antyaja Karyalaya, Ahmedabad, for erganizing education amongst Depressed Classes in Gujarat (v).

- 52. Rs. 40,000 as loan to Mouly, Badrul Hasan of Hyderabad Decean to be exclusively devoted to the Khaddar work (vi).
- 53 Rs. 25,000 to the nationalist Journals Ltd, to enable them to re-start the *Independent* and run it on Congress lines, providing for a lien on proporties of the Company for the amount advanced (xix)"
- V. Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meeting ield in Bombay on the 12th, 13th, 14th and 15th May 1922.— "54. Es. 17,381 to the Antyaja Karyalaya, Ahmedabad, in addition to Rs. 5,000 already granted (x).
 - 55. Resolved that the Punjab Provincial Congress Committee's application for Rs. 1,25,000 for Shahadara Depressed Classes Settlement cannot be considered unless the Working Committee is satisfied that sufficient funds are raised locally to start the scheme and the scheme so started is in working order (xi).
 - 56. Resolved that Rs. 5,000 be earmarked for Ahmednagar Depressed Classes home and that the amount be recommended to be paid when the Working Committee is satisfied that the home is started by local efforts and is in working order (xii).
 - 57. Rs. 10,000 be carmarked for Depressed Classes work in Madras, as applied for by Mr. S. Srinivas Iyengar, to be paid when the application is sent to this Committee through the Provincial Congress Committee being satisfied that at least an equal amount is raised by local effort (xiii).
 - 58. Rs. 7,000 to Mr. T. Prakasam for Depressed Classes work in Analisa (xxiv)."
- VI Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meeting held in Lucknow on June 5th, 7th and 10th, 1922:—
 - "59 Rs 50,000 for khaddar work in Sind Province(vii)
 - 60 Rs. 1,000 be advanced to Sit C. Rajagopalacharian for contingent expenses (viii)"
- VII. Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meeting held in Delhi on 30th June 1922:—
 - "61. Rs 180 per measem for the next three months be sanctioned for the expenses of six workers from Bengal to serve in Assam (vi)."
- VIII. Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meetin held in Bombay on 18th and 19th July 1922:—
 - "62. Rs. 5,000 to Assam (1).

- A Shabby Show 63. Rs 1,50,000 each as loan for Khaddar work in Andhra and Utkal (x)"

IX Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meetin eld in Calcutte on 18th, 19th and 25th November 1922

- Rs 3,00,000 to Gujarat as grant (xn)
- 65. Rs 16,000 for the expenses of the Civil Disobedience Enquiry Committee (xxi)"

III Grants Voted in 1923

- Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meetin old in Gaya on the 1st and 2nd of January 1923 :-
 - 666. Rs. 3,000 to the General Secretary, Indian Hational Social Conference, for the removal of untouchability and the promotion of temperance and inter-communal unity (xxii).
- 67 Rs 1,200 as aid to the Navayuga, a Hindi daily paper of Allahabad, on condition that it would carry on propaganda in pursuance of the resolutions of the Congress held at Gaya (xxxu).
- Rs. 10,000 for the Congress Publicity Bureau (xxxn)" Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meetil eld in Allahabad on 26th and 28th February 1923 -
- Rs. 10.000 for the Depressed Classes work by the Tamil Desh Provincial Congress Committee (vi).
- Loan of Rs 15,000 be advanced to the U P Provincial Congress Committee on the application of Pandit Jawahailal Nehru (x)
- A loan of Rs 15,000 be advanced to Tamil Desh Provincial Congress Committee on the application of Mr. C Rajagopalachariar (x).
- Rs 5,000 granted to the U P Provincial Congress Committee for Gandhi Ashram, Benares (xi)"
- III. Grants voted by the Working Committee at its meetir eld in Bombay on 23rd, 24th, 25th, 26th, 27th and 28th Ma 923 ·--
- -73. Loan of Rs 5 lakhs to the Gujarat Provincial Congress Committee to relieve the surplus stock of khadi in various provincial in the country (v)
- 74. Loan of R. 50,300 be advanced to Bengal Provincial Congress Committee for Khadi work (viii).
 - 75. Rs. 15,000 to the Bihar Rashtriya Vidyalaya (xu)

- 76 Rs 10 000 for the Satyavadı Vidyalaya
- 77 Rs 5 000 Swavalamban Rashtriya Pathshala (
- 78. Rs 5,000 to Dr. Sathaye for carring on such the Congress Labour Committee decides (xxxiv) "

IV Grant: voted by the Working Committee ot a held in Nagpur on 7th, 8th, 14th and 12th July 1923 —

- "79. Rs. 20,6(1) to Sit. Brajaraj, Secretary, Hindi Sammelan for the work of teaching Hindustani is the Piesidency (ix)
- 80 Rs. 2,000 to the C. P. Hindustani Provincial Committee to be utilized for general Congress purpos special regard to rendering help to Satyagraha in Nagor

The reader may not get a precise idea of the manamismanagement of public funds by the Congress from zed account of expenditure. Was this expenditure reany principle? Was it distributed according to the ne Provinces? Consider the following table.—

Table 2

| Prov.nces | Amount Granted | Population** | Percentage Grant due on the basis of Popula- tion ratio to total Population |
|--|-------------------|---|---|
| General—All-India* Bombay Madras Bihar and Orissa U. P. Sind Assam Bengal C.P Punjab Hyderabad Ajmer Foreiga | 705,000 | 227,238,000 16,012,623 42,319,000 33,820,000 45,376,000 3,279,377 6,735,000 46,241,000 12,780,000 20,675,000 | 3 15 20 3 20 5 9 |
| Total | 49,50,661 | | |

^{*} Excluding Burma and Native States.



^{**} These figures are taken from the Simon Commission Report refer to the year 1921.

Was it distributed on the basis of cultural units and their relative size? Compare the following figures:—

Table 3

| Linguistic Areas | Total Grant | Amount of Grant | Percentage of the Total Grant to the Province | Percentage of Population of the Area to the Popu- lation of the Province |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Bombay Presidency Gujarath Maharashtra Karnatak Centrai Proinces Marathi Districts Hindustani Districts Hindustani Districts Madras Presidency Tamil Nad Andhhra Kerala Bihar and Orissa Bihar | Rs .26,90,381 = 47,000 = 5,05,000 = 5,65,000 | Rs 26,22,381 43,090 25,000 19,000 37,000 1,03,003 13,02,000 1,00,000 5,15,000 50,000 | 97.4 1 6 0.93 21 2 78.7 20 4 60 0 19.6 91.0 0 9 | 100 18 69 13 100 45 55 100 38 52 10 100 73 27 |

From these figures it is clear that the distribution of these sums was not made on the basis of any intelligible principle. There is no relation between the grants and the population, nor between the grants and the classes of the cultural units. A province like Bombay with a population of one and a half crores gets as much as 27 lakhs while U P. and Madras with a population of about 4 crores each get no more than about five lakhs severally Consider the grants in relation to cultural units. Take Bombay Presidency It includes three cultural unites, Maharashtra, Gurath and Karnatak. Out of the 26 lakhs and 90 thousand given to the Bombay Presidency Gujrath with only 18 per cent. of the population of the Province got as much as 26 lakhs and 22 rhousand 10, 974 per cent and Maharashtra with a population of 69 per cent got only Rs. 43,000 or 1 6 per cent and Karnatak with a population of 13 per cent got Rs 25,000 or 9 per cent of the grant In C P out of a total grant of Rs 47,000 the Hindustani districts having 55 per cent of the population got Rs 37,000 or 78 7 per cent while the Marathi speaking districts having 45 per cent of the population got only Rs 10,000 ie, 21.2 per cent in Bigar and Orissa out of

23 lakhs !!

Moneys allotted but kept at the Disposat

of Individuals without Appropriating

them to any spec fied purpose

Moulvi Badrul Hasan

T Prakasam

15 thousand or 91 per cent with a population of 73 per cent, and Orissa got only 50 thousand or 9 per cent while its population was as much as 27 per cent. The same inequity is noticeable in the distribution of grants in the three areas of the Madras Presidency.

the total grant of 5 lakhs and 65 thousand Bihar got 5 lakhs and

There was not only no principle, there was shameful favouritism in the distribution of the fund. Out of the total of 49 5 lakes which was distributed in the three years Gujrath— Mr Gandhi's province—got 26 25 lakes while the rest of India got 23 lakes. This means that a population numbering 29.5 lakes got 26.25 lakes while the rest of India numbering about 23 crores got

There was no check, no control, no knowing for what purpose money was voted and to whom it was granted. Note the following cases:—

Table 4

Moneys allotted without Appropri-

attor to any purpose without naming

the guarantee

Rs.

3,00,000

18,00,000

| C. Rajagopalachariai Barajaj Mr Gandhi | • | 1,000 20,000 1,00,000 | To Gujrath | 3,00,000 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| It is not known of the named pays formidable amounts there were satisfican be no doubt that | ees v mad actor | vere alco e payablo ry answ | ounted for or to the nameles ers to these | s payees. Even if questions there |

gance and waste, it would be very difficult to find. It is a sad episode marked by a reckless plunder committed by the prodatory leaders of the Congress of public money for nursing their own

Rs.

40.000

To Guirath

7.000 | To Guirath

It is unnecessary to pursue the story of the organized and systematic look by Congressmen of the balance of licrore and

constituencies without any qualities of conscience.

30 lanks which was spent in subsequent years. It is enough to say that never was there such an organized loot of public money The point of immediate interest however is that the scruting of this list of grants does not show the amelioration of the Untouchables, which has been one of the purpose for which money has been advanced from the Swaraj Fund One would have expected the Congress to make the amelioration of the Untouchables as the first charge on the Swaraj Fund It should have at least made it a charge if not the first charge especially when thousands of rupees were spent on feeling briefless lawyers who were alleged to have given up practice in the cause of the nation without even an inquiry whether they had any when thousands of rupees were spent to feed toddy drawers who had given up their profession for living on alms from public fund and many other wild cat schemes carrying the marks of dishonesty on But it did nothing of the kind. Instead, the Congress proposed that a seperate fund should be started for the amelioration of the Untouchables. And what was to be the dimension of this separate Untouchable Fund? The All-India Congress Committee fixed it at five lakhs. The Working Committee felt it was too big an amount for so unimportant and so unprofitable a work as the amelioration of the Untouchables and reduced its total to Rs two lakhs. Two lakhs for sixty millions Untouchables!!

This was the grand sum that was fixed by the Congress for the slavage of the Untouchabies. How much of this was actually appropriated? Here are the figures.—

Table 5

| Purpose | Amount Sanctioned | |
|--|----------------------|--|
| Rajamuhendry Depressed Class Mission Antyaj Karyalaya, Ahmedabad Antyaj Karyalaya, Ahmedbad Depressed Classes Work in Andhra National Social Conference for Depressed Classes Work Tamil District P C. C for Depressed Classes Work Total Rs | | Rs 1,000 5,000 17,381 7,000 3,000 10,000 |

To sum up the Congress could find only Rs. 43,331 out of Rs 49.5 laking which it spent for carrying out the Constructive

otherwise known as the Bardoli programme in which the uplift of

the Untouchables was given so much prominence. Can there be a grosser instance of insincenty than this? Where is the love for the Untouchables which the Congress professed for the Untouchables? Where is the desire of the Congress to undertake the uplift of the Untouchables? Would it be wrong to say that the Bardoh resolution was a frand in so for as it related to the Untouchables?

One is hower bound to ask one question. Where was Mr. Gandhi when all this was happening to the cause of the Untouchables in the Congress Camp? The question is very relevant because it was Mr. Gandhi who had laid stress, ever since he entered the Congress, upon the intimate relation between the winning of Sawaraj and the abolition of Untouchability. In the Young India, which was Mr. Gandhi's organ, of 3rd November 1921, Mr. Gandhi wrote:—

"Untouchability cannot be given a secondary place on the programme. Without the removal of the taint Swaiaj is a meaningless term. Workers should welcome social boycott and even public exectation in the prosecution of their work. I consider the removal of untouchability as a most powerful factor in the process of attainment of Swaraj."

Accordingly, he had been exhorting the Untouchables not to join hands with the British against Swaraj but to make common cause with the Hindus and help to win Swaraj. In in article in Young India dated 20th October 1920, Mr. Gandhi a diessed the Untouchables in the following terms:—

"There are three courses open to these down-todden member of the nation. For their inputience they may call in the assistance of the slave-owning Government. They will ger it but they will fall from the frying pan into the fire. Today they are slaves of slaves. By seeking Government aid, they will be used for suppressing their kith and kin. Instead of being sinned against, they will themselves be the sinners. The Musaimans tried it and failed. They found that they were worse than before. The Sikhs did it unwittingly and failed. Today there is no more distontented community in India than the Sikhs. Government aid is therefore, no solution

The second is rejection of Hinduism and wholesale conversion to Islam or Christianity. And if a change of religion could be justified for worldly betterment I would advise it without hesitation. But religion is a matter of the heart No Physical inconvenience can warrant abandonment of one's

A Strange Event

own religion if the inhuman treatment of the Panchamas were a part of Hinduism, its rejection will doe a part mounduty both for them and for those like me who would not make a fetish even of religion and condone every evil in its sacred name. But I believe that untouthability is no part of Hinduism. It is rather its excrescence to be removed by every effort. And there is quite an army of Hinduism of this blot Conversion therefore, I hold, is not remedy whatsoever.

Then, there remains, anally, solf-help and self-dependence, with such and as the non-Panchama Hindus will render of their own motion, not as a matter of dray. And havern comes the use of non-co-operation. Therefore, by way of protest against. Hinduism, the Panchamas can certainly stop all contact and connection with the other Hinduism organised special griveances are maintained. But this mans organised intelligent effort. And so far as I man see, there is no leader among the Panchamas who can lead them to victory through Non-co-operation.

The better way therefore, perhaps, is for the Panchamas heartily to join the great national movement that is now going on for throwing off the slavery of the present Government. It is easy enough for the Punchama friends to see that Non-co-operation against this evil Government pre-supposes cooperation between the different sections forming the Indian nation.

the same article Mr. Gandhi told the Hindus;-

"The Hindus must realise that, if they wish to offer successful Non-co-operation against the Government they must make common cause with the Pancinnais, even as they have made common case with the musalmans."

e repeated the warning in the Young India of 29th December 1920 in which he said.—

"Non-co-operation against the Government means cooperation among the governed, and if Hindus do not remove the sin of untouchability, there will be no Swaraj whether in one year or in one hundred years. Swaraj is as unattainable without the removal of the sins of untouchability as it is without Hindu-Muslim unity."

From all this, one would expect Mr. Gandhi to see that if Congress policy of ameliorating the condition of the Unitouchable set out in the Bardoli resolution was given effect to. The fact

that Mr Gandhi bes des giving utterance to pious platitude did not take slightest interest in the programme of the amel oration. If

he was so minded, he could have appointed another Committee If he was so minded, he could have saved a large part of the Tilak Swaraj Fund from the organized loot that was being carried on by Congressmen and reserved it for the benefit of the Untouchables Strange as it may appear, he sat silent and unconcerned. Instead of feeling any remorse, Mr Gandhi justified his indifference to the cause of the Untouchables by arguments so strange that no one would believe the n They are to be

found in the Young India of 20th October 1920.—

"Should not we the Hindus wash our bloodstained hands before we ask the English to was theirs? This is a proper question reasonable put. And if a member of a slave, nation could deliver the suppressed classes from their slavery, without freeing myself from my own I would do so today But it is an impossible task. A slave has not the freedom even to do the right thing."

Mr Gandhi concluded by saying .-

"That process has commenced and whether the panchamas deliberately take part in it or not, the rest of the Hindus dare not neglect them without hampering their own progress. Hence though the Panchama problem is as dear to me as life itself, I rest satisfied with the exclusive attention to national non-co-operation. I feel sure that the greater includes the

itself, I rest satisfied with the exclusive attention to national non-co-operation. I feel sure that the greater includes the less."

Thus ended the second chapter of what the Congress has done to the Untouchables. The regretable part of this tragedy is the realization of the fact how Mr. Gandhi has learned to

is the realization of the fact how Mr. Gandhi has learned to find unction in illusions Whether Mr Gandhi likes to live in a world of illusions may be a matter of doubt. But there is no doubt he likes to create illusions in order to use them as arguments to support his cherished proposition. The reason he has given for not taking personal responsibility for the uplift of the Untouchables furnishes the beast evidence of this habit of Mr. Ganchi. To tell the Untouchables that they must not act against the Hindus, because they will be acting against then kith and kin, may be understood. But to assume that the Hindus regard the Untouchables as their kith and kin and to set up an illision. To ask the Hindus to undertake the removal of untouchability is good advice. But to go to the length of assuring oneself that the Hindus are so overwhelmed with a sense of shame

for the inhuman treatment they have accorded to the Untouchables that they dare not fail to abolish untouchability and that

there is a band of H n+1 Refo mers bledged to do nothing but remove untouchability is to conjure an illusion to fool the Untouchbles and to fool the world at large. It may be sound logic to argue that what benefits the whole also benefits the part and that one need not confine himself to looking after the part. But to assume that a piece, as separate as the Untouchables, is a part of the Hindu whole is to deceive oneself. Few know what tragedies the Untouchables as well as the country have had to go through on account of the illusions of Mr. Gandhi

CHA: LEE III

A MEAN DEAL

Congress Refuses To Part With Finer

Ŧ

In the Government of India Act of 1919, there was a provision which lad imposed an obligation on His Majesty's Government to appoint at the end of ten years a Royal Commission to Investigate into the working of the Constitution and report upon such changes as may be found necessary Accordingly, in 1928 a Royal Commission was appointed under the Chairmanship of Sir John Indians expected that the Commission would be mixed in its personnel But Lord Bukentead who was then the Secretary of State for India was opposed to the inclusion of Indians and insisted on making it a purely Parliamentary Colomission this, the Congress and the Liberal; took great offence and treated it as an insult. They boycotted the Commission and carried on a great agreation against it. To assuage this feeling of opposition it was an ounced by His Majerty's Government that after the work of the Commission was completed representative Indian, would be assembled for a discustion before the new constitution for India is settled. In accordance with this announcement representative Indians were called to London at a Round Table Conference with the Representatives of Parliament and of His Maiesty's Government

On the 12th November 1930, His late Majesty King George V formally management the Indian Round Table Conference. From the point of view of Indians, the Round Table Conference was an event of great significance. Its significance lay in the recognition by His Majesty's Government of the right of Indians to be consulted in the matter of framing a constitution for India. For the Untouchables it was a landmark in their nistory. For, the Untouchables were for the first time allowed to be represented separately by two delegates who happened to be myself and Dewan Banadur R Smitvasan. This meant that the Untouchables were regarded not merely a separate element from the Hindus but also of such importance as to have the right to be consulted in the farming of a constitution for India

The work of the Conference was distributed among nine committees. One of these committees was called the Minorities Committee to which was assigned the most difficult work of finding a solution of the Communal question. Anticipating that this

Committee was the most important committee the Prime Minister, the late has Ramsay Mac Donald, houself assumed its charman-snip. The proceedings of the Manor, as Committee are of the greatest importance to the Uniouchables. For, much of what happened betteen the Congress and the Uniouchables and which has led to bitterness between their and be found in the proceedings of that Committee.

When the Round Table Conference mee the political demands communities other than the Unious tables end same well indeed the Constitution of 1915 had recognized them as statutory minorities and provisions relating to their safety and security were embodied in it. In their cases the question was of expanding those provisions of altering the i snape. With ingand to the Depie sed Classes the position was different. The Montagu-Chelmsford Report which preceded the Jons, tution of 1919 had said in quite unmistakable terms that provision must be made in the Constitution for their protection. But unfortunately when the details of the Consultation were fix nect, the Government of ladia found it difficult to devise any provisions for their protection except to give them token repit entition in the legislatures by nomination. The first thing that was required to be done was to formulate the safeguards deemed necessary by the Untouchables for their protection agains, the tyrauny and oppression of the Hindus. This I did by submitting a Licenorandum to the Minorities Committee of the Round Lable Conference. To give an idea of the streggards that were formulated by me. It eproduce below the text of the Memorandum -

4 Scheme of Political Safe, and for the Protection of the Depressed Classes in the Future Constitution of a self-governing India, submitted to the Indian Round Table Conference

The following are the terms and conditions on which the Depressed Classes will consent to place themselves under a majority rule in a self-governing India

Condition No I

EQUAL CITIZENSHIP

The Depressed Classes cannot consent to subject themselves to majority rule in their present state of hereditary bondsmen. Before majority rule is established their emancipation from the system of untouchability must be an accomplished fact. It must not be left to the will of the majority. The Depressed Classes must be made free citizens entitled to all the right of citizenship in common with other citizens of the State.

(A) To secure the abolition of untouchability and to create the equality of citizenship, it is proposed that the following fundamental right shall be made part of the constitution of India.

FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT

U.S A Consutution Anaedment XIV and Government of Ireland Act 1920, 10 & 11, Geo. V. Ch 67. Sec. 5 (2)

All subjects of the State a India are equal before the law and possess equal civic rights. Any existing enaciment, regulation, order, custom or interpretation of law b, which any penalty, disadvantage, disability is imposed upon or any discrimination is made against any subject of the State on account of untouchability shall, as from the day on which ims Constitution comes into operation, cease to

have any effect in India"

This is so in all Constitutions See Prof Keith's remarks in Cmd. 207. p. 56

To abolish the immunities and exemptious now enjoyed by executive others by virtue of Sections 110 and 111 of the Government of India Act 1919 and their lubility for executive action be made coextensive with what it is in the case of a European British Subject.

Condition No II :

FREE ENJOYMENT OF EQUAL RIGHTS

It is no use for the Depressed Classes to have a declaration equal rights. There can be no doubt that the Depressed Classes will have to face the whole force of orthodox society if they try to exercise the equal rights of citizenship. The Depressed Classes therefore feel that if these declarations of rights are not to be mere pious pronouncements, but are to be realities of everyday life, then they should be protected by adequate pains and penalties from interference in the enjoyment of these declared rights.

(A) The Depressed Classes therefore propose that the following section should be added to part XI of the Government of India act 1919, dealing with Offences, Procedure and Penalties:-

(i) Offence of Infringement of Citizenship

U.S Statutes At Large. Civil Rights Protection Acts of 1866, April 9. and of March, 1, 1875-Passed in the interest the Negroes after their emancipation.

"Whoever denies to any person except for reasons by law applicable to persons of all classes and regardless of any previous condition of untouchability the full enjoyment of any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges of inns, educational institutions, roads, paths, streets, tanks, wells and other watering places, public conveyances on land, air or water, theatres or other places of public amusement resort or convenience whether they are dedicated to or maintained or licensed for the use of the public shall be punished or

with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to five years and shall also be liable to fine."

(3) Obs a 101 by ort.odo. Individuals is not the only mended to the Depressed Classes in the vay of peaceful enjoyment of their rights. The commonest fol. 1 of confraction is the social boycott. It is the most formituate weapon in the hands of the orthodox classes with which they bear down any attempt on the part of the Depressed Classes to undertake any activity if it happens to be undertained to the 1. The way it works and the occasions on which it is alonght into operation are well described in the Report of the Committee appointed by the Government of Bombay in 1928 to enquire into the educational, economic and social condition of the Depressed Classes (untouchables, and of the Adoniginal Tribus in the Presidency and to recomment measures for their aphilit." The following is an extract from the same—

Depressed Classes and Social Boycott

"102 Although we have recommended various remedies to secure to the Depressed Classes their rights to all public utilities we fear that there will be difficulties in the way of their exercising them for a long time to come. The first difficulty is the fear of open violence against them by the orthodox classes. It must be noted that the Depressed Classes form a small minority in every village, opposed to which is a great majority of the orthodox who are bent on protecting their interests and dignity four any supposed invasion by the Depressed Classes at any cost. The danger of prosecution by the Police has put a limitation upon the use of violence by the orthodox classes and consequently such cases are rare

"The second difficulty arises from the economic position in which the Depressed Classes are found to-day. The Depressed Classes have no economic independence in most parts of the Presidency. Some cultivate the lands of the orthodox classes as their tenants at will. Others live on their carnings as farm labourers employed by the orthodox classes and the rest subsist on the food or grain given to them by the orthodox classes in lieu of service rendered to them as village servants. We have heard of numerous instances where the orthodox classes have used their economic power as a weapon against those Depressed Classes in their villages, when the latter have dared to exercise their rights, and have evicted them from their land, and stopped their employment and discontinued their remuneration as village servants. This boycott is often planned on such an extensive scale as to include the prevention of the Depressed Classes from using the commonly used paths and the stoppage of sale of the Congress Rejuses to Part With Power

evidence sometimes small causes suffice for the proclamation of a social boycott against the Depressed Classes. Frequently it follows on the exercise by the Depressed Classes of their right to the use of the common-well, but cases have been by no means rate where a stringent boycott has been proclaimed singly because a Depressed Class man has but on the sacred thread, has bought a piece of land, has put on good clothes or ornaments, or has carried a marrige procession with the bridegroom on the horse through the public street

necessar es of life by the village Han a Accoding to the

"We do not know of any weapon more effective than this social boycost which could have been invented for the suppression of the Depressed Classes. The method of open violence pales away before it, for it has the most far reaching and deadenging effects. It is the more deagerous because it passes as a lawful method consistent with the theory of freedom of contest. We agree that this tyranny of the inajority must be put down with a firm hand, if we are to guarantee the Depressed Classes the freedom of speech and action necessary for their uplift."

In the opinion of the Depressed Classes the only way to overcome this kind of menace to their rights and liberties is to make social boycott an offence punishable by like. They are therefore

bound to insist that the following sections should be added to those included in Part XI, of the Government of India Act 1919, dealing with Offences, Procedure and Penalties

1 OFFENCE OF BOYCOTT DEFINED

TOTALHOLD OF DOTCOTT DEFINED

(1) A person shall be deemed to boycott another who-

the necessities of the case

(a) refuses to let or use or occupy and house or hand, or to deal with, work for hire, or Tars and the following legal pi ovisions do business with another person, or to render to bodily taken from Burma Anu- him or receive from him any service, or refuses to do any of the said things on the terms on Boycott Act, 1922, with a few which such things should commonly be done in the changes to suit ordinary course of husiness, or

(b) abstains from such social, professional or business relations as he would, having regard to such existing customs in the community which are not inconsistent with any fundamental right or other rights of cuizenship deciared in the Constitution ordinarily maintain with such person, or

ł

ţ

1

(c) in any way injures, annews or interfere with such other person in the exercise of his lawful rights

II PUNISHMENT FOR BOYCOTTING

Whoever in consequence of any person tiving do se any act which he was legally entitled to do or of his I may counted to do any act which he was legally entitled to or at 10 do, or with intent to cause eny person to do any act which he is not legally bound to do or to omit to do any act which he is egally entitled to do, or with intent to cause our not such person in body, mind, reputation or property, or in its business or nears of living, howcosts such person or may be, for it whom such person is interessed, shall be punished. If in a counter, of either description which may extend to so our ear of out fine or with both

Provider to two offence shall be less to he given commetted under that Section if the Court is estimated in it the accused person has not acted of the instigation of or in collasion with any other reison or in purishable of the constitutes or of any agreement or combination to parent.

III PUNISHMENT FOR INSTIGATING OR PROMOTERS I BOYCOTT

Whoever-

- (a) publicly nakes or publishes or circulates a proposal for, or (b) makes publishes or circularies any statement, rumour or report will main to an which he has reason to believe to be likely to, cause or
- (c) In any over we using sever promotes the boycotting of any person or class of persons, shall be punished with imprisonment which may extend to five years, or with fine or with both

Explanation.—An offence under this section shall be deemed to have been committed although the person affected or likely to be affected by any action of the nature referred to werein is not designated by name or alies but only by his acting or abstaining from acting in some specific manner

II PU ISHMENT FOR THREATENING 4 BOYCOTT

Where, in consequence of the person laving done any act than he was legally cuttiled to do not his saving contred to do not while the was legally entitled to court to do or with intent o cause any person to do any act which he is not legally bound to do, or to on it to do the cot which he is not legally bound to do, or to on it to do the cot which he is legally entitled to do, threatens to cause such person or any person in whom such person is interested, to be to cotted shall be punished with imprisonment of

Congress Refuses 10 Part With Power ether description for a ter high ay extend to he years or

with fi e or with both Exception —It is not poyent

to no any act in furtherance of a bona fide labour dispute.

to no consect in the ordinary course of business competi-(n)

110AN B -All these offences shall be deemed to be cognizable offences.

Condition No III

PROTECTION AGAINST DISCRIMINATION

The Depressed Classes entertain grave fears of discrimination either by legislation or by executive order being made in the They cannot therefore consent to subject themselves to majority rule unless it is rendered impossible in law for the legislature or the executive to make any invidious discrimina-

tion against the Depressed Classes It is therefore proposed that the following Statutory provision be made in the constitutional law of India:-

'It shall not be competent for any Legislature or executive in

India to pass a law or issue an order, rule or regulation so as to violate the rights of the Subjects of the State, regardless of any previous condition of untouchability, in all territories subject to the jurisdiction of the dominion of India

- (1) to make and enforce contincis, to sue, he parties, and give evidence, to inherit, purchase, lease, sell hold and convey real and personal property, (2) to be eligible for entry into the civil and military employ
- limitations as may be necessary to provide for the due and adequate representation of all classes of the subjects of the State, (3) to be entitled to the full and equat enjoyment of the accommodations, advantages, facilities, educational, instituions, pri-

and to all educational institutions except for such conditions and

- vileges of inns, rivers streams wells, tanks, roads, puths, streets, public conveyances on land, air and water, theatres, and other places of public resort or amusement except for such conditions and limitations applicable alike to all subjects of every race, class caste, colour, or creed
- (4) to be deemed fit for and capable of sharing without distinction the benefits of any religious or charitable trust dedicated to

or created maint uned or licensed for the general public or for persons of the same faith and religion,

(5) to claim full and equel benefit of all laws and proceedings for the security of person and property as is enjoyed by other subject regardless of any previous condition of untouchability and be subject to like punithment pairs and penaltities and to none other

Conginon No IV

ADEQUATE REPRESENTATION IN THE LEGISLATURES

The Depressed Classes must be given sufficient political power to influence legislative and executive action for the purpose of securing their weifare. In view of this trey demand that the following provisions shall be made in the electoral law voas to give them—

- (1) Right to adequate representation in the Legislatures of the Country, Provincial and Central
 - (2) Right to elect their own men as their representatives,
 - (a) by adult suffrage, and
 - (b) by separate electorates for the first ten years and thereafter by joint electorates and esserved seats, it being understood that joint electorates shall not be forced upon the Depressed Classes against their will unles such joint electorats are accompanied by adult suffrage
- NB—Adequate Representation for the Depressed Classes cannot be defined in quantitative terms until the extent of representation allowed to other communities is known. But it must be understood that the Depressed Classes will not consent to the representation of any other community being settled on better terms than those allowed to them. They will not agree to being placed at a disadvantage in this matter. In any case the Depressed Classes of Bombay and Madras must have weightage over their population ratio of representation, irrespective of the extent of representation allowed to other minorities in the Provinces.

Condition No. V

ADEQUATE REPESENTATION IN THE SERVICES

The Depressed Classes have suffered enormously at the hands of the high caste officers who have monopolized the

Public Services by abusing the law or by misusing the discretion vested in them in administering it to the prejudice of the Depressed Classes and to the advantage of the caste Hindus without any regard to justice, equity or good conscience. This mischief can only be avoided by distroying the monopoly of easte Hindus in the Public Services and by regulating the recruitment to them in such a marker that all communities including the Depressed will have an adequate share in them. For this purpose the Depressed Classes have to make the following proposals for sixtutory enactment as part of the constitutional law:—

- (1) There shall be established a India and in each Province in India a public Services Commission to undertake the recruitment and control of the Public Services.
- (2) No rember of the Public Service Commission shall be removed except by a resolution passed by the Ligislature nor shall he be appointed to any office under the Crown after his retirement
- (3) It shall be the duty of the Public Service Commission, subject to the tests of efficiency as may be prescribed.
 - (a) to recruit the Services in such a manner us will secure due and adequate representation of all communities, and
 - (b) to result from tune to time priority in employment in accordance with the existing extent of the representation of the various communities in any particular service concerned

Condition No. VI

REDRESS AGAINST PREJUDICIAL ACTION OR NEGLECT OF INTERESTS

In view of the fact that the Majority Rule of the future will be the rule of the oithodox, the Depressed Classes fear that such a Majority Rule will not be sympathetic to them and that the probability of perjudice to their interests and neglect of their vital needs cannot be overlooked. It must be provided against particularly because however adequately represented the Depressed Classes will be in a minority in all legislatures. The Depressed Classes think it very necessary that they should have the means of redress given to them in the

sion should be made in the constitution of India -

constitution. It is therefore proposed that the following prov-

'In and for each rrovince and in and for India it shall be the duty and obligation of the Legislature and the America Act, 867 See 93

Make a dequate provision for the education sanitation, recruitment in Public Services and other motters of social and political advincement of the Depressed Classes and to do nothing that will prejudically affect them.

- '(2) Where in any Province or in India the provisions of this section are violated an appeal shall he to the Governor-General in Council from an, act of decision of any Provincial Authority and to the Secretary of State from any cet on decision of a General Authority affecting the master
- "(3) In every such case where it appears to the Governor-General in Council or to the Secretary of State that the Provincial Authority does not take steps requisite for the due execution of the provisions of this Section then and in every such case, and as for only as the cucumstances of each case require the Governor-General in council or the Secretary of State acting as an appellate authority may preserve, for such period as they may deem fit, take remedial incusures for the due execution of the provisions of this Section and of any of its decisions under this Section and which shall be finding upon the authority appealed against

Condition No VII SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL CARE

The helpiess, hapless and sapless condition of the Depressed Classes must be entirely attributed to the dogget and determined opposition of the whole mass of the orthodo. population which will not allow the Depressed Classes to have equality of status or equality of treatment enough to say of their economic condition that they are poverty-strucken or that eney are a class of landlesss labourers, although both these state neuts are state nears of fact. to be noted that the poverty of the Depressed Classes is due largely to the solial prejudices in consequence of which many an occupation for earning a living is closed to them. This is a fact wich differentiates the position of the Depressed Classes from that of the ordinary caste labourer and is often a source of trouble between the two. It has also to be borne in mind that the forms of tyranny ind oppression practised against the Depressed Classes are very various and the capaCongress Refuses to Part With Lower

ci y of the Depressed Classes to prote t themselves is extremely I mited The facts which obtain in this connection and which are of common occurrence throughout India, are well described in the Abstracts of Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the Government of Madras dated 5th Nov., 1892,

There are forms of oppression only hitherto hinted at which must be at least cursorily mentioned. To punish disobedience of Pariahs, their masters—

No. 723, from which the following is an extract:-

Bring false cases in the village court or in the criminal courts.

(b) Obtain, on application, from Government waste lands lying all round the parachers, so as to impound the Pariahs' cattle or obstruct the way to their temple

Have mirasi names fraudulently entered in the Government account against the paracheri.

Pull down the huts and destroy the growth in the backyards (e) Deny occupancy right in immemorial sub-tenancies

(f) Forcibly cut the Pariahs' crops, and on being resisted. charge them with theft and rioting.

Under misrepresentations, get them to execute documents by which they are afterwards ruined.

(h) Cut off the flow of water from their fields.

(1) Without legal notice, have the property of sub-tenants attached for the land-lords' arrears of revenue

"135. It will be said there are civil and criminal courts for the redress of any of these injuries. There are the court.

indeed: but India does not breed village Hampdens must have courage to go to the courts; money to employ legal knowledge, and meet legal expenses; and means to live during the case and the appeals. Further most cases depend upon the decision of the first court; and these courts are presided over by officials who are sometimes corrupt and who generally,

for other reasons, sympathize with the wealthy and landed classes to which they belong. "136. The influence of these classes with the official world

can hardly be exaggerated. It is extreme with natives and great even with Europeans. Every office, from the highest to the lowest, is stocked with their representatives, and there is

no proposal affecting their interests but they can bring a score of influence to bear upon it in its course from inception to execution "

There can be no doubt that in view of these circumstances the uplift of the Depressed Classes will remain a pious hope unless the task is placed in the forefront of all governmental activities and unless equalization of opportunities is realized in practice by a definite policy and determined effort on the part of Government. To secure this end the proposal of the Depressed Classes is that the Constitutional Law should impose upon the Government of India a statutory obligation to maintain at all times a department to deal with their problems by the addition of a section in the Government of India Act to the following effect.—

"1, Simultaneously with the introduction of this Constitution and as part thereof, there shall be created in the Govern nent of India a Department to be in charge of a Minister for the purpose of watching the interests of the Depressed Classes and promoting their welfare.

"2. The Minister shall hold office so long as he retains the

confidence of the Central Legislature.

"3. It shall be the duty of the Minister in the exercise of any powers and duties conferred upon him or transferred to him by law to take all such steps as may be desirable to secure the preparation, effective carrying out and co-ordination of measures preventative of acts of social injustice, tyranny or oppression against the Depressed Classes and conducive to their welfare throughout India

'4 It shall be lawfull for the Governor-General-

(a) to transfer to the Minister all or any powers or duties in respect of the welfare of the Depressed Classes arising from any enactment relating to education, sanitation, etc.

(b) to appoint Depressed Classes welfare bureaus in each province to work under the authority of and in co-operation

with the Minister'

Condition No VIII DEPRESSED CLASSES AND THE CABINET

Just as it is necessary that the Depressed Classes should have the power to influence governmental action by seats in the Legislature so also it is desirable that the Depressed Classes should have the apportunity to frame the general policy of the Government. This they can do only if they can find a seat in the Cabinet. The Depressed Classes therefore claim that in common with other minorities, their moral rights to be represented in the Cabinet should be recognized With this purpose in view the Depressed Classes propose.

Congress Refuses to Part Vith Power

that in the Instrument of Instructions an obligation shall be placed upon the Governor and the Governor-General to endeavour to secure the expresentation of the Depressed Classes in his Cobinet.

H

What happened to these demands of the Untouchables ar ow the members of the Minorities Committee ceacted to the an be well underswood by a perusal of the Report inade by the finorities Compattee to the Round Table Conference I gi elow a few extracts from that Report

Claims were therefore advanced by various committees tha arrangements should be made for communal representation and for fixed proportions of seats. It was also urged that the number of seals reserved for a minority community should in no case be less than its proportion in the

population. The methods by which this could be secured were mainly three (i) nomination. (2) electorates and (3) separate

Joint electorates were proposed with the proviso

- electorates Nomination was unanimously deprecated.
- that a proportion of sears should be reserved to the com-Thus a more democratic form would be given to the elections whilst the purpose of the electorate system would be secured. Doubts were expressed that whilst such a system of election might secure the representation of minorities, it provided no guarantee that the representation would be genuine, but that it might, in its working, mean the nomination or, in any event, the election of minority representalives by the majority communities.

It was pointed out that this was in fact only a form of

Community representation and had in practice all the objections to the more direct from of community electorates. "8. The discussion made it evident that the demand

which remained as the only one which would be generally acceptable was separate electorates. The general objection to this scheme has been subject to much previous discussion in India. It involves what is a very difficult problem for solution, viz., what should be the amount of communal representation in the various provinces and in the Centre, that if the whole, or practically the whole, of the seats in a legislature are to be assigned to communities, there will be no room for the growth of independent political opinion of of true political parties, and this problem received a serious

complication by the demond of the rep esentative of the De pressed Classes they should be deducted on the Hindu population and be regarded, for electoral purposes, as a separate community

- "9. It was suggested that, in order to meet the most obvious objection to the ear-marking of sea's to communities, only a proportion should be so assigned—say 80 per cent. or 90 per cent—and that the rest should be filled by open election. This, however, was not regarded by some of the Communities as giving them the guatintees, they required
- "10. The scheme proposed by Maulana Mahammad Ali, a member of the Sub-Committee, whose death we deplore, that, as for as possible no communal candidate should be elected unless he secured at least 40 per cent. according to arrangement, of the votes of the other community, was also considered. It was, however, pointed out that such a scheme necessarily involved the maintenance of communal registers and so was open to objections similar to those urged against separate electorates.
- "11 No claim for separate electorate or for the reservation of seats in joint electorates was made on behalf of women who should continue to be eligible for election on the same footing as men. But, in order to familiarize the public mind with the idea of women taking an active part in political life and to secure their interim representation on the legislature, it was urged that 5 per cent of the seats in the first three Councils should be reserved for women and it was suggested that they should be filled by co-option by the elected members voting by proportional representation
- "12 Thre was general agreement with the recommendation of Sub-Committee No II (Provincial Constitution) that the representation on the Provincial Executive of important minority communities was a matter of the greatest practical importance for the successful working of the new constitutions and it was also agreed that, on the same grounds, Muhammadans should be represented on the Federal Executive. On behalf of the smaller minorities a claim was put forward for their representation, either individually or collectively, on the Provincial and Federal Executives or that, if this should be found impossible, in each Cabinet there should be a Minister specially charged with the duty of protecting minority interests.
- (Dr. Ambedkar and Sardar Ujjal Singh would add the words "and other important minorities" after the word Muhammadans in line 6).

Congress Rejuses to eart With rower

The difficulty of working jointly responsible Executives unde such a scheme as this was pointed out

13. As regards the administra ion, it was agreed that recruitment to both Provincial and Central Services should be enterusted to Public Service Commissions, with instructions to reconcile the claims of the various communities to fair and adequal e representation in the Public Service, whilst prodoing for the maintenance of a proper standard of efficiency

"16. It has also been made clear that the British Government cannot, with any chance of agreement, impose upon the communities an electoral principle which, in some feature or other, would be met by their opposition. It was therefore plain that, failing an agreement, separate electorates, with all their drawbacks and difficulties, would have to be retained as the basis of the electoral arrangments under the new constitution. From this the question of proportions would arise. Under these circumstances, the claims of the Depressed Classes will have to be considered adequately.

"18 The Minorities and Depressd Classes were definite in their assertion that they could not consent to any self-governing constitution for India unless their demands were met in a leasonable manner"

The Federal Structure Committee, another Committee

appointed by the Round Table Conference to discuss the form and functions of the Central Government, had also to consider the question of the Untouchables in connection with the composition of the Federal Legislatures. In the report it made to the conference it said.—

Opinion was unanimous in the sub-committee that, subject

to any report of the minorities Sub-Committee that, subject to any report of the minorities Sub-Committee, provision should be made for the representation, possibly in both Chambers and certainly in the Lower Chamber, of certain special interests, namely, by the Depressed Classes Indian Christians, Europeans, Anglo-Indians, Landlords, Commerce (European and Indian) and Labour."

Ш

Before the first session of the Round Table Conference was concluded the reports of both the Committees were placed Before the Conference and were passed by the Conference It will be noticed that although agreement on details was lacking it was unanimously accepted that the Untouchables were entitled to recognition as a separate entity for political and constitutional

purposes.

The only party in the country whose attitude to this dension of the Round Table Conference was not known when the First Session of the Round Table Conference was closed, was the This was because the Congress had boycotted the Round Table Conference and was busy in carrying on civil disobedience against the Government By the time fee Second Session of the Round Table Conference became due, a compromise between His Majesty's Government and the Congress was leached as a result of which the Congress age of the participate in it and make its contribution to the solution of the many problems confronting the Conference Everybody, who had witnessed the good temper, happy relationship and the spice of give and take shown by the delegates at the first session of the Round Table Conference, hoped that the progress made would be maintained from cosmon to session. Indeed the rate of progress in forging an agreement was expected to be much more rapid as a regul of the actent of the Congress. In fact, friends of Congress were alleging that if the session did not produce an agreement it was because of the absence of the Congress

Everybody was therefore looking forward to the Congress to lead the Conference to success. Unfortunately, the Congress chose Mi. Gandhi as its representative. A worse person could not have been chosen to guide India's desumy. As a unifying force he was a failure. Mr Gandhi presents himself as a man full of humility But his behaviour at the Round Table Confesence showed that in the flush of victory Mr. Gandhi can be very petty-minded. As a result of his successful compromise with the Government just before he came, Mr. Gandhi treated the whole Non-Congress delegation with contempt. He insulted them whenever an occasion furnished him with an excuse by openly telling them that they were nobodies and that he alone, as the delegate of the Congress, represented the country. Instead of unifying the Indian delegation, Mr. Gandhi widened the breach From the point of view of knowledge, Mr Gandhi proved himself to be a very ill-equipped person. On the many constitutional and communal questions with which the Conference was confronted, Mr Gandhi had many platitudes to utter but no views or suggestions of a constructive character to offer. He presented a curious complex of a man who in some cases would threaten to resist in every possible way and compromise on what he regarded as a principle though others regarded it as a pure prejudice but in other cases would not mind making the worst compromises on issues which appeared to others as matters of fundamental principle on which he compromise should be made

Mr. Gandhi's attitude to the demands of the Untouchables at the second session of the Round Table Conference furnishes the best illustration of this rather queet trait in his character. When the delegates assembled for the second session of the Round Table Conference the Federal Structure Committee met first. In the very first speech which he made in the Federal Structure Committee on 15th Seprember 1931, Mr. Gandhi referred to the question of the Unicabrables Mr Gandhi said:—

"The Congress has, from its very commencement, taken up the cause of the so-called 'Untouchables.' There was a time wher the Congress has or every annual session as its adjunct the Social Conference, to which the late Ranade dedicated his energies, among his many other activities. Headed by him you will find, in the programme of the Social Conference, reform in connection with the 'Untouchables' taking a promipert place. But, in 1920, the Congress took a large step and brought in the question of the removal of untouchability as a plank on the political platform, making it an important item of the p. Wital programme. Just as the Congress considered the Hindu-Must.m unity-thereby meaning unity amongst all the classes—to be indispensable for the attainment of Swara, so also did the Congress consider the removal of the curse of untouchability as an indispensable conition for the attainment of full freedom. The position the Congress took up in 1920 remains the same today; and so you will see the Congress has attempted from its very beginning to be what it described itself to be, namely, national in every sense of the term "

Anyone, who has perused how the Congress failed to carry out the 1922 programme for the uplift of the Untouchables which was included in the Bardoh programme and how it left it to the Hindu Maha Sabha, could have no hesitation in saying that what Mr. Gandhi said was untrue. The speech however gave no indication as to what line Mr. Gandhi was going to take on the demands presented by the Untouchables, although I could see the drift of it.* But he did not leave people long in imagining what his position was going to be. The meeting of the Federal Structure Committee held on the 17th of September 1931 provided him the necessary occasion. The agenda for the meeting included the question of election of members of the Federal Legislatures. Expressing his views on the subject, Mr. Gandhi made the following statement:—

"I come to sub-head (v)—representation by special constituencies of special interests. I here speak for the Congress.

^{*}Before going to the First Session of the Round Table Conference I had an interview with Mr. Gandhi in Bombay in which he had told me that he was not in favour of regarding the Unionchables as a separate entity for colitical purposes.

The Congress a room d s lt o p c al trea me t of he Hindu Mu l n Sik ngl T are a d so indireasons for it but the Congress will not extend that doctrine in any snape or form. I listened to the list of special interests. So for as the Un outhables are concerned, I nave not yet quite grasped what Dr. Ambedkar has to say; but of course the Congress will share the honour with Dr. Ambedkar of representing the interests of the Untouchables. They are is clear to the Congress as the interests of any other body or of any other individual throughout the length and breadth of India. Therefore I would most strongly resist any further special representation."

This was nothing but a declaration of War by Mr Gandhi and the Congress against the Untouchables, In any case it resulted in a war between the two. With this declaration by Mr. Gandhi, I knew what Mr Gandhi would do in the Minorities Committee which was the main forum for the discussion of this question.

Mr. Gandhi was making his plans to bypass the Untouchables and to close the communal problem by bringing about a settlement between the there parties, the Hindus, the Muslim and the Sikhs. He had been carrying on negetiations privately with the Muslims before the Minorities Committee met, but evidently they had not been concluded. Consequently, when the Minorities Committee met on 28th September 1931, Sir Ali Imam representing the Nationalist Muslim point of view started the debate. He began by saying '--

"I am personally not aware if there are any negotiations going on so far as the Muslim Delegation is concerned. I have had no opportunity of knowing that there are any proposals at present that are under consideration. It may be, as I have heard generally, that some kind of understanding may be arrived at. I do not vouch for it; I know nothing about it. If you desire, Sir, that I should put before you the Muslim Nationalists' point of view, I shall be ready to do so; but, of cours, I must have your permission, because it may take a little time and economy of time in a meeting like this is one's principal aim.

"Chairman. The point is that this Committee's business is very strictly limited to a consolidation of the Minorities problem.

Sir Ali Imam : It is from that point of view that I shall approach the subject.

Chairmam: If there is no other official intervention shall I call on Sir Ali Imam?"

Congress Refuses to Part With Power

Thun f llow U H hg ess th Aga Khan who said of he as ha. Maratina Gander is going to see the Musl D tigat co-night. We hope or ight to have a friendly talk with artified. Toat is all that I can tell you as far as any

e sable negati-tion is concerned? Forth, a war Motion Malaviya also suggested that a sho distant and be faitful of lesuits. Knowing that this wa

That has is move I got up and speke as follows :--1 should like to say one word before we adjourn. As

regards your aggestion-that while these negotiations are gering of member of the other minority communities should prepare their car. - I should like to say that so far as the Depresses Classes are concerned, we have already presented ou case to the Micornies Sub-Committee last time.

the coly thing which remains for me to do is to put before this Committee, short statement* suggesting the quantum of represents ion which we went in the different Legislatures Bevose and I do not mak I am called upon to do anything, but he point I am anxious to make at the very outset is this I have heard with great pleasure that further negotiations are going to take place for the settlem at of the communal issue. but I would like to make our position clear a the very start I do not wish any doubt should be left on this question Those who are negotiating ought to understand that they are

and plesipotengaries appointed by the Committee to negotiate a syttlement, that whatever may be the representative character of Mir Ganahi or of the other parties with whom he wishes in regoliate, they certainly are not in a position to bind us—certainly not. I say that most emphatically in this meeting "Another thing I want to say is this—that the claims put Great by the various minorities are claims put forward by them irrespective of the consideration as to whether the claims that they have put forward are consistent with the claims of the

pile becasen one minority on the one hand and the Congress or any other party for the matter of that on the other hand, without taking into consideration the claims which have been put forward by other mineraties, can have no binding force as far as I am concerned. I have no quarrel with the question we other any particular community should get weight age or not.

other minorities. Consequently, any settlement which takes

^{*}This I did by putting in another memorandum which is included prendix II.

but I do want to say most emphasically that whoever claims weightage and whoever is willing to give that veightage nemust not give it—he cannot give it—out of my share. I want to make that absolutely plain."

What followed will be clear from the extract from the preeedings given below —

This is the body before which the final scalement must come, and the suggestion is merely that if there are minorities or communities that hitherto have been in confact with each other they should use ashort time for the purpose of trying to overceme there difficulties. That will be a step and a very important and essential step, towards a general agreement, but the agreement is going to be a general one.

Dr. Ambedkar I have made my position absolutely clear, "Chairman. Dr. Ambedkar's position has been made absolutely clear, in his usual splended way he has left no doubt at all about it, and that will come up with this boby resumes its discussion. What I would like no do is to get you all to feel that we are co-operating together for a general settlement not for a settlement between any two or any three, but a complete set lement.

"Chairman The position is this We will adjourn row, I think and later continue our meetings. Pending any negotiations that may be going on between any two or any three of you, we can take up the time in listening to a statement of the claims of the other minorities. I think that would be very useful. It would save time, and it would not man the possibility of any harmony that may be reached between, say, our Sikh friends—who, we know, can look after themselves with a great deal of persistence—Mr. Gandhi and his friends and the Aga Khan and his.

"Dr. Ambedkar I should like to suggest whether it would not be possible for you to appoint a small Committee consisting of members drawn from the various minority communities, along with the Congress representatives, to sit in an informal manner and discuss this problem during the period of the adjournment

"Chairman. I was going to make this suggestion. Do not ask me to appoint that Committee, do it yourselves. I have invited you to get together. Could not you manage to hold an informal meeting amongst yourselves and talk the matter over, and then when you speak here you will speak with some sort of

knowledge of the effect of what you are saying on others? Could we leave it in that way?

"Dr. Ambeukar: As you like

"Cheirman . That would be for better "

No settlement was evidently arrived at between the thre arties during the adjournment. Consequently when the Mino ies Committee met again on first October 1931, Mr. Gandlaid:—

"Finne Minister, after consultation with His Highness the Aga Khan and other muslim friends last night, we came to the conclusion that the purpose for which we meet here would be better service if a week's adjournment was asked for. I have not had the opportunity of consulting my other colleagues, but I have no doubt that they will also agree in the proposal I am making."

The proposal was seconded by the Age Khan I got up t ppose the motion. What I said will be clear from the following xiact from the proceedings:—

"Dr Ambedkor: I do not wish to create any difficulty in our making every possible attempt to arrive at some solution of the problem with which this Committee has to deal, and if a solution can be arrive at by the means suggested by Mahatma Gandhi, I, for one, will have no objection to that proposal.

"But there is just this one difficulty with which I, as representing the Depressed Classes, am faced. I do not know what sort of committee Mahaima Gandni proposes to appoint to consider this question during the period of adjournment, but I suppose that the Depressed Classes will be represented on this Committee.

"Mr. Gandhi . Witout dout.

Dr. Ambedkar: Thank you But I do not know whether in the position in which I am today it would be of any use for me to work on the proposed Committee. And for this reason. Maraima Gandhi told us on the first day that he spoke in the federal Structure Committee that as a representative of the Indian National Congress he was not prepared to give political recognition to any community other than the Muhammdans and the Sikhs. He was not prepared to recognize the Anglo Indians, the Depressed Classes, and the Indian Christians. I do not think that I am doing any violence to etiquette by stating in this Committee that when I had the pleasure of meeting Mahatma Gandhi a week ago and discussing the question of the Depressed Classes with him, and when we, as members

of the or a moratics had the chance of talking with him vesterday in his office, he told us in quite plain terms that the artifude that he had taken in the Federal Structure Committee was a firm and well considered artitude. What I would like to say is that unless at the outset I know that the Depressed Classes are going to be recognised as a community entitled to political recognition in the future Constitution of India, I do not know whether it will serve any purpose for me to joint the committee that is proposed by Mahatma Gandhi to be constituted to go into this matter. Unless, therefore, I have an assurance that this Committee will start with the assumption that all those communities which the Minorities Sub-Committee last year recommended as fit for recognition in the future constituion of India will be included, I do not know that I can wholeheartaily support the proposition for adjournment, or that I can whole-heartedly co-operate with the Committee that is going to be nominated. That is what I wish to be clear about

"Dr Ambedkar: I should like to make my position further ciear. It seems that there has been a certain misunderstanding regarding what I said. It is not that I object to adjournment; it is not that I object to serving on any Committee that might be appointed to consider the question. What I would like to know before I enter upon this committee, if they give me the privilege of serving on it, is: What is the thing that this Committee is going to consider? Is it only going to consider the question of the Mahammadans visarvis the Sikhs in the Punjab? Or is it going to consider the question of the Christians, the Anglo-Indians and the Depressed Classes?

"If we understand perfectly well before we start that this not merely concern itself committee will question of the Hindus and the Muhammadans, of the Hindus and the Sikhs, but will also take upon itself the responsibility of considering the case of the Depressed Classes, the Anglo-Indians and the Christians, I am perfectly willing to allow this abjournment resolution to be passed without any objection But I do want to say this, that if I am to be left out in the cold and if this interval is going to be utilised for the purpose of solving the Hindu-Muslim question, I would press that the Minorities Committee should itself grapple with the question and consider it, rather than allow the question to be dealt with by some other informal Committee for arriving at a solution of the communal question in respect of some mmorities only.

Mr. Gandhe. Prime Minister and friends, I see that there is some kind of meanweist anding with reference to the scope of the work that some of as more son before our selves. I feat il at Di Ambedkai, Colonel Gidney and other friends are processarily very, us about what is going to happen. Who an I to dens political states to any emgle interest or class or even individual in India? As a supresentative of the Congress I should be un; orthor of the trust that has been reposed in the by the Congress of I were guilty of sacrificing a singal national interst. I have undoubtedly given expression to my own views on these points. I must confess that I hold to those views also. But there are way, and ways of guaranteeing protection to every single interst. It will be for those of us who will be putting our heads together to try to evolve a scheme Nobady would be hampered in pressing his own views on the members of this very informal conference or meeting

"I do not think, therefore, that anybody need be afraid as to being able to express his opinion or carrying his opinion also. Minc will be there equal to that of every one of us, it will carry no greater weight. I have no authority behind me to carry my epinion against the opinion of anybody. I have simply given expression to my views in the rational interest, and I shall give expression to these views whenever they are opportune. It will be for you, it is for you to reject or accept these opinions. Therefore please disburse your minds, to everyone of us, of the idea that there is going to be any steamfolding in the Conference and the informal meetings that I have adambrated. But if you think that this is one way of corning closer together than by sitting stiffly at this table, you will not carry this adjournment motion but give your wholehearted co-operation to the proposal that I have made in connection with these informal meetings.

"Chairman. Then I shall proceed to put it. I put it on the clear understanding, my friends, that the time is not going to be was ed and that these conferences—as Mr. Gandhi has said, informal conferences, but nevertheless I hope very valuable and fruitful conferences—will take place between now and our next meeting. I hope you will all pledge yourselves to use the time in that way."

It is unnecessary for me to recite what happened at the informing teeting held after the adjournment. It was a complete farlure of a fixer. The meeting was presided over by Mr. Gandh it Gandhi began with the most difficult part of the Communication namely the dispute between the Sikhs and the Muslim

n the Punjab. This problem at one stage appeared to be nearer solution when the parties agreed to abide by the decision of an Arbitrator. The Sikhs, however, refused to proceed further in the matter until they knew who the Arbitrator was. At the Musalmans were not prepared to have the name of the Arbitrator disclosed the matter fell through. Mr. Gandhi was not interested in the problem of the other retrorities, such as the Untouchables although he enacted the farce of calling upon the representatives of the other minorities to present a catalogue of their demands. He heard them but took no notice of them much. Did he place them before the meeting for its consideration? As soon as the Sikh-Mislip settlement broke up, Mr. Gandhi dissolved the meeting. The Minorities Committee met on 8th October 1931. The Prime Minister having called upon. Wr. Gandhi, to speak first, the latter said.—

"Prime Minister and fitends, it is with deep sorrow and deeper numbration that I have to announce utter failure on my part to secure an agreed solution of the communal question through informal conversations among and with the representatives of different groups. Lapologist to you, Mr Prime Minister, and the other colleagues for the waste of a precious week. My only consolation has in the fact that when Laccepted the builden of carrying on these talks. I knew that there was much tope of success and still more in the fact that I am has aware at having spared any effort to reach a solution.

"But to say that the conversations have to our after shame failed is not to say the whole truth. Causos of failure were tal erent in the composition of the Indian Delegation. We are almost all not elected representatives of the parties or groups whom we are presumed to represent ; we are here by nomina tion of the Government. Nor are those whose presence was absolutely necessary for an agreed solution to be found here Further, you will allow me to say that this was hardly the time to sum, ion the Minorities Committee. It lacks the sense of leafity in that we do not know that it is that we are going to get, If we know in a definite manner that we wore going ic got the thing we want, we should he sitate fifty three before we therw it away in a sinful wrangle as it would be if we are cold that the getting of it would depend upon the ability of the present Delegation to produce an agreed solution of the communal tangle. The solution can be the crown of the Swarai constitution, not its foundation—if only because our differences have nuidened, if they have not arisen, by reason of the foreign domination. I have not a shadow of a doubt that the icebag of communal differences will melt under the warmth of the sun of freedom.

I, therefore, venture to suggest that the Minorities Committee be adjourned sine die and that the fundamentals of the constitution be hommered into shape as quickly as may be. Meanwhile, the informal work of discovering a true solution of the communal problem will and must continue; only it must not bank or be allowed to block the progress of constitution-building. Attention must be diverted from it and concentrated on the main part of the structure

"I hardly need point out to the Committee that my failure does not mean the end of all hope of arriving at an agreed solution. My failure does not even mean my utter defeat, there is no such word in the dictionary. My confession merely means failure of special effort for weigh I presumed to ask for a week's indulgence, which you so generously gave.

"I propose to use the failure as a stepping-stone to success, and I invite you all to do likewise; but, should all effort at agreement fail, even when the Round Table Conference reaches the end of its labours, I would suggest the addition of a clause to the expected constitution appointing a judicial tribunal that would examine all claims and give its final decision on all the points that may be left unsettled."

In the discussion that followed everybody refuted Mr. Gandhi diegation that the delegates were nominated by Government and lid not represent the people. Getting up to make my positio dear, I said:—

"Mr Prime Minister, last night when we parted at the conclusion of the meeting of the informal Committee, we parted. although with a sence of failure, at least with one common understanding, and that was that when we met here today none of us should make any speech or any comment that would cause exasperation I am sorry to see that Mr. Gandhi should have been guilty of a breach of this understanding Excuse me. I must have the opportunity to speak. He started by giving what were, according to him, the causes of the failure of the informal Committee. Now, I have my own view of the causes which I think were responsible for the failure of the informal Committee to reach an agreement, but I do not propose to discuss them now. What disturbs me after hearing Mr. Gandhi is that instead of confining himself to his proposition, namely, that the Minorities Committee should adjourn sine die, he started easting certain reflections upon the representatives of the different communities who are sitting round this table. He said that the Delegates were nominees of the Government. and that they did not represent the views of their respective communities for whom they stood. We cannot deny the

allegation that we are nommees of the Governmet, but, speaking for myself. I have not the slightest doubt that even if the Depressed Classes of India were given the chance of electing their representatives to this Conference, I would, all the same, find a place here. I say therefore that whether I am a nominee or not, I fully represent the claims of my community. Let no man be under the missaken impression as regards that.

"The Mahatma has been always their ning that the Congress stands for the Depressed Classes, and that the Congress represents the Depressed Classes more than I or my colleague can do. To that claim I can only say that it is one of the many false claims which irresponsible people keep on making, although the persons concerned with regard to those claims have been invariably denying thera.

"I have here a telegram which I have just received from a place which I have never visited and from a man whom I have never seen-from the President of the Depressed Classes Union, Kumaun, Almora, which I believe is in the United Provinces, and which contains the following resolution."

"This Meeting declares its non-confidence in the Congress movement which has been carried on in and ourside the country, and condemns the methods adopted by the Congress workers"

I do not care to read further, but I can say this (and I think if Mr Gandhi will examine his position he will find out the truth), that although there may be people in the Congress who may be showing sympathy towards the Depressed Classes, the Depressed Classes are not in the Congress. That is a proposition which I propose to substantiate. I do not wish to enter into these points of controversy. They seem to be somewhat outside the main proposition. The main proposition which Mr. Gandhi has made is that this Committee should be adjurned sine die. With regard to that proposition, I entirely agree with the attitude taken up by Sir Muhammad Shafi for one, cannot consent to this proposition. It seems to me that there are only two alternative—either that this Minorities Committee should go on tackling the problem and trying to arrive at some satisfactory solution, if that is possible, and then, if that is not possible, the British Government should undertake the solution of that problem. We cannot consent to leave this to the arbitration of third parties whose sense of responsibility may not be the same as must be the sense of responsibility of the British Government.

Prime Minister, permit me to make one thing clear Depressed Classes are not anxious, they are not clamorous, they have not statted any movement for claiming that there shall be an immediate transfer of power from the British to the Indian repupie. They have their particular guevances against the Bruish people and I think I have voiced them sufficiently to make it clear that we feel those grievances most acutely. But, to be true to facts, the position is that the Depried Clases are not the couring for transfer of political power. This position, in put it plainly, is that we are not anxious for the transfer of power: but if the British Government is prable to move, the forces that have been set up in the country which as clame us for transference of political powerand we know the Dopressed Classes in their present choumstapecs we not in a position to resent that—then our submission is that if our take that transfer, that transfer will be accompanied by such inditions and by such provisions that the power shall not foll into the hands of a clique, into the hands of an oligar my, or into the hands of a group of people, whether Mulisumadans in Findus; but that that solution shall be such that the power shall be shared by all communities in their respective proportions. Taking that view, I do not see how I, for one, can take any sent us part in the deliberation of the Federal Structure Committee unless I know where I and my community stand."

The Firme Minister in his concluding observations said—
"Let us adjourn, and I will call you together again. In the
meantime what I would like would be if these of you are sitting
opposite me, the representatives of the small minorities, would
also try your hand?

"If there are any common agreements among yourselves, I would suggest that you circulate them It is not the British Government that is going to stand in the way of any agreement . Therefor, what I would like you to have in your minds after the rainer depressing statements to which we have listened. is this. That the British Government wants to go on the British Government wants to you go on. The British Government will take its action if you cannot go on to an end, because we are determined to make such improvements in the Government of India as will make the Government of India consistent with our own ideas, as will make the Government of India sen thing that is capable of greater and greater coansion towards liberty. That is what we want | Lappeal to the Delegates here today - Delegates representing all comrunities -00 not stand in our way, because that is what is happening,"

IV

Acting on the suggest on of the Prime Minister the minorities to consider the, rould produce a settlement. They trend not produced a settlement which was submitted to the Prime limiter in the evening before the next meeting of the Minorities ommittee which took place on 13th November 1931. In his pening remarks the Prime Minister and—

"The work of this Committee, therefore, was from the very beginning of supreme improtence, and I am sorry that you have been unable to present to as an agreed plan.

"Last night, nowever, I received a deputation representing the Muhammadans the Depressed Classes, at any rate a section of the Indian Chaptians the Anglo Indians and the British Committee. I think that is the complete range. They came and saw me in my room in the House of Commons last night with a document which embodied an agreement that they had come to amongst themselves. They informed me, in presenting the document to me, that it covered something in the region of 46 per cent. of the population of British India.

"I think the best thing would be as we have had no time to consider this, to treat this document as a document which is official to the recordes of this Committee and in order that may be done I shall ask His Highness The Aga Khan formally to present it here, so that it may be entered in our official record."

His Highness The Aga Khan then got up and said --

"Mr. Prime Minister, on behalf of the Muhammadans, the Depressed Classes, the Anglo-Indians, the Europeans and a considerable section of the Indian Christian groups, I present the document embodying the agreement which has been arrived at between them with regard to the intercommunal problem with which the Round Table Conference in general and the Minorities Committee in particular are concerned. We desire to make it clear that this agreement has been arrived at after careful and anxious consideration of this difficult and complicated problem and must be taken as a whole. All parts of the agreement are interdemendent and agreements stand or fall as a whole."

This document was known as the Minorities Pact." In the eneral discussion that followed Mr. Gandhi's speech no doubt ttracted the greatest attention. Mr Gandhi was furious. He ttacked everybody who had taken part in producing the Minorities

^{*} It is reproduced as Appendix III.

act. He was particularly furious for the recognition given to t Intournables as a separate political entity. This is what N landla said:--

"I would like to repeat what I have said before, that while the Congress will always accept any solution that may be acceptable to the Finders, the Muhammadans and the Sikhs, Congress will be no party to the special electorates for any

other mino iti. One work more as to the so-called Uniouc-I die inderstand the claires advanced by other minorities, but the claim, advanced on behalf of the Untouchable, that time is the junkindest out of all. It

neans the perpetual bar-simister. I vould not sell the vital interests of the Untouchables even for the sake of winning the meedon. I indue a claim myself in my own person to represent the vasi wass of the Unitouchables. Here I speak not merely on on all of the Congress, but I speak on my own

behalf, and I claim that I would get, if there was a referendum of the Untouchables, their vote, and that I would top the poll And I would work field use end of India to the other to tell the Uniouchables that separate electorates and separate

reservation is not the way to remove this bar traister, which is the shame, not of them, but of orthodox Hinduism "Let this Committee and let the whole world know that today there is a body of Hindu reformers who are pledged to remove this blot of untouchability. We do not want on our

register and on our census. Untouchables classified as a separate class Sikhs may remain as such in perpetuity, so may Muhammadans so may Europeans. Will Untouchables remain Untouchables in perpetuity? I would far rather that Hinduism died than that Untouchability lived Therefore, with

all my regard for Dr. Ambedkar, and for his desire to see the Untouchables uplifted, with all my regard for his ability. I must say in all humility that here the great wrong under which he has laboured and pernaps the bitter experiences that he has undergone have for the moment warped his judgment. It hurts me to have to say this, but I would be untrue to the cause of the Untouchables, which is as dear to me as life itself, if I did not say it. I will not bargain away their rights for the kingdom of the whole world I am speaking with a due sense of responsibility, and I say that it is not a proper claim which is registered by Dr. Ambedkar when he seeks to speak for the whole

of the Untouchables of India It will create a divison in Hin-

duism which I cannot possibly look forward to with any satisfaction whatsoever I do not mind Untouchables, if they so desire, being converted to Islam or Christianity I should tolerate that, but I cannot possibly tolerate what is in store for Hinduism if there are two divisions stife to the villages. Those who speak of the political ight "U touchables up not know their Inda do not know now Incian Society is today constructed, and therefore I want to say with all the emphasis that I can command that if I was the only person to resist this thing I would resist it with my life."

The Chanman knowing that there was no hope of getting an agreed solution before adjourning the Minorities Committee sine die made a suggestion to the delegates. He said:—

"Will you, each of you, every member of this Committee, sign a request to me to settle the community question and pledge yourselves to accept my decision? That I think is a very fair offer. I do want any section, or any one main will the members of this Committee sign a declaration asking me to give a decision even a temporary one, the compunity question, and say that you will agree ? I do not ...ant it now. I say, will you put your names to it and give that to me, with the assurance that the decision come to will be recepted by you and will be worked by you to the best of your ability in the course of the working of the new constitution? I take asked several sections - it least, individuals - from time to time for that, and I have never got it. That would certainly straighten out the position, but apart from that, do, please, not forget what I said to opening this meeting—that the Government will not allow community differences to prevent it from carrying out its pledges and producing a constitution. Therefore do not make the community difference more important than H is "

T,

Thus ended the efforts by the Minorities Committee to bring about a solution of the communal problem. The discussion in the Committee threw Mr. Gandhi's attitude to the Uniouchables in relief. Everybody felt that Mr. Gandhi was the most determind enemy of the Untouchables, so much of his energy and attention did Mr. Gandhi concentrate on the question of the Untouchables that it would not be unfair if it was said that the main purpose for which Mr. Gandhi came to the Round Table Conference was to oppose the demands of the Untouchables.

Those, who were friends of Mr. Gandin, could not understand Mr. Gandhi's attitude to the demands of the Untouchables. To give recognition to the Muslims and the Sikiis and to refuse it to the Untouchables come to them as a surprise and a puzzle. Whatever they asked for an explanation, Mr. Gandhi die nothing except to get angry Mr. Gandhi numbel could not give a logical and consistent defence of his opposition to the

ntouchables Inside the Round Table Conference his defen as that the Hindus had seriously taken up the cause of t intouchables and that therefore there was no leason to give political safiguards. Outside the Round Table Conference gave totally different leasons. In a speech in defence of hosition Mr. Gandhi said.—

"Muslims and Sikhs are all well organized. The Untouchables' are not. There is very little political consciousness among them and they are so herrably treated that I want to save them against themselves. If they had separate electorates their lives would be mose-able in villages which are the strongholds of Hindu orthodoxy it is the superior class of Hindus who have to do penance for having neglected the Untouchables' for ages. That penance can be done by active social reform and by a aking the lot of the 'Untouchables' more bearable by acts of source, but not by asking for separate electorates for them. By g ving them separate electorates you will throw the apple of distord between the 'Untouchables' and the orthodox. You must understand I can tolerate the proposal for special representation of the Musalmans and the Sikhs only us a necessary evil. It would be a positive danger for the 'Untouclables.' I am certain that the question of separate elect rates for the 'Untouchables' is a modern manufacture of Generalizers. The only thing needed is to put them on the voters' hat, and provide for fundamental rights for them in the costilution. In cases they are unjustly treated and their representative is deliberately excluded they would have the nght to special election tribunal which would give them complete protection. It should be open to these tribunals to order the unseating of an elected candidate and the election of the excluded man.

"Separate electorates to the 'Untouchables' will ensure them bondage in perpetuity. The Musalmans will never cease to be Musalmans by having separate electorates. Do you want the 'Untouchables' to remain 'Untouchables' for ever? Well, the separate electorates would perpetuate the stigma. What is needed is destruction of Untouchability, and when you have done it, the bar-sinister which has been imposed by anscient 'superior' class upon an 'inferior' class will be destroyed. When you have destroyed the bar-sinister, to whom will you give the separae electorates? Look at the history of Europe. Have you got separae electorates for the working classes or women? With adult franchise, you give the 'Untouchables' complete security. Even the orthodox would have to approach them for votes.

"Now then you ask, does Dr. Amedkar, their representative, insist on separate electorates for them.? I have the

lighest regard for Dr An edkar He as eve / right to be bitter. The he does not break our reads is an alt our self estraint on his part. He is today so much saturated with suspicion that he cannot see appring clse. He sees in every Hindu a determined opponent of the 'Uniouchables' and it is quite natural. The same thing happened in one in my early days in South Africa, where I was hounded out by Europeans wherever I went. It is quite natural for him to vent his wrath. But the separate electorates that he seeks will not give him social reform. He may himself mount to power and position but nothing good will accrue to the 'Untouchables' i can say all this with authority, having lived with the 'Untouchables' and having shared their joys and sorrows all these years."

Mr Gandhi at the Round Table Conference was not satisfied with mere propaganda. When he found that the propaganda was not succeeding as well as he expected he resorted to intrigue. When Mr Gandhi heared that at the suggestion of the Prime Minister the minorities were about to produce a settlement and that this settlement would have the effect of the Untouchables getting the support of the other minoities and particularly of the the Muslims. Mr. Gandhi felt considerably disturbed. He devised a scheme to isolate the Untouchables Mr Gandhi planned to by out the Musalmans by giving to the Musalmans their fourteen demands, whichMr. Gandhi was not in the beginning prepared to agree. When he found the Musalmans were lending their support to the Untouchables Mr. Gandhi agreed to them their fourteen points on condition that they withdrew their support from the Uniouchables. The agreement was actually drafted. The text of it is given below -

"DRAFT OF GANDHI-MUSLIM PACT*

Muslim Delegation to the Round Table Conference**

Tel.: Vigtoria 2360 Telegrams "Gourtlike" London Queen's House, 57. St. James' Court, Buckingham Gate, London, S. W. 1 6 th October 1931

The following proposal were discussed by Mr. Gandhi and the Muslim Delegation at 10 p.m. last night. They are

^{*}This document was printed by me in my Thoughts on Pakitson as an Appendix in 1939. It was the first time it saw the light of the day. Its genuineness has never been questioned. I was able to get a copy from a Hindu Delegate to the Round Table Conference who was Privileged by the Muslim League to share the secret.

^{**} This shows that the document was typed on the stationery of the Mushin League Delegation.

Congress Refuses To Part With Power

divided into two parts—The proposals made by the Muslim for sufe-guarding their rights and the proposals made by Mi Guidhi regarding the Congress policy. They are given here with a approved by Mi. Gandhi, and placed for submission to the Muslif Ockgation for their onemon.

MUSEUM PROPOSALS

- i. In the runjab and Bengai bare majority of one percent, of Julisalmans but the question of whother it should be by muchs of joint electrates and reservation of 31 per cent, of the whole a cuse should be referred to the Musulmans voters before the pew constitution comes into force and their verdict should be accepted.
- 2 In ohter provinces where the Misalmans are in a minority the present weightage enjoyed by them to continue, but whether the seats should be reserved to a joint electorate, or whether they should have sepawate electorates shouldbe determined by the Musalman voters by a referendum under the new constitution, and their verdict should be accepted.
- 3. That the Musalman representatives to the Central Legislature in both the houses should be 26 per cent. of the total number of the British India representatives, and 7 per cent at least by convention should be Musalmans, out of the quota that may be assigned to Indian States, that is to say, one-third of the wacle house when taken together.

MR GANDHIS PROPOSALS

- I That the Franchise should be on the basis of adult suffrage.
- ? No special reservations to any other Community save Sikhs and Hindu minorities (Italics are not in the original)
 - 3 The Congress Jemands
 - A Complete Independence..
 - Complete control over the defence immediately.
 - C. Complete control over external affairs.
 - D. Complete control over Finance
 - E Investigation of public debts and other obligations by an independent tribunal.
 - F. As in the case of a partnership, right of either party to terminate it.

- 4 That he residuary poler should wist the derating Prolife of British India.
- 5. That the other-points as I follows being a greed to .
 - 1 Sindh.*
 - 2 NWIP.+
 - 3 Services ††
 - 4 Cabinet **
 - 5 Fundamental rights and safeguards for religion and culture.
 - 6 Safeguards against legislation affecting any community

It is true that the Untouchables are not mentioned in this diaft agreement. But that the Musalmars are bound not to suoport any other randomy except the Sikhs makes it quite clear that they were not to support the Un't achables. In this intrigue. Mr Gandhi failed as he was bound to the Musalmans who were out to demand safeguards for ther selves could not stand up and appose the demands of the Untouchables. Mr. Gandhi in his passion for suppressing the Untouchables had lost his sense of discrimination to such extent as not to be able to distinguish between means which are fair and means which are foul. Mr. Gandhi did not care to honour his word. In the Minorities Committee, Mr. Gandhi had said that if the Committee agreed to accept the claim of the Uniouchables for separate recognition it was free to do so, which meant that he would abide by the decision of the majority. But when he came to know that the other minorities had agreed to support the Untouchables, he did not hesitate to approach the Musalmans and turn them against the Uniouchbles by accepting their fourteen points which the Congress, the Hindu Maha Sabha and even the Simon Commission had rejected. Fyen if Mr. Gandhi was prepared to flout public opinion and public morality this diabolical plot of Mr. Gandhi fell through because the Musalmans refused to disgrace themselves by joining in it. When the second session of the Round Table Conference was dissolved the delegates to the Minorities Committee accepted

^{*}Stands for separation of Sindh.

[†]Stands for Provincial Autonomy and Responsible Government for the N. W. F Province.

⁺¹ Stands for Representation in Services.

^{**}Stands for Representation in the Cabinet.

the proposal of the Prime Minister to put in a signed requisition and a riging had to arbitrate and give his decision on the community fixed. Van deligates aid it including Mr. Gandhi.* There was noting left for the delegates but to return to India and aware the cecision of the Prime Minister and having made him the solution to accept it with good cheer.

1.

defore I is the maniative and state what decision the Prime Minister gave, I must describe the snange phenomenon which I, as a member of the Franchise Committee, witnessed After the close of the second session of the Round Table Conference, the Frime Amaister though, it advisable to have the question of franchise for the new constitution examined by a Committee Accordingly, in December 1931 he appointed a Committee with the line Lord tothian as its Chamman. Its main term of reference was to devise a system of franchise whereby, to use the language of the Prime Minister's letter of instructions to the Chamman.

"The legislatures to which responsibility is to be entrusted should be representative of the gene all mass of the population, and that he important section of the community may lack the means of expressing its needs and its opinions"

The Committee started its work early in January 1932 doing its work the Committee took the help of the Provincil Government and of the Provincil Franchise Committees consisting of non-official specially constituted for that purpose province by province. The Committee issued questionnaires. They were rephed to by the Provincial Governments, by the Provincial Franchise Committees and by indiveduals. Witnesses were examined by the Committee sitting with each Provincial Franchise Committee. The Provincial Government and the Provincial Committees submitted their reports separately to the Committee. They were discussed by the Committee with the provincial Government and the Provincial Committee before it came to its own conclusion. Besides the general lasks assigned to the Lothian Committee, it had a special task with which it was charged by the Prime Minister. It had relation to the political demands of the Untouchables which the Prime Minister had referred to in the following terms in his letter of instruction to the Chairman —

"It is evident from the discussions which have occorred in various connections in the Conference that the new constitution must make adequate provision for the represen-

^{*}I aid not make any such requisition. I felt that the demands of the Unoue ables were so reasonable that no arb tration was ary

tation of the Depressed Classes, and that the method of representation by nomination is no longer regarded as appro-As you are aware, there is a difference of opinion wherther the system of separate electorates should be instituted for the Depressed Classes and your committee's investigations should continuate towards the decision of this question by indicating the extent to which the Depresed Classes would be likely, through such general extension of the franchise as you may recommend, to secure the right to vote in ordinary eletorates. One the other hann, should it be decided eventually to constitute separate electorates for the Depressed Classes, either generally or in those provinces in which they form a distinct and separable element in the population, your Committee's inquiry into the general problem of extending the franchise should place you in possession of facts which woule facilitate the devising of a method of separate representation for the Depressed Classes."

Following upon these instructions, it became the task of the Committee to come to some conclusion as to the total population of of the Uniouchables in British India

To the question what is the population of the Untouchables the replies received were enough to stagger anybody. Witness after witness came fordared to say that the Untouchables in his Province were infinitesimally small. There were not wanting witnesses who said that there were no Untouchables at all !! It was a most extraordinary sight to see Hindu witnesses perjuring themselves regradless of truth by denying the existence of the Untouchables of by reducing their number to a negligible figure. The members of the Provincial Franchise Committee were also a party to this plan. Strange to say that some of the Hindu members of the Lothian Committee were in the game. This move of denying the very existence of the Untouchables or reducing their number almost to nil was particularly rampant in certain Provinces. How the Hindus were prepared to economise truth, even to a vanishing point, will be evident from the following figures In the United Provinces, the Census Commissioner in 1931had estimated the total population of the Untouchables at 126 millions, the Provincial Government at 68 millions but the Provincial Franchise Committee at .6 millions only!! In Bengal, the Census gave the figures of 10.3 millons, Provincial Government fixed it as 11.2 millions but the Provincial Franchise Committee at 07 millions only!

Before the Round Table Confrence no Hindu bothered about the exact population of the Untouchables and were quite satisfied with the accuracy of the Census figures which gave the total of

the Unite complex as about 70 to 80 millions. Why did then the If his and accounty to challenge this name when the question to the tot was Committee? The answer is very car laire as the of he worken Committee the population of the driver and no venue But after the Round Table Confirming the candas had come to know that Untouchables were acreating earth we allotment of their share of representation that the share man one cut of the tumy which the Hindus had neen enjoying to the percound that the measure of the share must general again the population of the Untouchables. The Hindus had rounded that to runt, the enistence of the Untouchables was descended to the mitres. They did not mind sacrificing truth and course, and usuded to adopt the safast course, namely, to that the may Untouchables in India at all, and thereby knock out the letter of the pointed demands of the Untouchables and leave no low rior argument. This shows how the Hindus can community in a loi', cilculated manner against the Untouchables out of the celescress and do indirectly what they cannot do dne. dy

VII

To resume the thread. Having been disgusted with the Round Table Conference where there were critics but no divotees, Mr Gand I was me first to return to India. On account of a statement which he is alleged to have made in an interview he gave to a newspaper correspondent in Rome wherein he threatened to revive his campaign of civil disobedience, Mr Gandhi on his arrayol was arrested and put in jail. Though in jail, not Swaraj but the Untouchables were on his brain. He feared that, not-withstanding his threat to resist it with his life, the Prime Minister at a sole arbitrator might deeps the clause made on behalf of the Untouchables, it the Round Table Conference. Long before any decision was given by the prime Minister, Mr. Gandhi on 11 th Mari. 1932 andressed from jail a letter to Sir Samuel. Hoare, the then Selve try of S ate for India, remainding him of his opposition to the clause of the Uniterchables. The following is the text of that letter.

"Dear Sir Samuel,

the Round Table Conference when the minorities' claim was presented. I had said that I should tesist with my life the grant of sept the electorates to the Depressed Classes. This was not said in the neat of the moment nor by way of rhetoric It was meet to be a serious statement. In pursuance of that statement, I had hoped on my return to India to mobilize public opinion against separate electorates, at any rate, for the Depressed Classes. But it was not to be.

"From the newspapers, I am permitted to read, I observe that any moment His Majesty's Government may declare their decision. At first I had thought, it the decision was found to create separate electricities for the Depressed Classes, I should take such steps as I might then consider necessary to give effect to my vow. But I feel it would unfair to the British Government for me to act without giving previous notice Naturally, they could not actach the significance I give to my statement.

"I need hardly testerate all the objections. I have to the reation of separate electorates for Depressed Classes. I feel a if I was one of them. Their case stands on a wholly different footing from that of others. I am not against their representation in the legislatures. I should favour everyone of their adults, male and female, being registered as voters irrespective of education or property qualification, even though the franchise test may be stricter for others. But I hold that reparate electorate is harmful for them and for dinduism, whatever it may be from the purely political standpoint. To applicate the harm that separate electorate would do them, one has to know how they are distributed amongst the so-called Caste Hard us and how dependent they are on the latter. So far as Hinduism is concerned, separate electorates would simply advisect and disrupt it.

"For metric question of these classes is predominatly moral and religious. The political aspect, important though it is, dwindles in to significance compared to the moral and religious issue

"You will have to appreciate my feelings in this matter by remembering that I have been interested in the condition of these classes from my boyhood and have more than once staked my all for their sake. I say this not to pitde myself in any way. For, I feel that no penance that the Hindus may do can in any way compensate for the calculated degradation to which they have consigned the Depressed Classes for centuries.

"But I know that separate electorate is neither a penance nor any remedy for the coushing degradation they have grouned unact. I, threfore, respectfully inform His Majesty's Government that in the event of their decision creating separate electorate for the Depressed Classes, I must fast unto death

"I am painfully conscious of the fact that such a step, whilst I am a prisoner, must cause grave embarrassment to His Majesty's Government, and that it will be regarded by many as highly improper on the part of one holding my position to introduce into the political field methods which they would describe as hysterical from much worse. All can urge in defence is that for me the contemplated step is not a method, it is part of my being. It is the call of conscience which I dare not disobey, men though it may cost whethever rupatation for sanity I may possess. So far as I can see now my discharge from imprisonments would not make the duty of fasting any the less imperative. I am hoping, nowever, all my fears are wholly unjustified and the British Government have no intention whatever of creating separate electorate for the Depressed Classes."

The following reply was sent to Mr. Gandhi by the Secretar of State .--

India Office, Whitehall, April 13, 1932.

Dear Mr Gandhi,

"I write this in answer to your letter of 11th March, and I say at once I realize fully the strength of your feeling upon the question of separate electorates for the Depressed Classes I can only say that we intend to give any decision that may be necessary solely and only upon the ments of the case you are aware, Lord Lothian's Committee has not yet completed its tous and it must be some weeks before we can receive any conclusions at which it may have arrived. When we receive that report we shall have to give most careful cansideration to its recommendations, and we shall not give a decision until we have taken into account, in addition to the view expressed by the Committee, the views that you and those who think with you have so forcibly expressed I feel sure it you were in our position you would be taking exactly the same action we intend to take. You would admit the Committee's report, you would then give it your fullest consideration, and before arriving at a final decision you would take into account the view that have been expressed on both sides of the controversy. More than this I cannot say. Indeed I do not imagine you would expect me to say more."

After giving this warning, Mr Gandhi slept over the matter inking that a repetition of his threat to fast unto death was ifficient to paralyse the British Government and prevent them om accepting the claim of the Untouchables for special represention. On the 17th August 1952 the decision of the Prime inister on the communal question was announced. That part of

ne decision which relates to the Untouchables is produced slow ---

Communal Decision by His Majesty's Government 1932

- In statment made by Prime Minister on 1st December last on behalf of His Majesty's Government at the close of the second session of the Round Table Conference, which was immediately afterwards endorsed by both Houses of Parliament, it was made plian that if the communities in India were unble to reach a settlement acceptable to all parties on the communal questions with the Conference had failed to solve, His Majesty's Government were determined that India's constitutional advance should not on that account be frustrated, and that they would remove this obstacle by devising and applying themselves a provisional scheme
- 2. On the 19th March last His Majesty's Government, having been informed that the continued failure of the communities to reach agreement was blocking the progress of the plans for the framing of a new Constitution, stated that they were engaged upon a careful re-examination of the difficult and controversial questions which arise. They are now satisfied that without a decision of at least some aspects of the problems connected with the position of minorities under the new Constitution, no further progress can be made with the framing of the Constitution
- His Majesty's Government have accordingly decided that they will include provisions to give effect to the scheme set out below in the proposals relating to Indian Constitution to be laid in due course before Parliament. The scope of this scheme is purposely confined to the arrangements to be made for the representation of the British Indian communities in the Provincial Legislatures, consideration of representation in the Legislature at the Centre being deferred for the reason given in paragraph 20 below. The decision to limit the scope of the scheme implies no failure to realize that the framing of the Constitution will necessita e the decision of a number of other problems of great importance to minorities, but has been taken in the hope that once a pronouncement has been made upon the basic questions of method and proportions of representation the communities themselves may find it possible to arrive at modus vivendi on other communal problems, which have not received the examination they require
- 4. His Majesiy's Government wish it to be most clearly understood that they themselves can be no parties to any negotiations which may be intiated with a view to the revision of their

decision, and will not be prepared to give consideration to any epieseriation amed of securing the modification of it which is not supported by all the parties affected. But they are most to the second door to an egged settlement should such Lappely be fortheous golf therefore, before a new Government of India Act has passed into law, they are satisfied that the communities who are enterned are mutually agreed upon a practiceble atternative scheme, either in respect of any one of note of the Governors' Provinces or in respect the whole of the British India, they will be prepared to recomment to Provinces that that alternative should be substituted for the provisions now outlined

| 5. | * | # | * | |
|----|---|---|---|---|
| ţ, | | ન | | * |
| 7 | * | * | | • |
| 8 | * | * | * | * |

9 Members of me "depressed classes" qualified to vote will vote in a general constituenty. In view of the fact that for a considerable period these classes would be unlikely, by this means alone, to secure any adequate representation in the Legislature, a number of special scats will be assigned to them as shown in the table. These seats will be filled by election from special constituencies in which only members of the "appressed masses" electorally qualified will be entitled to vote. Any person voting in such a special constituency will, as stated above, be also entitled to vote in a general constituency. It is intended that these constituencies should be formed in selected areas where the depressed classes are most numerous, and that, except in Madras, they should not cover the whole area of the Province.

In Bengal it seems possible that in some general constituencies a majority of the voters will belong to the Depressed Classes. Accordingly, pending further investigation, no number has been fixed for the members to be returned from the special Depressed Class constituencies in that Province It is intended to secure that the Depressed Classes should obtain not less than 10 seats in the Bengal Legislature

The precise definition in each Province of those who (if electorally qualified) will be intitled to vote in the special Depressed Class constituencies has not yet been finally determined. It will be based as a rule on the general principles advocated in the Franchise Committees Report. Modification may however, be found necessary in some Provinces in Northern India where the application of the general criteria of

untouchability might result in a definition unsuitable in some respects to the special conditions of the Province

His Majesty's Government do not consider that these special Depressed Classes constituencies will be required for more than limited time. They intend that the Constitution shall provide that they shall come to an end after 20 years if they have not previously been abolished under the general powers of electoral revision referred to in paragraph 6

VIII

Mr. Gandhi found that his threat had failed to have any effect. He did not care that he was a signatory to the requisition asking the Prime Minister to arbitrate. He forgot that as a signatory he was bound to accept the award. He started to undo what the Prime Minister had done. He first tried to get the terms of the Communal Award revised. Accordingly, he addressed the following letter to the Prime Minister.—

YERAYDA CENTRAL PRISON, August 18, 1932.

DEAR FRIEND,

"There can be no doubt that Sri Samule Hoare has showed you and the Cabinet my letter to him of 11th March on the question of the representation of the Depressed Classes. That letter should be treated as part of this letter and be read together with this.

"I have read the Brijish Government's decision on the representation of minorities and have slept over it. In pursuance of my letter to Sri Samuel Hoare and my declaration at the meeting of the Minorities Committee of the Round Table Conference on 13th November, 1931, at St. James' Place, I have to resist your decision with my life. The only way I can do so is by declaring a perpetual fast unto death from food of any kind save water with or without sait and soda. This fast will cease if during its progress the British Government, of its own motion of under pressure of public opinion, revise their decision and withdraw their scheme of communal electorates for the Depressed Classes, whose representatives should be elected by the general electorate under the common franchise, no matter how wide it is

"The proposed fast well come into operation in the ordinary course from the non to 20th Sepetimber next, unless the said decision is meanwhile revised in the manner suggested above.

"I am asking the authorities here to cable the text of this letter to you so as to give you ample notice. But in my case, I am leaving sufficient time for this letter to reach you in time by the slowest route.

"I also ask that this letter and my lette to Sir Samuel Hoare already referred to be published at the earliest possible moment. On my part, I have scrapulously observed the rule of the juil and have communicated my desire or the contents of the two letters to no one, save my two companions, Sarda-Vallabhbhai Patel and Mr Mahadev Desai. But I want, if you make it possible, public opinion to be affected by my letters. Hence my request for their early publication.

"I regret the decision I have taken. But as a man of religion that I hold myself to be, I have no other course left open to me. As I have said in my letter to Sir Samuel Hoare, even if His Majesty's Government decided to release me in order to save themselves from embarrassment, my fast will have to continue. For, I cannot now hope to resist the decision by any other means, and I have no desire whatsoever to compass my release by any means other than honourable

"It may be that my judgment is warped and that I am wholly in error in regarding separate electorates for the Depressed Classes as harmful to them or to Hinduism. If so I am not likely to be in the right with reference to other parts of my philosophy of life. In that case, my death by fasting will be at once a penance for my error and lifting of a weight from off these numberless men and women who have childlike faith in my wisdom. Whereas if my judgment is right, as I have utile doubt it is, the contemplated step is but due to the fulfilment of the scheme of life Which I have tried for more than a quarter of a century, apparently not without considerable success.

i remain, Your faithful friend, M. K. Gandhi"

The Prime Minister replied as under -

"10, Downing Street, September 8 tn, 1932

"DEAR MR GANDHI,

"I have received your letter with much surprise and, let me add, with very sincere regiet. Moreover, I cannot help thinking that you have written it under a misunderstanding as to what the decision of His Majesty's Government as regards

the Depressed Classes really implies. We have always understood you were irrevocably opposed to the permanent segregation of the Depressed Classes from the Hindu community. You made your position very clear on the minorities Committee of the Round Table Conference and you expressed it again in the letter you wrote to Sir Samuel Hoare on 11 th March. We also knew your view was shared by the great body of Hindu opinion, and we, therefore, took it into most careful account when we were considering the question of representation of the Depressed Classes.

"Whilst, in view of the numerous appeals we have received from Depressed Classes Organizations and the generally admitted social disabilities under which they labour and which you have often recoanized, we felt it our duity to safeguard what we believe to be the right of the Depressed Classes to a fair proportion of representation in the legislatures, we were equally careful to do nothing that would split off their community from the Hindue world. You yourself stated in your letter of March 11, that you were not against their representation in the legislatures.

"Under the Government scheme the Depressed Classes will remain part of the Hindu community and will vote with the Hindue electorate on an equal footing but for the first twenty years, while still remaining electorally part of the Hindu Community, they will receive through a limited number of special constituencies, means of safeguarding their rights and interests that, we are convinced, is necessary under present conditions

"Where these consttuenencies are created, numbers of the Depressed Classes will not be deprived of their votes in the general Hindu contituencies, but will have two votes in order that thuir membership of the Hindu community should remain unimpaired

"We have deliberately decided against the creation of what you describe as a communal electorate for the Depressed Clases and inclded all Depressed Class voters in the general or Hindu contituencies so that the higher caste candidates should have to solicit their votes or Depressed Class candidates shoule have to solicit the votes of the higher castes at elections Thus, in every way was the unity of Hindu society preserved.

"We felt, however, that during the early period of responsible Government, when power in the Provinces would pass to whoever possessed majority in the legislatures, it was essential that the Depressed Classes whom you have yourself described in your lettr to Sir Samuel Hoare as having been consigned

by Caste Hindus to calculated degradation for centuries, should return a certain number of members of their own choosing to legislatures of seven of the nine provinces to voice their guevances and their releads and prevent decisions going against them we hout the legislature and the Government listening to their case—in a word, to place them in a position to speak for "lemselves, which every fair-minded person must agree to be necessar? We did not consider the method of electing special representatives by reservation of seats, in the existing conditions, under any system of franchise which is a acticable, members who could genuinely represent them and be responsible for them, because in practically all cases, such members and id be clearly by a majority consisting of highe caste Handa.

"The special advantage initially given under our scheme to the Depressed Classes by means of a limited number of special constituencies, in addition to their normal effectoral rights in the general finds, constituencies, is visually different in conception and effect from the method of representation adopted for a minority such as the Moslems by means of separate communal electorates. For example, a Moslem cannot vote or be a candidate in a general constituency, whereas any electorally qualified member of the Depressed Classes can vote in and stand for the general constituency.

"The number of territorial seats allotted to Moslems is naturally conditioned by the fact that it is impossible for them to gain any further territorial seats and in most province, they enjoy weightage in excess of their population ratio, the number of special seats to be filled from special Depressed Classes constituenties will be seen to be small and has been fixed not to provide a quota mamorically appropriate for the total representation of the whole of the Depressed Class papulation, but solely to secure a minimum number of spekesmen for the Depressed Classes in the legislatures who are chosen exclusively by the Depressed Classes. The proportion of their special seats is everywhere much below the population percentage of the Depressed Classes

"As I understand your attitude, you propose to adopt the extreme course of starving yourself to death not in order to secure that the Depressed Classes should have joint electorate with other Hindus, because that is already provided, nor to maintain the unity of Hindus, which is also provided, but solely to ordered the Depressed Classes, who admittedly suffer from terrible disabilities today, from being able to secure a limited number of representatives of their own choosing to

speak on their behalf in the legislatures which will have a dominating juffuence over their future

"In the light of these very fair and cautious proposals, I am quite unable to understand the reason of the decision you have taken and can only think you have made it under a misapprehension of the actual facts.

"In response to a very general request from Indians after they had failed to produce a settlement themselves the Government much against its will, undertook to give a decision on the minorities question. They have now given it, and they cannot be expected to alter it except on the condition they have stated. I am afraid, therefore, that my answer to you must be that the Government's decision stands and that only agreement of the communities themselves can substitute other electoral arrangements for those that Government have devised in a sincere endeayour to weigh the conflicting claims on their just merits

"You ask that this correspondence, including your letter to Sir Samuel Hoare of March 11th, should be published. As it would seem to me unfair if your present interament were to deprive you of the opportunity of explaining to the public the reason why you intend to fast, I readily accede to the request if on reconsideration you repeat it. Let me, however, once again urge you to consider the actual details of Government's decision and ask yourself seriously the question whether it really justifies you in taking the action you contemplate.

I am,

Yours very sincerely,
J. RAMSAY MAGDONALD."

Finding that the Prime Minister would not yield he sent hir he following letter informing him that he was determined to carr out his threat of fast unto death.—

> "YERAVDA CENTRAL PRISON, September 9th, 1932

DEAR FRIEND,

"I have to thank you for your frank and full letter telegraphed and received this day. I am sorry, however, that you put upon the contemplated step an interpretation that never crossed my mind. I have claimed to speak on behalf of the very class, to sacrifice whose interests you impute to me a desire to fast myself to death. I had noped that the extreme step itself would effectively prevent any such selfish interpretation. Without arguing, I affirm that for me this matter is one of pure religion. The mere fact of the Depressed Classes having double

votes coes not protect them or Hindu society in general from being disrupted. In the establishment of separate electorate at all for the Depressed Classes I sense the injection of poison that is calculated to destory Hinduism and do no good whatever to the Depressed Classes. You will please permit me to say that no matter how sympathetic you may be, you cannot come to a correct decision on a matter of such vital and religious importance to the parties concerned.

"I should not be against even over-representation of the Deplessed Classes. What I am against is their statutory separation even in a limited form, from the Hindu fold, so long as they shoose to belong to it. Do you realize that if your decision stands and the constitution comes into being, you arrest the marvellous growth of the work of Hindu reformers, who have dedicated themselves to the uplift of their suppressed brethren in every walk of life?

"I have, therefore, been compelled reluctantly to adhere to the decision conveyed to you.

"As your letter may give to a misunderstanding, I wish to state that the fact of my having isolated for special treatment the Depressed Classes question from other parts of your decision does not in any way mean that I approve of or am reconciled to other parts of the decision. In my opinion, many other parts are open to very grave objection. Only, I do not consider them to be any warrant for calling from me such self immediation as my conscience has promoted me to in the matter of the Depressed Classes.

I remain,
Your faithful friend
M. K. GANDHI."

Accordingly, on the 20th September 1932, Mr Gandhi commenced his "fast upto death" as a protest against the grant of separate electorates to the Uniouchables.

The story of this fact has been told by Mr Pyarelal in a volume which bears the picturesque and flamboyant title of "The Epic Fast" The curious may refer it I must, however, warn him that it is written by a Boswell and has all the faults of a Boswelliana There is another side to it, but there is neither time nor space to present it here. All I can do is to invite attention to the statement* I issued to the Press on the eve of Mr Gandhi's fast exposing his tactics. Suffice it is to say that although Mr. Gandhi declared a fast unto death, he did not want to die He wanted very much to live.

^{*}A ppendix IV.

The fast nonetheless created a problem, and that problem was how to save Mr Gandhi's life. The only way o save his life was to alter the Communal Award which Mr. Gandhi said but his conscience so much. The Prime Minister had made it quite clear that the British Cabinet would not will have it or after that own, but that they were ready to substitute for it a formula that may be agreed upon by the Caste Hindus and the Uniouchables As I had the privilege of representing the Uniouchables at the Round Table Conference, it was assumed that the assent of the Uniouchables would not be valid unless I was a party to it. The surprising fact is that my position as the leader of the Uniouchables of India was not only not questioned by Congressmen but it was accepted as a fact. All eyes naturally turned to me as the man of the moment or rather as the villain of the piece.

As to myself it is no exaggeration to say that no man was placed in a greater and graver dilemma than I was then. It was a baffling situation. I had to make a choice between two different alternatives. There was before me the duty, which I owed as a part of common humanity, to save Gandhi from sure death. There was before me the problem of saving for the Untouchables the political rights which the Prime Minister had given them. I responded to the call of humanity and saved the life of Mr. Gandhi by agreeing to alter the Communal Award in a manner satisfactory to Mr. Gandhi. This agreement is known as the Poona Pact.

TEXT OF POONA PAGE

The following is the text of the agreement :--

(1) There shall be seats reserved for the Depressed Classes out of the general electorate seats in the Provincial Legislatures as follows:

Madras 30; Bombay with Sind 15; Punjab 8; Bihar and Orissa 18; Central Provinces 20; Assam 7; Bengal 30, United Provinces 20, Total 148

These figures are based on the total strength of the Provincial Councils, announced in the Prime Minister's decision

(2) Election to these seats shall be by joint electorates subject, however, to the following procedure.

All the members of the Depressed Classes, registered in the general electoral roll in a constituency, will form an electoral college, which will elect a panel of four condidates belonging to the Depressed Classes for each of such reserved seats, by the method of the single vote; the four persons getting the highest number of votes in such primary election, shall be candidates for election by the general electorate.

(3) Representation of the Depressed Classes in the Central Legislature shall likewise be on the principle of joint electorates and reserved seats by the method of primary election in the manner provided for in Clause 2 above, for their representation in the Provincial Legislatures

(4) In the Central Legislature, eighteen per cent of the seates illotted to the general electorate for British India in the said legislature shall be reserved for the Depressed Classes

- (5) The system of primary election to a panel of candidates for election to the Central and Provincial Legislatures, as acrein-before mentioned, shall come to an end after the first ten years, unless terminated sooner by mutual agreement under the provision of Clause 6 below
- (b) The system of represention of the Depressed Classes by reserved seats in the Provincial and Central Legislatures as provided for in Clauses 1 and 4 shall continue until determined by mutal agreement between the communities concered in the sectionent.
- (7) Franchise for the Central and Provincial Legislatures for the Depressed Classes shall be as indicated in the Lothian Committee Report.
- (2) There shall be no disabilities attaching to any one on the ground of his being a member of the Depressed Classes in regard to any elections to local bodise or appointment to the Public Services. Every endeavour shall be made to secure fair representation of the Depressed Classes in these respects, subject to such educational qualifications as may be laid down for appointment to the Public Services.
- (9) In every province out of the educational grant, an adequate sum shall be earmarked for providing educational facilities to the Members of the Depressed Classes.

The terms of the Pact were accepted by Mr. Gandhi and given effect to by Government by embodying them in the Government of India Act. The Poona Pact had produced defferent reactions. The Untouchables were sad. They had every reson to be. There are, however, people who do not accept this. They never fail to point out that the Poona Pact gave the Untouchables larger number of seats than what was given to them by the Prime Minister in his Communal Award. It is true that the Poona Pact gave the Untouchables 148 seats, while the Award had only given them 78 But to conclude from this that the Poona Pact gave them more than what was given by the Award is to ignore what the Award had in fact given to the Untouchables.

The Communal Award gave the Untouchables two benefits:—
(1) a fixed quota of seats to be elected by separate electorate of

Untouchacles and to be filled by persons belonging to the Untouchables, (ii) double vote, one to be used through separate electorates and the other to be used in the general electorates.

Now, if the Poona Pact increased the fixed quota of seats it also took away the right to the double vote. This increase in seats can never be deemed to be a compensation for the loss of the double vote. The second vote given by the Communal Award was a priceless privilege. Its value as a political weapon was The voting strength of the Untochables in beyond reckoning each constituency is one to ten. With this voting strength free to be used in the election of caste Hindu candidates, the Uniouchables would have been in a position to determine, if not to dictate, the issue of the General Blection. No caste Hindu candidate could have dared to neglect the Untouchable in his constituency or be hostile to their interest if he was made dependent upon the votes of the Untouchables. Today the Untouchables have a few more seats than were given to them by the Communal Award. But this is all that they have Every other member is in afferent, If the Communal Award with its system of double if not hostile. voting had remained the Uniouchables would have had a few seats less but every other member would have been a member for the Untouchables. The increase in the number of seats for the Untouchables is no increase at all and was no recompense for the loss of separate electorate and the double vote. The Hindus, although, they did not celebrate the Poona Pact, did not like it. Throughout their commotion to save Mr Gandhi's life there was a definite current of conscious feeling that the cost of saying his life may be great. Therefore, when they saw the terms of the Pact they very definitely disliked it, although they had no the courage to reject it Dislike 1 by the Hindus and disfavoured by the Untuchables, the Poona Pact was given recognition by both parties and was embodied in the Government of India Act.

ĮΧ

The signing of the Poona Pact was followed by the appointment of the Hammond Committee to demarcate constituencies, to fix the number of seats for each constituency and settle the system of voting for the legislatures to be set up under the new constitution

In carrying out its functions, the Hammond Committee had to take into account the terms of the Poona Pact and the special sort of electoral plan agreed upon to meet the needs of the Untouchables. Unfortunately, the Poona Pact having been concluded in a hurry had left many things undefined. Of the things that were left undefined the most important were to namely: (1) does the 'panel of four' to be elected at the primary election imply four as a maximum or a minimum? (2) What was intended to be the

method of voting in the final election? It was contended on be half of the Hindus that the panel of four was intended to be a minimum. If four condidates are not forthcoming there could be no primiting election and therefore there can be no election for the reserved scal, which they sold must remain vacant and the Un-J touchables suculd go without representation On behalf of the Untouchable, I was called to state my interpretation of the disputed punts. I continued that four in the Poona Pact meant "not more man from . It did not mean that less than four." On the question of voting the Hindus contended that the compulsory distributive vote was the most appropriate. On behalf of the Untouchables I contended that the cumulative system of voting was the product system to be introduced. Fortunately for the Unrouchables the Hammood C mantree accepted the views propounded by me and rejected those of the Handus. It is interesting to know why are uste hand is put for a their contentions. One may well stop here for a moment and ask, why did the Hindus raise then particular concentions before the Hammond Committee? there any particular motive behind the stand they took? So for as I am abl. to see the object which the Hindus had in demanding four candidates is the minimum for a valid primary election was to place the Hildes in a position to capture the seaf for an election of such a representative of the Untouchable candidate, who would be their rominee and who would be most willing to be the tool of 'he Hindes To get such an Untouchable elected in the final election he must first come in the panel, and he can come in the Panel only if the panel is a large panel. As the election to the panel is by separate electorates consisting exclusively of Uniouchable voters it is obvious that if there is only one candidate in the Panel then as would be the staurchest representative of the Untouchable and werst from the standpoint of the Hindus. there are two, the second will be 1:33 staunch than the first and theretore good from the standpoint of the Hindus. If there are three, the third will be less staunch than the second and therefore better from the standpoint of the Hindus If there be four the fourth will be less ensunch than the third and therefore best from the point of view of the Hindus The Panel of four could therefore give to the Hindus post chance of gerting into the Panel such representatives of the Untouchables as is most suitable to the Hh.cus. That is why incy institled before the Hammond Comnatte that for a valid paner the minimum aumber must be four

The object of insisting upon the system of compulsory distributive vote v.s. 11. same namely to enable the Hindus to capture the seats reserved for the Untouchables. Under the cumulative vote the electric has as many votes as there are seats. He may g v. the many one and date r he may distribute them wo r more and a es as he may desire. Under the distribute them

butive system of voting the elector has also as many votes as there are seats, but he can give only one vote to any one candidate Although the two look different yet in effect there may be no difference, because even under the cumulative vote a voter is not prevented from distributing his votes. He is free to give one vote to one candidate. But the Hindus did not want to take any chance. Their main object was to flood the election to the seat reserved for the Untouchables in the joint electorate by using the surplus votes of the Hindus in favour of the Uutouchable candidate, who happens to be their nominee. The object was to outnumber the Untouchable voters and prevent them from electing their own nomince. This cannot be done unless the surplus votes of the Hindu voters were diverted from the Findu candidate towards the Untouchable candidates. There is a greater change of the diversion of these surplus votes under the distributive system than there is under the cumulative system. Under the former the Hindu votes can give only one vote to the Hindu candidate. The other vote not being of use to the Hiuau candidate is usable only for an Untouchable candidate distributive system thus had the possibility of flooding the election to the seat reserved for the Untouchables and this is why the Hindus preferred it to the system of cumulative voting. But they did not want to leave it to chance. For, even the distributive system from their point of view was not fool-proof. Under the distributive system there was no compulsion upon the voter to use all his votes. He may use one vote for the caste Hindu candidate and may not at all use the balance of his votes. this happened the purpose of getting in their untouchable nominee would be defeated. Not to leave things to chance, the Hindus wanted that the distributive system of voting should e made compulsory so that a caste Hindu voter whether he wanbs it or not can have no option but to vote for the Uncouchablte candidate who may be the nominee of the Hingus, and thus make his election suie and certain.

In the light of these considerations, it cannot but appear that the Poona Pact was only the first blow inflicted upon the Untouchables and that the Hindus who disliked it were bent on inflicting on it other blows as and when circumstances gave them an occasion to do so. The two contentions, which the Hindus raised before the Hammond Committee, furnish the best evidence of the existence of a conspiracy by the Hindus the object of which was to make the Poona Pact, as it could not be repudiated, of no benefit to the Untouchables. The story of how the Congress dealt with the political demands of the Untouchables cannot be left here for the simple reason that it does not end here. The subsequent parts of it are more instructive than those that have gone before.

X

Continuing the story, the next part of it relates to the election that look place in February 1937 to the Provincial Legislatures, as reconstituted a rae, the Government of India Act, 1935. was the first occasion it as life-time that the Congress came down to nght an election. It was also the first time that the Untouchables got he privilege to elect deir own representatives leaders of the Uatouchables, who were siding with the Congress when the Poona Pact was being forged—such as the late Dewan Bahadur M C Raja-cherished the fond hope that the Congress will not inter-a cadle in the elections of the Untouchables to the seats reserved for them. But this hope was dashed to pieces. The Congress had a double purpose to play its part in the election to the reserved sears of the Untouchables. In the first place, it was out to capture in order to build up its majority which was essential for enacting it to form a Government. In the second place, it has to prove the statement of Mr Gandhi that the Congress represented the Untouchables and that the Untouchables believed in the Congress. The Congress, therefore, did not hesitate to play a fell, mighty and, I may say so, a malevolent part in the election of the Untouchables by putting up Untouchable candidates on Congress ticket pledged to Congress programme for seats With the financial resources of reserved for the Untouchaoles

the Congress it made a distinct gain

The total number of seats alloted to the Untoucnable under the Government of India Act, 1935 are 151.* The following table shows how many were captured by Untouchable candidates who stood on the Congress Ticket

Table 6

| | ı | Provin | ice | | Total Seats Reserved for the Untouchables | Total Seats Captured by the Congress | |
|-----------|-------|--------|-----|-----|---|--------------------------------------|------|
| United P | CVID | ces | | | | 20 | - 16 |
| Magras | | *** | *** | | 1 | 30 | 26 |
| Bengal | | | | 4 - | - | 30 | 6 |
| Central P | rovin | ces | | | | 20 | 7 |
| Bombay | | | | | 1 | 15 | 4 |
| Bihai | | | | | | 15 | 11 |
| Punjab | | | | | *** | 8 | Nil |
| Assam | | | | | | 7 | 4 |
| Orissa | | | | • | | 6 | 4 |
| | T | Otal | | | | 151 | 78 |

^{*} This number of 148 seats was raised to 152 in making adjustments of seats for Bihar and Orissa

This shows that the Congress got just about fifty-one per cent of the seats reserved for the Untouchables.

The Congress in capturing 78 seats left only 73 seats to be filled by true and independent representatives of the Untouchables. The Untouchables were worse off under the Poone Pact than they would have been under the Prime Minister's Award. In point of effective representation, the Untouchables got less rhan what the Prime Minister had given them. The Congress on the other hand gained by the Poona Pact. Although under the Poona Pact it gave 151 to the Uniouchables it took back 78 and thereby made a handsome profit on its political transaction.

This is by no means one sam total of the losses which the Congress inflicted on the Untouchables in the elections of 1937. There was another and a greater blow which the Congress indicted on the Untouchables it deprived them of any share in the Executive

From the very beginning, I had been pressing in the discussions in the Round Table Conference that the Untouchables must not only have the right to be represented in the legislature, they must also have the right to be represented in the Cabinet woes of the Untouchables are not due so much to bad laws as to the hostility of the administration, which is controlled by the Hindus who import into administration their age-old prejudices against the Untouchables The Untouchables can never hope to get protection from the police, justice from the judiciary or the benefit of a statutory law from the administration, so long as the Public Services continued to be manned by the Hindus The only hope of making the Public Services less malevolent and more responsible to the needs of the Untouchables is to have members of the Untouchables in the higher Executive For these reasons, I had at the Round Table Conference pressed the claim of the Untouchables for the recognition of their right to representation in the Cabinet with the same emphasis as I had done for the recognition of their right to representation in the Legislature Round Table Conference accepted the validity of the claim and considered ways and means of giving effect to it. There were two ways of giving effect to this proposal. One was to have a statutory provision in the Government of India Act so as to make it a binding obligation which it would be impossible to evade or to escape; the other way was not to have a statutory provision but to leave it to a gentleman's agreement—to a convention—as is the case in the English Constitution I and the representatives of the other minorities although we did not insist upon the first in deference to the wishes of some leading Indians not to show such distrust in our own countrymen were not prepared to accept the second alterative as there was no enforceable sanction behind it. A via media as agreed upon—It was to introduce a clause in the Instrument of Instructions to the Governors imposing an obligation upon hem to see that in the formation of the Cabinet representatives of he Minorities were included. The clause ran as follows:—

"In making appointments to his Council of Ministers our Governor shall use his best endeavours to select his Ministers in the following manner, that is to say, to appoint in consultation with the person who in his judgment is most likely to cammand a stable majority in the Legislature those persons (including so far as practicable members of important minority communities) who will best be in a position collectively to command the confidence of the Legislature. In so acting, he shall bear constantly in mind the need for fostering a sense of joint responsibility among his Ministers."

What happened to this provision is an interesting story. The Congress declared that they were not prepared to accept the Government of India Act 1935 for various reasons which it is not necessary to reproduce. It was obvious to all and even to many Congressmen that there was no sincerity behind this declaration It had no other motive but to enhance the prestige of the Congress in the eyes of the public by making it appear that the Congress was a radical and revolutionary body which was out to destroy and bury British Imperialism which is a legend, the Congress has all along tried to create lt was a mere matter of tacties. Congress wanted to take the powers which the Governors had been given under the Constitution to intervene whenever matter which were his special responsibilities were involved. The Congress did not mind, making the declaration rejecting the Constitution because it thought that as it was the only body which could run the new Parliamentary system the British Government would be obliged to come to terms with it. The British Government threatened to bypass the Congress. It not only appointed 1st April 1937 as the date of the inauguration of the Provincial part of the Constitution but actually went to the length of appointing an interim Ministry of non-Congressmen. Congressmen, who were hungering for power and wno constitute a most jealous crowd of politicians, were shaken and felt that they were going to be deprived of the fruits of Negotiations were started between His-Majesty's their labours Government and the Congress High Command The Congress High Command demanded that if an undertaking was given by His Majesty's Government that the Governors will not use their powers given to them under the Special Responsibility Clauses in the Constitution by interfering in the day to day administration of the Provinces, the Congress; which was most anxious that the new Constitution should begin to operate with the good will of the

majority, agreed to give the undertaking demanded. The surprising part of it is that the Congress High Command enlarged the scope of this undertaking so as to include in the undertaking the non-exercise by the Governors of the Provinces of the powers given to them under the Instrumen sof Instructions to see that the representatives of the minorities were included in the Provincial Ministries. The Governors who gave full addition to the Congress surrendered their authority and allowed the Congress to ride rough shod through a very important part of the Constitution with the result; that the Uniouchables and the other minorities were deprived of their right to representation in the Cabinet by the Congress with impunity and with alacity

The deprivation of the Untouchables by the Congress of their right to representation in the Cabinet has the appearance of malice aforethought. One of the grounds, arged by the Congress for the noa-inclusion of representatives of the minorities in their Cabinets, was that a cabinet must be a pairty. Cabinet if it is to take collective responsibility and that the Congress was quite ready to include memberes of the Minority communities in its Cabinet provided they were prepared to join the Congress and sign the Congress pledge Whatever may be the value of such argument against other minorities, it had absolutely no value against the Uniquenables. The Congress could not use it to defend its conduct in excluding the Untouchable from the Cabinet for two leasons. In the first place, the Congress was bound by the terms of the Poona Pact to give representation to the Untouchables in the Cabinet second place, the Congress could not say that there were no Untouchables in the Legislatures who were not members of the Congress Party. On the coatrary, there were as many as 78 Untouchables returned on the Congress ticket and pledged to the Congress policy. Why then did the Congress not include them in the Cabinet? The only answer is that it was a part of the Congress policy not to admit the right of the Untouchables to be represented in the Cabinet and that this policy had the support of Mr. Those who may have any doubt as to the correctness of this statement may well consider the evidence set out below.

The first piece of evidence has imbedded in the story of the expulsion of the Hon'ble Dr. Khare from the Congress. As is well-known, Dr. Khare was the Prime Miulster in the Congress Ministry in the Central Provinces. Owing to internal quarrels among the members of his Cabinet, Dr. Khare to get rid of those that were inconvenient adopted the perfectly normal course of tendering his own resignation and that of the other ministers to the Governor with a view to form a new Cabinet. Thereafter, the Governor in full conformity with constitutional practice recalled Dr. Khare and asked him to form another Cabinet with himself

as the Premier. Dr Khare accepted the invitation and formed a new Cabinet dropping old and inconvenient hands and taking in some new once. Dr Khare's new Cabinet was different from old in one important respect namely, that it included Mr Agnibhoj, an Unicuchable, who was a member of the Central Provinces, who belonged to the Congress Parcy and who by his education well qualified to be a minister. On the 26th July 1938, the Congress Working Committee met in Wardha and passed a resolution condemning Dr Khare on the ground that in tendering the resignation of his colleagues in the old rimistry he was guilty of a grave error of judgment and that in forming a new ministry he was guilty of mus cipline. In explaining what was behind this charge of indiscipling in forming a new ministry, Dr Khare openly said that accurate Mr Gardhi the act of indiscipline consisted in the inclusion of an Untouchable in the Ministry Dr Khare also said that Mr. Chardi told him that it was wrong on his part to have raised such aspirations and imbition in the Uniouchables and it was such an act of bad judgment that he would never forgive nim This statement was repeatedly made by Dr Khare from platforms Mr Gandhi has never contradicted it

There is, however, note anest evidence on this point. In 1942 there was held All-I idia Conference of the Untouchables. In that Conference resolutions setting out the political demands of the Untouchables were passed. An Untouchable of the Congress Party who attended the Conference went to Mr. Gandhi to ascertain what Mr. Gandhi bad to say about these demands and put him the following five question:—

- What will be the Position of the Haryans in the future constitution to be franced?
- "2 Will you advise the Government and the Congress to agree to fix the five seats from a Panchayat Board upwards to the State Council on population basis?
- "3 Will you advise the Congress and the leaders of the various majority parties in the legislatures in the provinces to nominate the Cabinet members from among the Scheduled Caste legislators who enjoy the confidence of the majority of Scheduled Caste members?
- "4 In view of the backwardness of the Harijans, will you advise the Government to make a provision in the Act that Executive posts in the Local Boards and Municipal Councils be held on communal rotation, so as to enable the Harijans to become Presidents and Chairmen?
- Why do not fix some percentage of seats for Harijans from District Congress Committee upwards to the working Committee of the Congress?

Mr Gandhi gave his answers in the issue of the Harijan dated 2nd August 1942. This is what Mr Gandhi said:—

- "1. The constitution, which I could influence would contain a provision making the observance of untouchability in any shape or from an offence. The so-called 'untouchables' would have seats reserved for them in all elected bodies according to their population within the elected area concerned.
- "2 You will see that the ansiver is covered by the fore-going
- "3 I cannot. The principale is dangerous Protection of its neglected classes should not be carried to an extent which will harm them and harm the country. A cabinat minister should be a topmost man commanding universal confidence. A person after he has secured a seat in an elected body should depend upon his intrisic merit and popularity to secure coveted positions.
- "4 In the first place, I am not interested in the present Act which is as good as dead. But I am opposed to your proposal on the ground already mentioned
- "5 I am opposed for the reasons mentioned. But I should like to compel large elective Congress organizations to ensure the election of Harijan members in proportion to their numbers on the Congress register. If Harijans are not interested enough in the Congress to become 4 anna members, they may not expect to find their names in elective bodies. But I would strongly advise Congress workers to see that they approach Harijans and induce them to become members of the Congress."

Is there any doubt that Mr Gandhi and the Congress were determined on principal not to recognize the right of the Untouchables to be represented in the Cabinet? As to the question of qualifications, there would have been some sense if Mr. Gandhi had that limiting condition appplicable to all minorities. Dare Mr Gandhi say that about the Muslim demand? What is the use in shutting it out in the case of the Untauchables only? Nobody has claimed that an unqualified Untouchables should be made a Minister. It only confirms the inner felling of opposition that lies locked in the heart of Mi. Gandhi

In the series of acts which the Congress prepetrated in order to nullify the Poona Pact there remain to more two mention. First relates to the policy adopted by the Congress Parliamentary Board in selecting candidates for election. Unfortunately, this question has not been studied as deeply as its importance demands. I have

examined this question and hope to publish the results along with the evidence in a separate treatise. Here, all I can do is to set out the general principles which seem to have been adopted by these Boards in selecting candidates for election. Communal principle placed a very great part in it. In a const tuency where there were two candidates to choose from, the Congress did not feel it necessary in choose the one more worthy. It chose he one who belonged to a custo which was more numerous. Considerations of wealth also , layed their port. A wealthter tendidate was often preferred to a pool and a better qualified candidate. These considerations with unjustifiable. But they could be understood as the object was to adopt a safe candidate who will pull through. there were other principals followed which reveal a deep-seated plot Differ of classes of qual fications were set down for different classes of candidates. From candidates who came from high caste Hindus as Blaimins and the ailled communities those with the highest qualifications were selected. In the case of the Non-Brahman, those with low qualifications were preferred to those with higher qualifications. And in the case of the Unitonchables those with little or no qualifications were selected in preference to those who had. I don't say that is true in every case. But the general result was that of the candidates collected by the Congress, the candidates from the Brahmin and allied communities were the most highly educated, candidates from the non-Brahmins were moderately educated and those from the Untou-chables just about literates. This system of selection is very intriguing. There seems to be a deep laid game behind it. one who studies it carefully will find that it is designed to allow none but the Brahmins and the allied castes to form the main part of the ministry and to secure for them the support of a decile unintelligent crowd of non-Brahmins and Untouchables who by their intellectual attainments could never dream of becoming rivals of the minister-folk but would be content to follow the lead for no other consideration except that of having been raised to the status of members of the Legislatures Mr. Gandhi did not see this aspect of the case when he said that to be a minister the Untouchable aspiring for it must be a qualified person. Otherwise, he would have seen that if there were no qualified person among the Unicuchable Congressmen, it was because the Congress Parliamentary Board did not choose well-qualified candidates from the Untouchables.

If the present system of election continues the Congress can always prevent educated Indians from becoming members of the Legislature which is the stepping-stone for becoming a member of the Cabinet. It is a very grave Prospect and some steps will have to be taken to retrieve the position. In the meantime, it is enough to say that the scheme of selecting candidates adopted by the

Congres dealt the Untouclables a severe blow by d p iving them of Execut ve power under the cover of there being no qual fied men to hold it which t created for itself by such clandestine and subterranean means

The second misdeed of the Congress was to subject the Untouchable Congressmen to the rigours of party discipline. They were completely under the control of the Congress Party Executive They could not ask a question which it did not like. They could not move a resolution which it did not permit. They could not bring in legislation to which it objected. They could not vote as they chose and could not speak what they felt. They were there as dumb driven cattle. One of the objects of obtaining representation in the Legislature for the Untouchables is to enable them to ventilate their girevances and to obtain redress for their wrongs. The Congress successfully and effectively prevented this from happening

To end this long and sad story, the Congress sucked the juice out of the Poona Pact and threw the find in the face of the Untouchables

CHAPTER IV

AN ABJECT SURRENDER

Congress Beats An Invlocious Refreat

Ĭ

HE Phona Pact was signed on the 24th September 1932. On 5th September 1932, a public meeting of the Hindus was held in ombay to accord to it their support. At that meeting the Mowing resolution was passed—

"This Conference confirms the Poona agreement arrived at between the Laders of the Caste Hindus and Depressed Classes on September 24, 1932, and trusts that the British Government will withdraw its decision creating separate electorates within the Hindu community and accept the agreement in full. The Conference urges that immediate action be taken by Government so as to enable Mahatma Gandhi to break his fast within the terms of his vow and before it is too late. The Conference appeals to the leaders of the communities concerned to realize the implications of the agreement and of this resolution and to make earnest endeavour to fulfil them

"This Conference resolves that henceforth, amongst Hindus, no one shall be regarded as an Untouchable by reason of his birth, and that those who have been soregarded hitherto will have the same right as other Hindus in regard to the use of public wells, public schools, public roads, and all other public institutions. This right shall have statutery recognition at the first opportunity and shall be one of the earliest Acts of the Swaraj Parliament, if it shall not have received such recognition before that time.

"It is further agreed that it shall be the duty of all Hindu leaders to secure, by every legitimate and peaceful means, an early removal of all social disabilities now imposed by custom upon the so-called Uniouchable Classes, including the bar in respect of admission to temples"

This resolution was followed by a feverish activity on the par if the Hindus to throw open Temples to the Untouchables N veek passed in which the Haryan a weekly paper started by Mi Jandhi which did not publish a long list of temples thrown open wells thrown open and schools thrown open to the Untouchable set out under special column headed "Week to Week" on the first page. As samples I produce below these "Week to Week" columns from two issues from the Harijar

·Harijan' of 18th February 1933 WEEK TO WEEK

(During the Week ending 7th February 1933)

TEMPLES THROWN OPEN

One temple recently built at a cost of a lakh and half rupees in North Calcutta

One temple in village Bhapur, district Ganjam, Madras

One Thakurdwar temple at Naurania, in Juliusdar, Punjab

WELLS OPENED

One Municipal well at Guriapur in Jaipur town, district Cuttack, Orissa

Two wells in Wazirpura and Nikigali, Agia, U P.

In Trichinopoly (Madras) an orthodox Brahmia has offered expenses necessary for digging three wells for the common use of Harijans and caste Hindus

SCHOOLS STARTED

A free school in Bachrota, district Meerut, U P.

One school at Metah district in Rajputana.

Three schools at Fatehpur, Chemun and Abhaypur in Jaipur State, Rajputana.

One school at Fztehghar, district Farukhabaá, U.P

Three night schools in Muttra, UP

Three night schools in

Gura't' par Town, UP

One most school in Hota Tehal. District Gorakhour, U.P.

One might school at Sak-

INDIAN STATES

- I The Palua ia State (Kathiawar) Assembly has pasted by a la go majority three resolutions relating to the facilities to be given to the Harifans.
- 2. A standing committee has been appointed by the Government of Sandhur State, Madras, to concermeasu es calculated to ameliate the condition of the Hargars in the State

GENERAL

- I The Har jans in various villages nea: Lashia in Goinkhpur district have given up calific neating
- 2. On the occasion of the 'Basantpanchami' festival 'Basantotsava' was celebiated at Mulaffarpur (Biliar) under the cuspices of the Harijan Seva Sargh in the temple of Sri Chatuchbujratignir which all castes of Hindustook part.

A. V THAKKAR, General Secretary

Sjt. V R. Shinde, President, All-India Anti-Untouchability League and Founder-Trustee of the Depiessed Mission Society of India, Poona, has addressed an open letter to the numbers of the Legislative Assembly on Sjt. Ranga Iyer's Unouchability Bilis, strongly u ging them to support the two measures.

In Taikalwadin 'G' Ward of Bombay, there was an outbreak of fire recertly which caused very scrious damage to the huis and belongings of 48 Mahai families. The President of the Bombay Provincial Brand of the Servants

of Untouchables Society sanctioned Rs 500 for giving relief to these families, and the relief was organised by a sub-Committee of the 'G' Ward Committee of the Society. A sum of Rs. 402-8 was distributed as an urgent measure of help to the 48 families, containing in all 163 persons

The Bombay Government has issued orders that requests from local bodies for assignment of Government lands for wells, tanks, dharamsnalas, etc., should not be granted except on condition that all castes alike will have equal use of such wells, tanks, etc.

'Harijan' of July 15, 1933 WEEK TO WEEK

DUCATIONAL FACILITIES

Three reading rooms for Harijans have been opened in the North Arcot District by the S. U. S.

In the Madura District S U S workers got Harijan children admited into the Viraganur taluq board school.

Banians, towels, slates, etc. were distributed free to the children of the Melacheri school established by the Madura S U S.

Two Harnan students of Ramjas College, Delhi, have been allowed free scholarship and free lodging and one a free scholarship by Principal Thadani of the College.

One night school for adult Tarijans was opened under the auspices of the Lahore Harijan Seva Sangh in the Harijan quarters outside Mochi Gate. The opening ceremoney was performed by Mrs. Buj Lal Nehru.

It has been decided to start one more hostel for Harijan students in Brahmana Kodur (Guntur)

The east Godavery District Harijan Seva Sanghm has resolved to start a hostel for Harijan Girl Students studying in Coconada. A sum of Rs. 630,20 bags of rice, fuel necessary for one year, have been already received as donations for the hostel, which will be started with 15 students.

The Anantapur District Harijan Seva Sangham has decided to start a hostel for Harijan students in Uravakonda Some Provisions and money have already been collected and it is intended to with 20 the bostel start studeats

Owing to the unremitting efforts of the District Harijan Seva Sangham, Guntur. Harijan boys have been allowed into the savarana schools in a manner of villages and towns.

WELLS

Three wells in Combatore District which were in a bad conditions, were cleaned and made available for use

The District Board President, South Arcot, has pro-mised to dig four wells in cheries selected by the S U.S.

During the fortnight ending 31-5-33, no less than 125 wells in all were opened to Harijans and 5 new ones constructed ın Andhradesh

GENERAL

A shop has been opened in a bustee near Hogg Market (Calcutta) where Doms live, supplying them with articles of food at cheap rates

Rs. 60 has been paid by the S U. S Bengal for paying up the debts of a Harijan family at Bibi Bagan bustee (Calcutta).

The Amrita Samaj (Calcut'a) has given service to some

Harijans

450 Harijans of Bolpur (Birbhum) have given up drinking habits and 1,275 Muchis have taken a vow not to take beef.

Three new district centers of S. U. S have been opened during the month in Bonkura, Murshidabad, and 24 Parganas

Trichinopoly, Tanjore, Tinnevelley, Salem, Dindigul. North Arcot and Madura have all taken up the idea of Gandhi Harijan Service corps for direct and personal service in the cheris.

Alandural, a Harijan village 12 miles from Colmbitore was given Rs. 25worth of grain, Rs 100 worth of cloth and Rs 5 worth of oil, as relief after a fire in the village

A Hainan Youth League has been formed in Chidambaram.

A shop to supply provisions at cost price to the Harijans has been set up in Tenali and is being made use of by them

A sum of Rs 110 was spent in giving help for rebuilding houses of Harijans in Valanna Palem (East Kistna) recently destroyed by fire

A sum of Rs 100 was contributed by the Provincial Committee towards the relief of Yellamanchili Harmans in (Vizag) who lost their houses by a fire. The local Harnan Sewa Sangham is endeavouring to erect new houses for the Harmans in a better locality and is collecting donations in cash and building materials

One Harijan has been enployed as a servant by a savarna gentleman in Gollapalem.

When the owners or trustees of temples were not prepared to throw open their temples to the Untouchables, the Hindus actually started sail temples to the Untouchables, the Hindus actually started sail temples at them to compel tem to fall in line. The survaginal by Mr. Kelippan for securing entil to the Untouchables in the temple at Guruvayur was a part of this agricultural. To folce the hands of the trustees of the temples with hid is correspe to stand against the current, many flindual gislations came folward, to abling over one another, with Bills requiring the trustees to throw open temples to the Untouchables if a referendum showed that the majority of the Hindu worshippers voiced in labour. Truste was a spute of such Bills and a race among legislators to take the first place. There was a Temple Entry Bill by Dr. Subbatoyan of the Madras Legislative Council. There will be Bills introduced in the Central Assembly. One was by Fig. C. S. Ringa, Iyer, another by Mr. Harabilas Sard, a tradity Mr. Lillmand Navalrai, and a fourth one by Mr. M. Baraker.

In this agitation Mr Gandhi also joined Before 1932, Mr Gand'd was opposed to allow untouchables to enter Hindu Temples To quote in own words Mr Gandhi said*.—

"How is it possible that the Antyajas (Untouchables) should have the right to enter all the existing temples? As long as the law of caste and ashram has the chief place in Hindu Religion, to say that every Hindu can enter every temple is a thing that is not possible today."

His joining the movement for Temple entry must therefore remain a matter of great surprise. Why Mr Gandhi took this somersault it is difficult to imagine. Was it an honest act ochange of heart, due to a conviction that he was in error in oppof sing the entry of the Untouchables in Hindu temples? Was it due to a reglization that the political separation between the Hindus and the Untouchables brought about by the Poona Pact might lead to a complete severance of the cultural and religious des and that it was necessary to counteract the tendency by some such measure as Temple Entry as will bind the two together? was his object in joining the Temple Entry movement to destroy the basis of the claim of the Untouchables for political rights by destroying the barrier between them and the Hindus which makes them separate from the Hindus? Or was it because Mr. Gandhi saw before him looming large a possibility of adding to his name and fame and rushed to make the most of it, as is his habit to do? The second or the third explanation may be nearer the truth

^{*}Gandhi Shikshan, Vol. II, p. 132

11

What was the attitude of the Untouchables to this movement or Temple entry? I was asked by Mr. Gandhi to lend my support the movement for Temple entry. I decline it to do so and issued statement in the subject to the Press. As it will nelp the reader know the grounds for my attitude to this question I have thought it well to set it in full. Here it is:

Statement on Temple Entry Bi! 14th February, 1932

Although the controversy regarding the question of Tauple Entry is confined to the Sanatanists and Mahatma Guadal, the Depressed Classes have undoubtedly a very important part to play in it, in so far as their position is bound to weigh the scales one way of the other when the issue comes up for a final settlement. It is, therefore, necessary that their viewpoint should be defined and stated so as to leave no ambiguity about it

To the Temple-Entry Bill of Mr. Ranga lyer as now diafted, the Depressed Classes cannot possibly given theil support. The principle of the Bill is that if a majority of Municipal and Local Board voters in the vicinity of any particular temple on a referendum decide dy a majority that the Depressed Classes shall be allowed to enter the temple, the Trustees of the Manager of that temple shall give effect to that decision. The principle is an ordinary principle of Majority rule, and there is nothing radical or revolutionary about the Bill, and if the Sanatanists were a wise lot, they would accept it without demur.

The reasons why the Depressed Classes cannot support a Bill based upon this principle are two: One reason is that the Bill cannot hasten the day of temple-entry for the Depressed Classes any nearer than would otherwise be the case. It is true that under the Bill, the minority will not have the right to obtain an injunction against the Trustee, or the Manager who throws open the temple to the Depressed Classes in accordance with the decision of the majority. But before one can draw any satisfaction from this clause and congratulate the author of the Bill, one must first of all feel assured that when the question is put to the vote there will be a majority in favour of Temple Entry. If one is not suffering from illusions of any kind one must accept that the hope of a majority voting in favour of Temple-Entry will be very rarely realised, if at all. Without doubt, the majority is definitely opposed to-day—a

fact which is conceded by the author of the Bill himself in his correspondence with the Shankiacharya

What is there in the situation as created after the passing of the Bill, which can lead one to hope that the majority will act differently? I the rothing I shall, no doubt, be reminded of the results of the referencem with regard to the Guruvaya. Temple But I refuse to accept a referendum so overheighted as it was by the life of Mahatma Gandhi as the normal result of any such calculations, the life of the Mahatma must necessarily be deducted.

Secondly, the Bill dose not regard Untouchability in temples as a sinful custom. It regards Untouchability merely as a read evil not necessarily worse than social evils of other sorts. For, it does not deciare Untouchability as such to be illegal its binding force is taken away, only, if a majority decides to go so. Six and immorality cannot become tolerable because a majority is addicted to them or because the majority chooses to practise them. If Untouchability is a sinful and an immoral custom, then in the view of the Depressed Classes it must be destroyed without any hesitation even if it was acceptable to the majority. This is the way in which all customs are dealt with by Courts of Law, if they find them to be immoral and against public policy.

This is exactly what the Bill does not do. The author of tie Bill takes no more serious view of the custom of Untouchabuilty then does the temperance reformer of the habit of drinking. Indeed, so much is he impressed by the assumed smularity between the two that the method he has adopted is a method which is advocated by temperance reformers to cradicy e the evil habit of drinking, namely, by local option One cannot feel much grateful to a friend of the Depressed Classes, who holds Untouchability to be no worse than drinking If Mr Ranga lyer had not forgotion that only a few months age Mahatma Gandhi had prepared himself to fast unto death if Untouchability was not removed, he would have taken a more serious view of this curse and proposed a most the roughgoing reform to casue its removal lock, stock and barrel Wnatever its shorecomings may be from the standpoint of educacy, the least that the Depressed Classes could expect is for the Bill to recognise the principle that Untouchability is

I roully cannot understand how the Bill satisfies Mahatma Gandhi, who has been insisting that Untouchability is a sin! It certainly does not satisfy the Depressed Classes. The

question whether this particular Bill is good or bad, sufficient of insufficient, is a subsidiary question.

The main question is 'Do the Depressed Classes desire Temple Entry or do they not? This main question is being viewed by the Depressed Classes by two point of view. One is the materialistic point of view. Starting from it, the Depressed Classes think that the surest way for their elevation lies in higher education, higher employment and better ways of earning a living. Once they become well placed in the scale of social life, they would become respectable and once they become respectable the religious outlook of the orthodox towards them is sure to undergo change, and even if this did not happen, it can do no injury to their material interest. Proceeding on these lines the Depressed Classes say that they will not spend their resources on such an empty thing as Temple Entry. There is also another reason why they do not care to fight for it. That argument is the argument of self-respect.

Not very long ago there used to be boards on club doors and other social resorts maintained by Europeans in India, which said "Dogs and Indians" not allowed The temples of Hindus carry similar boards to-day, the only difference is that the boards on the Hindu temples practically say. "All Hindus and all animals including dogs are admitted, only Untouchables not admitted" The situation in both cases is on a parity But Hindus never begged for admission in those places from which the Europeans in their arrogance had Why should an Untouchable beg for excluded them. admisson in a place from which he has been excluded by the arrogance of the Hindus? This is the reason of the Depressed Class man who is interested in his material welfare. prepared to say to the Hindus, "to open or not to open your temples is a question for you to consider and not for me to agitate If you think, it is bad manners not to respect the sacredness of human personality, open your temples and be a gentleman. If you rather be a Hindu than be gentleman, then shut the doors and damn yourself for I don't care to come."

I found it necessary to put the argument in this form, because want to disabuse the minds of men like Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya of their belief that the Depressed Classes are looking orward expectantly for their patronage

The second point of view is the spiritual one As religiously minded people, do the Depressed Classes desire temple entry or do they not? That is the question. From the spiritual point of view, they are not indifferent to temple entry as they would

be, if the majority point of view alone were to prevail. But their him a new or has regard upon the reply which Mahatma Go to the child made. give to the question namely: What a drive of middle offer of compleentry Is temple entry to be the find goal of the 3d ancement in the social status of is buy well Classes in the mindu fold? Or is it only the in states and in the local state, what is the vitimate goal? Tomple Entry as a small good, the Depressed Classes can never support in deating will not only reject it, but they would then egand measures as rejected by Hindu Society and free to find their own case, y chewhere On the other hand, if it is any to but first step in the direction they be may be milated to tap in a Tae position would then be analogous to such is sampling in the politics of India to-day. All I dains now claims. Expenses Status for India. The actual to as name 1 Well fall short of Dominion Status and many 1 in the lace of it Way? The answer is that as the goal is central, a constrol matter such if it is to be mached by step and not in our joing. I there Buttish had not accepted the go i or Don mon Status, no one would have accepted the bailed the 'as which many are now propared to accept. In the same way, if Mandona Gallin and the reformers were to proclaim want the goal warch they have set before themselves is for the advangment of the Social Status of the Depressed Classes in the Hada fold, it would be augher for the Depressed Classes to define their attitude towards. Temple Entry. The gral of the Depressed Classes anght a well be stated here for he 100 dation in a consideration of all concerned. What the Depressed Classes want is a religion, which will give them equality of social scatus. To proven, any misunderstanding, I would like to etace ate the point by drawing a distinction between social ends when here the results of secular causes and social evils which he founded upon the doctrine of religion Special contact a phase no justification whatshever in a civilised some your nothing can be more adjous and vila than that au mitted social evils should be sought to be justified on the ground of religion. The Depressed Classes may not be able to overman, w mequity, s to which they are being subjected But they have rade up their mind rule to tolerate a religion that will lend its suppost to the continuance of these inequities

If the Findu religion is .. be their religion, then it must be once a religion of Sourch Equality. The mere amendment of rindu. ligious code by the nere inclusion in it of a provision to perfore temple only for all, cannot make it a religion of equality of social status. All that it can do is to recognize them as rational, and not aliens, if I may use in this connection terms which have become so familiar in politics.

But that cannot mean that they would thereby reach a position where they would be free and equal, without being above or below any one else, for the simple reason that the And religion does not recognish the principle of equality of social states; on the other hand it late a maquality by insisting upon grading people as Bialimias, Konutrias, Vaisnyas and Shudras, which now stead towards one another in an ascending scale of hatred and descending scale of contempt. If the Hindu religion is to be a religion of solial equality then are amendment of its code to provide templeenery is not enough. What is required is to purge it of the doctaine of Chatuivarna. That is the root cause of all in equality and also the parent of the coate system and Untouchability, which are merely for as of inequality. Unless is done not only will the Degressed Classes reject Temple Entry, they will al a reject the Hindu faith. Chataivaina and the Caste system are incompatible with the self-respect of the Depressed Classes. So long as they stand to be its cardinal dostrine the Deplessed Classes must continue to be looked ipon as low. The Depressed Classes for say that they are Hindus only when the theory of C. Eurvaing and case system is abandoned and expringed from the Hindu Shasirar. Do the Mahatina and the rhindu reforme, accept this as their goal and will they show the comage to work for it? I shall look forward to their pronouncements on this issue, before I decide upon my final attitude But whether Mahatma Ganahi and the Hindus are prepared for this or not, let it be known once for all that nothing short of this will satisfy the Depressed Classes and make them accept Temple Entry To accept temple entry and be content with it, is to temporise with evil and parter away the sacreduess of human personality that anells in them

There is, however, one argument which Mahatma Gandhi and the reforming Hindus may advance against the position I have taken. They may say: "acceptance by the Depressed Classes of Temple Entry now, will not prevent them from agitating hereafter for the abolition of Chaturvarna and Caste If that is their view, I like to meet the argument right at this stage so as to clinich the issue and clear the road for future developments. My reply is that he is true that my right to agitate for the abolition of Chaturvarna and Caste System will not be lost, if I accept Temple Entry now. But the question is on what side will Mahatma Gaadhi be at the time when the question is put. If he will be in the camp of my opponents, I must tell him that I cannot be in his tamp now. If he will be in my camp he ought to be in it now.

B. R. AMBEDKAR.

Diwan Bahadur R Srinivasin who along with me represented the Unionchables at the Rouna Table Conference also did not support the movement for Temple entry. In a statement to the Fress, he said —

"What a Depresse Classes member is permitted to enter into the caste findu temples he would not be taken into any one of the four castes, but treated as man of fifth or the last or the lower castes, a stigma worse than the one to be called an Uniougnable. At the same time he would be subjected to so many caste restrictions and huminations. The Depressed Classes shun the one who enters like that and exclude him as castemar. The crores of Depressed Classes would not submit to eas'e restrictions. They will be divided into sections if they do. Temple entry cannot be forced by law. The village castemen a penly of indirectly defy the law. To the village Depressed Class man it would be like a scrap of paper on which the "sugar" was written and placed in hands for nim to taste. The above facts are placed before the public in time to save confusion and disturbance in the country."

To the question I put to Mi Gandai in my statement he gave a straight reply. He said that though he was against untouchability ne was not against caste. If at all, he was in tayour of it and that he would not therefore earry his social reform beyond removing untouchability. This was enough for me to settle my attitude. I decided to take no further part in it.

The only leading member from the Untouchable community was the late Dewan Bahadur Rajah. One cannot help saying that he played a very regrettable part in this business. The Dewan bahadur was a nominated member of the Central Assembly from 1927. He had nothing to do with the Congress either inside or outside the Assembly. Neither by accident nor by mistake did he appear on the same side of the Congress. Indeed, he was not merely a critic of the Congress but its adversary. He was the staunchest friend of the Government and never hesitated to stand by the Government. He stood for separate electorates for the Uniouchables to which the Congress was bitterly opposed. In the crisis of 1932, the Dewan Bahadur suddenly decided to desert the Government and take sides with the Congress. He became the spearhead of the Congress movement for joint electorates and Temple entry. It is impossible to discover a parallel in the conduct of any other public cause. The worst part of the business was that it had none but personal motive behind. The Dewan Bahadur was deeply cut because

the Government did not nominate him as a delegate to the Round Table Conference to represent the Untouchables and in his stead nominated Dewan Bahadur R Samiyasan. The Goycomment of India had good ground for not nominating him It was decided that neither the members of the Simon Commission nor the members of the Central Legislative Committee should have a place in the Round Table Conference. The Dewan Bahadur was a member of the Central Legislative Committee and had therefore to be dropped. This was quite a natural explanation. But the wourded pride of Dewan Bahadut Rajan could not let him see it When the Congress Ministry took office in Madras. when he saw how the Poona Pact was being trampled upon. how his rival was made a Viinister and how notwithstanding his services to the Congress he was left out, he bitterly regretted what he did! The fact, however, remains that in the critical year f 1932, Dewan Bahadur Rajah lent his full support to the Congress. He was not only running with the Congress crowd but he took care not to fall out in the race for legislation against untouclability. He too had sponsored two Bills. One of them was called the Removal of Uniouchability Bill and the other was called the Crimical Procedure Amendment Bill

III

Mr. Gandhi did not mind any opposition and was indifferent as to whether it came from the orthodox Hindus or from the Untouchables. He went on in mad pursuit of his object. It is interesting to ask, what happened to this movement? Within the short compass of this book it is not possible to spread out this inquiry and cover everything that was done and claimed as evidence of the success of the movement.

To put it briefly, after a short spurt of activity in the direction of removing untouchability by thiowing open temples and wells the Hindu mind returned to its original state. The reports appearing in the "Week to Week" columns of the Harijan subsided, occame few and far between and ultimately vanished. For myself I was not surprised to find that the Hindu hear, was so soon stricken with palsy. For I never believed that there was so much milk of human kindness locked up in the Hindu breast as the "Week to Week" column in the Harijan would have the world believe As a matter of fact a large part of the news that appeared in the "Week to Week" was faked and was nothing but a lying propaganda engineered by Congressmen to deceive the world that the Hindus were determined to fight untouchability. Few temples if any were really opened and those that were reported to have been opened most of them were dilapidated and deserted temples which were used by none but dogs and donkeys. One of the evil effects of the Congress agreation is that it has made the political nanided Finders a lying squad which will not bestate to tell any me if it can sulp the Congress. Thus ended the pair which the Finder public fit yed or was made to pot a to play in this Temple-Entry movement. The same rate over to keep Guravayur Temple satyagram, and the legislation for seculing Temple-Entry for the Untournedies. We these are matters which were pursued by Mi Candil and Congression their history larget be told in some detail maximum as it reveals the interpentality of Mr. Gandhi and the Congress lowerds the Untopenables.

IV

To begin with the Garavayor Temple Satyagraha. A temple ci kers as is situated at Guruveyur in the Ponnani faluk in Malaca. The Zu court of Calcut is the trustee of the temple One har. Kelappan, a thirdu who was working for the cause of the Untorchabies I Maleb., began an agitation for securing the Untouchasies early into the curple. The Zamorin of Calcut as the trustee of the temple refused to terow open the temple to the Untouchables and in support of his action cited Section 40 of the Hime's Religious E downer. As which said that no trustee could do anyt, ingulatost the custom and usage of the temples entrusted to lum. On the 20th September 1932, Mr. Kulappan commenced a fast in piocese lying in from of the temple in the sun till the Zamoun revised his views in favour of the Untouchables. rid of this annoyance and embarrassment the Zamorin appealed to h. Gandhi to request Mr. Kelappan to suspend his fast for a time After a fast for tan days fir. Kelappan at the request of Mr Gandhi suspensed the fast on 1st October 1932 for three mooths The Zamoii, and nothing Mr Gandhi sent him a wire telling him that he mus move in the matter and get over all difficulties legal or otherwise. Mr. Ganohi also told the Zamorin that as hir Kelappan had suspended his fast on his advice he had become responsible for securing to the Untouchable entry into the temple to the extent of sharing the fact with Mr. Kelappan On 5th November 1932, M., Ganchi is used the following statement to the press :--

"There is another fast which is a near possibility and that in connection with the opening of the Guruvayur temple in Kerala. It was at my urgent request that Mr. Kelappan suspended his tast for three months, a fast that had well nigh brought him to death's door. I would be in honour bound to fast with him if on or before Isi January 1933 that temple is not opened to the Unfourhables precisely on the same terms as to the Totelhables, and if it becomes necessary for Mr. Kelappan to resume his fast."

The Zamorin refused to yield and issued a counter-statement to the press in which he said —

"The various appeals that are being made for throwing open the temples to Avarnas proceed upon an inadequate appropriation of such difficulties. In these circumstances, there is hardly any instification for thinking that it is in my power to throw open the Gurnvayur temple to the Avarnas as desired by the supporters of the temple-entry carrosign."

In these circumstances a fast by Mr. Gandhi became inevitable, and obligatory. But Mr. Gandhi did not go on fast. He modified his position and said that he would, refrain from fasting if a referentum was taken in Ponnani taluk in which the temple was situated and if the referendum showed that the majority was against theorem open of the temple to the Unforchables Accordingly, a referentum was taken. Voting was confined to those who were actual temple goers. Those who ware not entitled to enter the temple and those who would not enter it were excluded from the voters' list. It was reported that 73 per cent of eligible voters voted. The result of the poll was 56 per cent, were regural and 27 per cent, abstained from recording their votes.

On this result of the referendum, Mr. Gandhi was bounde to start the fast. But he did not. Instead, on the 29th of December 1932 Mr. Gandhi issued a statement to the press which he concluded by saying:—

"In view of the official announcement that the Viceregal decision as to sanction for the introduction, in the Madras Legislative Council, of Dr Subbaroyan's perinssive Bill with reference to the temple-entry could not possibly be announced before the 15th January, the fast contemplated to take place on the second day of the New Year will be indefinitely postponed and in any case up to the date of the announcement of the Viceregal decision. Mr Kelappan concurs in this postponement."

The Viceregal pronouncement mentioned by Mr. Gandhi had reference to the Viceroy's granting permission or refusing permission to the moving of the Temple Entry Bills in the Legislature That permission was given by the Vicercy. Yet Mr. Gandhi did not fast. Not only did be not fast, he Completely forgot the matter as though it was of no moment! Since then nothing has been hear about Guruyayur Temple Satyagraha though the Temple remains closed to the Untouchables even to-day.

٧

Thus ended Guiuvayur Let me now turn to the other project namely legislation for Temple-Entry. Of the many Bills the one in the name of Mr. Ranga lyer in the Central Legislature was pursued. The rest were dropped. There was a storm at the very birth of the Bill. Under the Government of India Act as it then stood no Legislative measure which affected religion and customs and usages based on religion could be introduced in the Assembly unless it had the previous sanction of the Governor-General. When the Bill was sent for such sanction another commotion was created by the reports that were circulated that the Governor-General has going therefuse his sanction. Mr. Gandhi was considerably excited over these reports. In a statement to the press issued on the 21st January 1933, Mr. Gandhi said—

"If the report is an intelligent anticipation of the forth-coming Viceregal decision, I can only say that it will be a tragedy. I emphatically repudiate the suggestion that there is any political objective behind these measures. If court decision had not hardened a doubtful custom into law, no legislation would be required. I would myself regard State interference in religious matters as an intolerable nuisance. But here legislation becomes an imperative necessity in order to remove the legal obstruction and based as it will be on popular will, as far as I can see, there can be no question of clash between parties representing rival opinions."

The decision of the Government was announced on the 23rd of January 1933 Lord Willingdon refused sanction to Dr Subbaroyan's Temple-Entry Bill in the Madras Council, but His Excellency permitted the introduction, in the Legislative Assembly, of Mr Ranga Iyer's Untouchability Aboliation Bill. The Government emphasised the need of ascertainment of Hindu opinion before they (Government) could decide what attitude to adopt. The announcement further stated that the Governor-General and the Government of India desired to make it plain that it was essential that consideration of any such measure should not proceed unless the proposals were subjected to the fullest examination in all their aspects, not merely in the Legislature but also outside it, by all who would be affected by them. This condition can only be satisfied if the Bill is circulated in the widest manner for the purpose of eliciting public opinion. It must also be understood that the giant of sanction to the introduction in the Central Legislature' Bills relating to temple entry do not commit the Government in any way o the acceptance or support of the principles contained therein. On the next day, Mr. Gandbi issued a statemen' in which he said .-

"I must try to trace the hand of God in it. He wants to try me through and through. The sanction given to the All-India Bill was an unintentional challenge to Hinduism and the reformer. Hinduism will take care of itself if the reformer will be true to himself. Thus considered the Government of India's decision must be regarded as God-send. It clears the issue. It makes it for India and the world to understand the tremendous importance of the moral struggle now going on in India. But whatever the Sanatanists may decide the movement for Temple-Entry now broadens from Guruvayur in the extreme south to Hardwar in the north and my fast, though it remains further postponed depends not now upon Guruvayur only but extends automatically to temples in General."

One can well realize under what fanfare the Bill began its legislative career. On the 24th of March 1933, Mr. Ranga Iyer formally introduced the Bill in the Assembly. As it was a Bill for Mr Gandhi the Congress members of the Assembly were of course ready to give it their support. Mr. Gandhi had appointed Mr Raigopalachari and Mr. G D. Birla to canvass support for the Bill among the Non-Congress members with a view to ensure safe passage for the Bill He said they were better lob byists than The motion for introduction was opposed by the Rajah of Kollengode and Mr Thampan raised a preliminary objection that the Bill was ultra vires of the legislature. The latter objection was overruled by the President and the House allowed the Bill to be introduced. Mr. Ranga Iyer next moved that the Temple-Entry Bill be circulated to elicit public opinion by the 30th July Raja Bahadur Krishnamachari opposed the circulation motion and condemned the proposed legislation in strong terms he urged that the date for circulation should be 31st December instead of 31st July. Mr. Gunial opposed the circulation motion and asked the House not to support the Bill. As it was already 5 pm. and as that was the last day of the session for non-official business, the President wanted to take the sense of the House for a late sitting. As there was no over-whelming majority for it, the President adjourned the House. So the Bill stood postponed to the Autumn session of the Assembly.

The discussion of the Bill was resumed on 24th August 1933 during the Autumn session of the Central Legislature. Sir Hairy Haig on behalf of the Government explained that their support to the motion for circulation of the Bill should in no way be construed as implying support to its provisions. It was true that the Government sympathized for the Depressed Classes and were anxious to do what they could for their social and economic improvement. He quoted from the communique issued in January

last, wherein the Government's view was fully explained. In his opinion, circulation by the end of June was a facture asonable time to secure the vides, possible circulative. As regards the limit of results, to temper going Hingus, Sir Harry Haig said from the prantical temporal that it would really hardly be possible. to mapric he restriction as proposed. The Government wanted the metre to be fully les used by all classes of Hindui and we e therefore personal to give even support to the amendment of Mr. Sharma Cloude, was moved and the House accepted Mr. Sharma's riction to circulation of the Dill by the end of Jupe 1971. Open no were duly received. They lill a whole volume of over a th usand find an pager. The Bill was ready for the next tings antaly to never for the appointment of a Select Con and a fir. Ringa from and even given notice for such a moutor. A strange in ing happened. The Government of India decided to dissolve the Assembly and order new election. The result of this announcement, was a sudden change in the attitude of the Congress members in the Carral Lagislature towards Mr. Ranga's Bill Organd all stood out against it and refused to give any further support to the Bill. They were fertified of the electorates. Mr. Konga Lyen's position was very pittable. He described it in very biting language, the teaum of which could hardly be improved upon. So well did be describe the situation that I make no apology for reproducing the following extract from his speech. Rising to move his motion Mr. Ranga Iver said :

"Sir. I rise to move what is known as the Temple-Entry Bill to remove the disabilities of the so-called Depressed Classes Sir, I move.—

That the Bili to remove the disabilities of the so-called Depressed Classes in regard to entry into Hindu temples be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the Honourable Sir Nitpendra Sirvat, the Honourable Sir Henry Craik. Bhat Patina Nand, Rao Bahadur M. C. Rajah, Mr. T. N. Ramakitshna Reddi, Rao Bahadur B. Paul and the Mover.

"I will delete with your permission, the words with instructions to repair within the fortnight and then I will continue the remaining portion of the motion

and that the number of the nbers whose presence shall be necessary to constitute a meeting of the Committee shall be five.

"Si, if the time I gave rouse of this m tion, I did not think that before a fortnight we would be going into the wilderness Therefore, I recognise the limitations of this motion, for there will be no time even to go to a Solect Committee. I recognise that it gives us an opportunity to express our opinion on the subject.

"I have already stated that I owed an apology to Mr Satyamurchi for while interruping Mr Mudalia. I was not in a position naturally as he was rushing along with his speech to explain myself fully and ne would have ocen at a disadvantage if I had done so. I recognise that Mr Satyamurthi, who was at no time in layour of the Temple En ry Bill, has succeeded in making the Congress drop it. I read the following written statement of Mr C Rapigorolachariar in the Hindu of Madras, dated the 16th August. The Hindu is a very responsible newspaper, and as it is not a make telegraphic interview but a written statement, I believe Mr. Rajagopalachariar's statement can be taken as a curate. Mr Rajagopalachariar is apologising to the public for his betraval of the cause of the Untouchables. As the the principal lieutenant of Mahatma Gandhi, his betrayal must be placed on record. He says.

The question has been asked by some Sanatanists whether Congress candidates will give an undertaking that Congress will not support any legislative interference with religious observances. Similar questions may be asked on a variety of topics by persons and groups interested in each one of them. That such questions are asked only of the Congress candidates and similar elucidation is not attempted in respect of other parties and independent candidates is a very great compliment paid to the Congress.'

"So says, Sriman Rajagopalachariar. And, instead of following up the compliment and arousing public opinion on an unpopular measure, here is a great Congress leader who sat dharna at our house with his son-in-law, Devidas Gandhi, who repeatedly called on me at Delhi and said 'We seek joint support for this legislative measure,'—here is a man who goes back 'like a carb,' to borrow the language of Shakespeare. Political parties, explains this subtle brain from the South, have distinctive policies on various questions covering a wide field:

'Not all of them, however, are made into election issues at any one time'

"Sir, this Congress leader is afraid of facing the public opinion which he has roused.

"Sir, are the Congress people slaves"

'They are slaves who fear to speak,
For the fullen and the weak'

"According to Milton 'To say and straight unsay argues no har but a coward traced." Mr. Rajagopula hariar unsays now what he had been saying long before the Goneral Election from every platform in the following words.

'The Congress candidates go to the electorate in 'his election on well-defined political issues'

"That is to say, they go to the electorate with a view to pandering to the prejudice of the masses whom they have miled, so much so, that they have got themselves into a bog Lord Willingdon came to their rescue, to take them out of the big by announcing the dispolution of this Assembly and giving then an opp r unity, as a Contitutional Viceroy, to return to the thelterer paths of constitutionalism. Therefore, they have are as ay from their own convictions and are playing ever trick to come back to the Legislature with as large a number as possible. (lad they gone on with the Temple Entry Bill or the Untouchability question, they would have lost many votes, for it is not a popular issue. I said so, hough Maliatma Gandhi contradicted me publicly at the time, I said so when Shankaracharya was staying in Malabar in my brother's house at Palghat. My brother came on a deputation to the Viceroy to oppose the Bill. I said 'I know, the reformer is not in a majority in Malabar.' Nowhere else are the reformers in a majority but the reformers believe in persuading the majority to their way of thinking. Then, I said-whatever the result of a referendum, the Congress people might have taken in Gurayayur in Malabar, might be. I could not for a moment believe that the majority of the temple going people in Malabar were in favour of admitting the Union hables into the temples, but I was prepared to fight them, also to argue with them and to persuade them and to make them take an interest in the cause and the case of the Uniouchables, for, I feel, the Uniouchables are a part of my community Sir, if one-third of my community is to remain submerged in exclusion in the name of religion, I feel, as I have a always felt and said, that that community has no right to existence It is with a view to the unification of the Hirdu community, it is with a view to building up the greatness of the future of that community on the past of that community, when Untouchability was quite unknown as in the Vedic ages, that I have taken up their cause. And now, I find Congressmen, so keen about Untouchability vesterday.

explaining why they are not taking it up today. Mr. Rhiacopalachariar has driven the last nail into the cost of the Tomple Entry Bill as Raja Bahadur Krishurmacharia, the Raja Sahab of Kollengode or Sir Satya Charan Makh ij, nor ld remans like to say, representing as they do the various Sanatanist groups of the country.

"Sir, Mr. Rajagopuls hariar goes on to say that they asked to be returned for no other issue," that is to say, not on Temple Entry issue, but merely on a political Ann'to-nlabic issue, an ann-British issue, because, having traded on public lealing, having tried to give it as much initial an ipathy as possible in the name of non-violence, in the name of religit it issue, because non-violence was sometimed givin a religious bian, having created that atmosphere of district in the country, finding that that atmosphere might not help the ning the cle tion if they fought is on a bigger, a cleaner and higher issue, namely, the removal of Unionchability itself, they side-track the i sue, they run away from their convertion.

They are salves who dare not be In the right with two or three?

"Then he, a principal lieutement of Gandhiji goes on to say "
If successful at the polls, they cannot believe they will receive the mardate of the electorate on any other questions."

"That is to say, they are not receiving the mandate of the electorate on the Temple Entry Bill. This man, who came screaming at our doors, begging us for support-these beggars in the cause of the Congress-who just begged of us to proceed with this Temple Entry Bill, are not only betraying the cause of the Untouchables, but they are betraying the principles of the Mahatma himself, for, we know, that Mahatma's fast was directed toward the uplift of the Untouchables by giving them concession in regard to the Communal Award, which the Congress naturally has hesitated to repudiate, and we, therefore, know that that has a direct bearing on the Unitouchability question to approach which, to solve which, the Mahatma, the great Mahatma, wanted to tout the country, but today the Congress, who betrayed him first in the betrayed of the Congress boycott of the Councils, have, by seeking to come to the Councils, further belrayed him with the assistance of his own samandhi, Rajagopalachariar, and they say that they are not going to proceed with the Untouch bility question and the Temple Entry Bill without a mandate from the people !

"Sir, where is the difference, I ask, between Rays Boadar Krishnamacharian and Srinan Rajngopalachuriur? Ry. Bahadur Krishnamachariar has always conceded—'take a mandate from the people and than come and legislate.' Sit, he is not a coword, a great Sanar mist himself, he is a willing to face the must. On the control, these people who pilling the Stiatanists up and down the country, forgetting that Sanatan Dharama is etomal truth itself, are benaving in a manner which even the Sanaraus, will not appreciate, for Sanatan Dharama is etomal truth and the bet mal of truth is worthy only of untruthful people! Haying betty, divany a principle which would let it us to our national goal, horing taken up the case of the Union hables any to save their lates, with no conviction of hind them, as we now see, the great Congless landers with the exception of Mahatina Gandhi, have said though Roja palachariar, the Organizer-in-chief of the com-

It will be open to all Congressmen to have the matter stuly considered before it is even made into an official Congress Bill.

"For this betrayal of the cause of the Untouchables, I hope constitution dists will organize themselves, whether Hindus or Mussalman. They can agree to differ later on on communal issue, but they will unite and offer a great battle to the Congress and oring that organ of masqueraders down on its knees. Ser, I think here is a betrayal of the cause of the Untouchables and the Depressed Classes, and, if I did not believe in this movement before Manatma Gandhi could take it up or Mr. Rajagopainchariar went from door to door in Delhi, I should not have been here to move this Bill."

VI

Here was a cause of retreat from glory! And what an ingle tous retreat? How did Mr. Gandhe react to it? In a statemer squed on 4th November 1932, Mr. Gandhe said.

"Untouchables in the village should be made to feel that their shalkles have been broken, that they are in no way inferior to their fellow villagers, that they are worshippers of the same God as the other villagers and entitled to the same rights and privileges that the latter enjoy.

"But if these vital conditions of the Pact are not carried out by caste-Hindus, could I possibly live to face God and man? I ventured even to tell Dr Ambedkar, Rap Bahadur M. C. Raja and other friends belonging to the suppressed group that they should regard me as a hostage for the due fulfilment by caste-Hindus of the conditions of the Pact. The fast, if it is to come, will not be for coercion of those who are opponents of reform, but it will be intended to sting into action those who have been my comfades of who have taken pledges for the removal of Uniouchability. If they delice the energies of if they never meant to abide by them and their 'And com was a mere cam-ouflage, I should have no interest lift in life.'

He was never tired of repairing this. Exclusion of the Untouchables from the Hindu Temples, he described, is the aginy of his soul. What did Mr. Gandai do in this connection? Did no resent this bettayal by Mr. Rajagopala had of this p. just without which he said he had no interest left in life? One would naturally expert Mr. Gandhi to denounce this bitrayal by the Corgle's Party to achieve success at the polls? Quite the contrary. Institute of blaming Mr. Rajagopalachari, he blamed Mr. Ranga lyer is his violent denunciation of the Congress Party for withdrawing its support to the Bill. This is what Mr. Gandhi said in the issue of the Harijan dated August 31, 1934.

"The ill-fate! Temple Entry Bill deserved a more decent burial, if it deserved it at all, than it remived at the hands of the mover of the Bill. It was not a bill promoted by, and on behalf of, the reformers. The mover should, therefore, have consulted reformers and acted under instructions from them. So far as I am aware, there was hardly any ocasion for the anger into which he allowed himself to be betrayed or the displeasure which he expressed to wards Congressmen. On the face of it, it was, and was designed to be, a measure pertaining to religion, framed in pursuance of the solemn declaration publicly made in Bombay at a neeting of representative Hindus, who met under the chairmans'up of Pandit Malaviyari on 25th September, 1932 The carrous may read the declaration printed almost every week on the front page of Harijan. Therefore, every Hindu, caste of Hacijan, was interested in the measure. It was not a measure in which Congass Hindus were more interested than the other Hindus. To have, therefore, dragged the Congress name into the discussion was unfortunate. Bill deserved a gentler handling."

The Temple Entry, what one is to say of, except to describe it a strange game of political aerobatics! Mr. Gandhi begins as an opponent of Temple Entry. When the Untouchables put forth a demand for political rights, he changes his position and becomes a supporter of Temple Entry. When the Hindus threaten to defeat the Congress in the election, if it pursues the matter to a conclusion, Mr. Gandhi, in order to preserve political power in the hands of the Congress, gives up Temple Entry! Is this sircerity? Does this show conviction? Was the "agony of soul" which Mr. Gandhi spoke of more than a phrase?

CHAPTER V

A POLITICAL CHARITY

Centress Plas to Kill Untouchables by Kindness

1

On the 30th September 1932, a largery attended meeting of the limidus. Bombay has held in Cowasjee Jehangir Hall under the Presidentially of the activity The object of the meeting was to he ar Allerich, while Calouchability League with branches in difficult not i all a ses The near quarters of the League were to be in all . If G. D Birla was to be the President and Mr Auri. , Inakka, General Senetary. The All-India Anti-Untouchar his League was Wit. Gundhi's project. It was inspired by ran and was the direct outcome of the Poona Pact. At any rate, Mr. G. unt adopted as his baby, the moment it was born The fire timing Vir. Gandan did was to change its name. In a press message issued on 9th December 1932, Mr. Gandhi told the publication of gransuiton would thenceforth be known as Servants of the Unitachables Society. This name also did not appear to Mi Gandhi as the best. He was scarching for another. Ultimately he decided to give it a new name. He called it the Hariyan S. val. Si ga. Which means a souty of those engaged in Service to the Unious tibles. This was a natural sequence of the name Harrian by which ldr. Gandhi used to call the Untouchables. This charge did not peas off without a concloversy between the Shaiya and Vishnavas. Here is one of the hundred names of Vishnu, while Har is one of the hundred lames of Shiva. In choosing the name Ha jan, Mr. Gundan was accused of sectarian partitle. The Shaivas it, tended that the Untouchables should be alled ranged. We Gan in did not yield and as the first fruits of this new organisation the Untouchables got a new name

On the 3rd Novembe. 1932, Mr. Bula and Mr. Thakkar issued a scattered to the Press in which they see out the programme of this organization and the set-up of the machinery to carry out the programme.

As to the programme the statement and .-

The League believes that reasonable persons among the Students stare not much against the temporal of Untouchability as such, as they are against inter-caste dinners and the autoges. Since it is not the ambition of the League to

4

undertake reforms beyond its own scope, it is desirable to make it clear that while the League will work by persuasion among the caste. Hindus to remove every vestige of untouchability, the main line of work will be constructive, such as the uplift of Depressed Classes educationally, economically and socially, which itself will go a great way to remove Untouchability. With such a work, even a staunon Sanatanist can have nothing but sympethy. And it is for such work mainly that the League has been established. Social reforms, like the abolition of the caste system and in evidence are kept outside the scope of the League."

For the convenient prosecution of the programme it was proposed that each Province should be divided into a number of units and each unit to be in charge of paid workers. A unit may or may not be coterminus with a district. It may be formed by grouping together two districts or two States.

The statement also set out a normal Budget for the year. It was to be of the following dimensions.—

Not less than two thirds of the expenditure should be devoted to actual welfare work, the remaining one-third to staff and their allowances. Two paid workers are considered the minimum staff and they should be moving about 15 to 29 days in a month in villages.

| Maintenance allowance for two itine; ant workers | 30 + 20 = 50 / | 12 600 |
|--|--------------------|--------|
| Travelling charges for two itinerant | 00 20-00, | |
| wirkers . | $2 10 \times 12$ | =240 |
| Miscellaneous expenditure by and through the workers | $2 + 10 \times 12$ | = 240 |
| Welfare work, ie cost of school | 2 T 10 X 12 | = 240 |
| books, scholarships, prizes, con- | | |
| tributions for wells, if any, and formation of Hargan Pan hayats | | 2,000 |
| | Total | 3,080 |

BUDGET FOR THE WHOLE COUNTRY

We give below a rough idea of the minimum total amount which may have to be spent for the whole India. The scheme is modest chough in view of the gigantic nature of the work and it should not be difficult for the public to raise the required fund. Every pie contributed to the fund will make a valuable contribution and therefore we appeal to the public to make some sacrifices for the cause. The number of units proposed for each province is only a tentative proposal. The final

歌い、500円

decision, of course, will have to be taken by the Provincial Bea.ds themselves

"It is calculated that at hast the following number of units will be required to be vorked in different provinces, the number of districts and states being shown against each province ---

| Asser: 11 6 | |
|---|---|
| | |
| Andara — 6 | |
| Bangal 26 15 | |
| Calcuta City I 3 | |
| Bihar 16 16 | |
| Berrbay, Bombay City & Suburba , District 1 3 | |
| Maharashtra 10 8 | |
| Gijerat, Baroda, Kathawai, Cuch an other | |
| States 5 and States 10 | |
| Central Previoces and Berar (Marathi) .9 7 | |
| Central India States . 11 8 | |
| D.thi Picvince . 1 2 | |
| Kas mar . 1 1 | |
| Malabar, Cochin and Travancoie 4 10 | |
| Mysone & Karnatak Dists of Bombay & Madras 8 10 | |
| Nizam's Dominion 14 10 | |
| Orissa Feudatory States $5 + 26 = States$ 8 | |
| Punjab & N.W.F. Province and the | |
| Punjab States 32-7- 39 10 | |
| Rajpurana Stales Aymer-Merwar State 18 | |
| Br Gist 1 19 9 | |
| Stad . 8 5 | |
| Tamil Nadu . 13 8 | |
| United Provinces 48 24 | |
| TU 21 | |
| Total 184 | |
| The expenditure for 184 Units would be | |
| | Λ |
| , | U |
| CENTRAL & PROVINCIAL OFFICES | |
| Cent.al Office, $I,000 12 = Rs. 12,000$ | U |
| Provincial Offices, $4,000 - 12$ = Rs. $48,000$ | 0 |
| Total Rs 60,000 | |
| Total Rs 60,000 Grand Total Rs, 6,12,000 | |
| | |
| or say, Rs 6,00,000 | |

This amount will have to be made up both from the Central Fund as well as from funds raised by provinces and districts

It can be seen that a sum of six lakhs of rupses is intended to be collected and spent per year in the whole sountry for the removal of Untouchability and ambioiative work of Harijans. This programme, particularly if the ambiocative work is to be effective, should continue at least for 5 years. When spread out over 22 provinces, including States and 4 cross or 400 lakhs of Harijans in the country, this is a small budget indeed.

To provide funds for the work of the Sangh Mr. Gandhi started an Ail-India tour with began on November 7, 1933 and ended on July 29, 1934. Tour collection was supers eight lakes * As the object of the tour was to arouse anthusiasm among the Hirdus for the chaile of the Untouch-oles as also to collect funds, Mr. Gandhi did most of the tour on foot. Mr. Gandhi collected Rs. 8 lakes. With this absolute and the annual donation of Mr. Gandhi's rouned friends the Sangh started its work.

The Hanjan Sevak Saugh has been going on since September 1932. It has been field out as a glori his testimony to the agony of Mi. Gindhi's soul for the condition of the Uniouchables and to the passion he fiels for their devation. The General Secretary of the Saugh has invited many Americans to the Home of the Saugh in Delhi and shown them round as an unrivalled piece of social work that is being done by Mr. Gandhi for the welfare of the Untouchables

Any welfare work for a down-trouden people must be welcomed by all. But this does not mean that it must never be criticised. That can hardly be accepted. It would be legitimate to inquire what work the Sangh is doing since so much is made of it. Any one who has read the Annual Reports of the Sangh will see that it follows certain well defined and stereotyped lines. In the field of education, the Sangh has sought to encourage higher education among the Untouchables by instituting scholarships for the Arts, technical and professional courses. The Sangh also gives scholarships to High School students. The Sangh also maintains Hostels for Untouchable students attending colleges and high schools. The great part of the educational activities of the Sangh is taken up in maintaining separate schools for primary stage children, where there were no common schools in the vicinity or where common schools were closed to them.

Next comes the welfare activities of the Sangh. The medical aid which the Sangh undertakes to render to the Untouchables

^{*} Haryan August 3, 1934.

falls under this head. This is done by innerant workers of the Sangh who go in Adapan quarters, to give medical aid to the sick and alting among the Untouchables. The Sangh also nanitains a few dispensarios for the use of the Untouchables. This is a very small activity of the Sangh.

The more important part of the Null in Autivity of the Sangh telmes to water supply. The Singh does this by (1) staking new wells of visially glubb wills and pumps for the use of the Unicornables! (2) repairing old ones, and (3) persuading Local Generalization belief to sink and repair wells for the Unicornables.

The third line of activity undertaken by the Sangh is economic. The Sangh scenis to tail a few industrial schools and it is clarified that the industrial schools run by the Sangh produced a amage of tailine at issues who have taken to independent living that acco. Ting to the reports, more successful and substantial work was done by way of organizing and supervising co-operative societies among the Uniouchables.

77

From this summary of its activities an impression may be created that the Sargh must be spending quite a lot of money on the weight of the Untournables. What are the facts? It will be recalled that the normal amount of money which the Sangh expected to be able to spend on the uplift work among the Urtiuchables was to be about Rs 5,00,000 per year. How much has the Sangh been actually spending? The Secretary of the Sangh in the Report 1 seed in May 1941 says*:—

"During the course of the last 3 years to various branches and the central office of the Shigh have spent approximately Rs. 24,25.700 and Rs. 3.41,607 respectively, on the Harrjan work. Looking to the needs of the problem, this sum of Rs. 27,67,307 is too insignificant an amount."

On this basis the Sangh's expenditure comes to about Rs. 3,45,888 per year which is 50 per cent less than what the Sangh hoped to collect. It will be seen that the Sangh is not as big as it is made out to be by its friends. The Sangh has been carrying on a very poor existence. A Budget of three lakhs per year for a population of 50 millions of Untouchables is not a matter on which the Untouchables need by very jubilant. Even this much show, the Sangh would not have been able to put up if

^{*} Report, p. 58.

during the two years that they were in office the Congress Governments in different Provinces had not given large grants to the Sangh

The Sangh cannot be blamed for its poor finances. The blame hes with the Hindus. The stagnant, if not the deteriorating, condition of the Sangh shows how little the Hindus care for the welfare of the Untouchables. For political purposes they contributed one crore of Rupers which went to make up the Tilak Swaraj Fund. For General Werfare work they have very recently contributed one crore and 15 likks which will make up the Kasturba Vienorus Fund, Compared with these the contributions made by the Hindus to the Harijan Scoak Sangh are pality.

One may differ with the bangh on the nature of the welfare work it does. Much of the work the Sangh does is obviously the work which any civilized Government is bound to under-take out of public revenues. It may well be asked: why should the Sangh ask Government to undertake this work and use its funds on projects which Government uses not do and yet is argently required to be done?

This however cannot give rise to feelings of animosity on the part of the Uniouchables towards the Sangh. It may be admitted that such animosity does exist. This circumstance and its causes were referred to by a writer in the Indian Social Reformer of 14th October 1944. He said —

"A deputation of Harijans waited on Gandhiji at Sevagram with the request that members of the castes grouped under the head of 'Scheduled Castes' should be allowed representation on the governing body of the Harijan Sevak Sangh. Gandhiji is reported to have replied that the Sangh is meant to help Harijans and was not a Harijan organization and, therefore, their request was inadmissible. At the Round Table Conference Gandhiji opposed reservation of sears for Harijans on the ground that they were Hindus and should not be separated from the general body. Subsequently in the Yeravda Pact he was obliged to consent to an allocation of seats for them specially, from the Hindu quota. When the draft formula conceding this came up for ratification before a general meeting in Bombay, over which Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya

^{*}The occasion for his comments was furnished by the report in the newspapers that on September 29, 1944 some Unto chables waited on Mr. Gandhi and urged upon him to appoint representatives of the Untouchables on the Governing Body of the Harijan Sevak Sangh and Mr. Gandhi refused The writer is behaved to be no other than Mr. K. Natarajan.

presided, one of those present pointed out to an impatient audience that it was not necessary to collect a large fund (as Farduce suggested) to remove from Hindu society the blot of Univachibility and that if each one of those present resolved that he or she (a large : umber of women vere present) would receive Harijo is in cheir nomes just like other Hindus, the problem would at once cases to exist. A B mbay business n agoute turned to the intruder and remarked quietly lave told them a hone truth. None of them is prepared to follow it i com the first it has struck me that this has been the engamental weakness of the Harrian Sevak Sangh. What is the result " Nearly every beneficially of the Sangh is an ident tollewer of Dr Ambedker, which is nothing, but for the fact that they share to the full the lanaucal and bitter hatten of Dr. Ambedker to the Hindus. I can give several usunces to mustice this statement. But that would only make matters worse. I think that this may be avoided by essociating Heijian gentle ren and women with other Hindus in all inspectant bodies, local and central, thus giving them the decisive voice in moulding policy. The idea of helping Harijans without assembling with them, is contrary to the spirit of social reform I was associated with the earlier movemen e for the uplift of Harmans and I never found this spirit of antagonism aroused among the men and women with whom one came in contact. This was because the promoters of the n evement is have the Depressed Classes Mission prominently in view-were by religious faith and social conviction pledged to avoid all discrimination in their behaviour to members of the Depressed Classes. I hink that Gandhiji was not quite right when 'e said that the Harijan Sangh could not admit menibers of the Scheduled Castes Dr Ambedkar, a friend reminds me, was a member of the Saugh when it was for-ഈർ.'ീ

I quote this because it gives me the occasion to explain the auses of the hostility and expose the true character of the Sangh

Ш

The writer in the Indian Social Rejormer pleads that Untou hables should be associated with the management of the Sangh disstatement might lead people to believe that Untouchables were represented on the Centeral Board of the Sangh That would be a mistake. The correct position is that when the Sangh was tarted provinced to the correct position is that when the Sangh was tarted provinced to the correct position is that when the Sangh was tarted provinced to the correct position is that when the Sangh was tarted to the correct position in that when the Sangh was tarted to the correct position in the c

tarted prominent Unfoughables in substantial numbers were or the Central Board of the Sangh. The statement issued by Mr Birla and Mr. Thakkar on 3rd November 1932 gives the name: of those who were constituting the Central Board. It was announced that -

"The Central Board has been constituted with the following organising members —

Sjt G. D Birla, Delhi and Calcutta; Sir Purshotamdas Thakuidas, Bombay. Sir Lallubhai Sa naldas, Bombay; Dr. B. R. Amec'kar, Bombay; Sheih Ambalal Sarabhai, Ahmedabad. Dr. B. C. Roy, Calcutta Lala Shii Rim, Delhi, Ran Banadar M. C. Raja, Madras, Di. T. S. S. Rajan, Trichinopoly, Rao Bahadar Stinivasan, Madras, M. A. V. Thakkar, General Sectetary, Delhi."

It will be seen that out of 8 members 3 were drawn from the Untouchables. After my retirement from the Board, the other two namely Rao Bahadur M. C. Rajth and Ran Bahadur Stinivasan also retired. I do not know the reasons why hey dissociated themselves from the Sangh.

It is right and proper that I should state the reasons why I severed my conection with the Singh. After the Poona Part I proceeded in a spirit of forget and forgive. I accepted the bona pides of Mr. Gandhi as I was asked to do by many of his friends. It was in that spirit that I accepted a place on the Central Board of the Sangh and was looking forward to play my part in its activities. In fact, I wanted to discuss with Mr. Gandhi the programme of work which I felt the Sangh should undertake. Before I could do that, I was crited to go to London to attend the third Round Table Conference. The next best thing I could do was to communicate my views to Mr. A. V. Thakkar, the Secretary of the Sangh Accordingly I worte the following letter from the steamer:—

M/N "Victoria," Port Said, *Nov. 14, 1932*.

DEAR MR THAKKAR,

I received your wire previous to my departure to London, informing me of the acceptance of my suggestion regarding the nomination of Rao Bahadur Shrinivasan to the Central Board and Mr. D V Naik to the Bombay Provincial Board, I am glad that this question has been amicably settled and that we can now conjointly work out the mogramme of the Anti-Untouchability League * I wish I had an opportunity to meet the

^{*} Harijan Sevak Sangh was the name given to the League at a later stage

members of the Central Board to discuss with them the principles which the League should follow in froming its programme of work, but unfortunately owing to my having to leave for London at a very short notice, I have had to forego that opportunity. I am nowever doing the s tond best namely to convex to you my views in writing for placing them before the Board for their consideration.

In my opinion there as be two distinct methods of approaching the task of uplifting the Depicessed Classes There is a scrools, which proceeds on the assumption that the fact of the individual belonging to the Depressed Classes is bound up with his personal conduct. If he is suffering from want and misery it is because he must be virio is and sinful. Starting from this hypothesis this School of social workers concentrates all its efforts and its resources on fostering personal virtue by adopting a programme which includes items such as temperance, gymnasium, co-opreacion, libraries, schools, eet, which are calculated to make the individual a better and virtuous individual. In my opinion, there is also another method of approach to this problem Is starts with the napothesis that the fact of the individual is governed by his environment and the circumstances he is obliged to live under, and if an individual is suffering from want and misery it is because his environment is not propitious. I have no doubt that of the two views the latter is the more corroct, the former may raise a few stray individuals above the level of the class to which they belong. It cannot lift the class at a whole. My view of the aim of the Anti-Untouchability I cague is that it has come into existence not for helping a fev. individuals at random or a few seleted boys belonging to the Depressed Classes but for raising the whole class to a higher level. Consequently, I would not like the League to dissipate its energies on a programme calculated to foster private virtue. I would like the Board to concentrate all its energies on a programme that will effect a change in the social environment of the Depressed Classes. Having stated in general terms my views, I venture to place some concrete proposals for work to be undertaker by the League

.. A CAMPAIGN TO SECURE CIVIL RIGHTS

I think the first thing that the League should undertake is a campaign all over India to secure to the Depressed Classes the enjoyment of their civic rights such as taking water from the village wells, entry in village schools, admission to village chawdi, use of public conveyance, etc. Such a programme if

carried into villages will bring about the necessary social revolution in the Hindu Society, without which it will never be nossible for the Depressed Classes to get equal social status. The Board must, however, know what difficulties it will have to face if this campaign of civic rights is to be carried through Here I can speak from expensence, because I, as President, know what happened when the Depressed Classes Institute and the Social Equality Lengue languaged such a plan in the Kolaba and the Nasik Districts of the Bumbay Presidency. First of all, there will be mots between the Dapressed Classes and the caste Hindus which will result in breaking heads and in criminal prosecutions of the side of the other. In this struggle, the Depressed Classes will suffer badly because the Police and the Magistracy will always be against them has not been a single case in the course of the social struggle carried on in these two districts, in which the Police and the Magistracy have come to the rescue of the Depressed Classes even when justice was on their side. The Police and the Magistracy are as corrupt as they could be, but what is worse is that they are definitely political in the sense that they are out not to see that justice is done but to see that the dignity and interests of the ciste Hindus as against the Depressed Classes are upheld Secondly, the villages will proclaim a complete boycoit of the Depiessed Classes, the moment they see the latter are trying to reach a status of equality along with them. You know what harrowing tales of harassment, unemployment and starvation, which the Depressed Classes repeated before the Statte Committee of which you were a member. I therefore do not think it necessary to say anything more about the severity of this weapon and of its dreadful power to bring all efforts of the Depressed Classes to rise above their degraded station to a standstill.

I have mentioned only to of the many obstacles which the League will have to overcome, if this campaign of civic rights is to be successful and the League will have to have an army of workers in the rural parts, who will encourage the Depressed Classes to fight for their rights and who will help them in any legal proceedings arising therefrom to a successful issue. I am so much convinced by the efficiency of this programme that I have not the slightest hesitation in saying that the League ought to look upon this as primary in comparison to everything else. It is true that this programme involves social disturbance and even bloodshed. But I do not think that it can be avoided. I know the alternative policy of adopting the line of least resistance. I am convinced that it will be ineffective in the matter of uprooting untou-

chability. The silent infitration of rational ideas among the ignorant mass of casee Hindus cannot. I am sure, work for the elevation of the Depressed Classes. First of all the caste Hindu like off human beings follows his customary conduct in observing upt unhability towards the Depressed Classes Ordinarily people in all give up their customary mode of behavious he suse somebody is preaching against it. But when that sustained mode of behavious has of a behavious have be und it the sametion of religion more preaching, if it is rea reser ed and is isted, will be allowed to wait along the wind without realing any effect on the mind. The salistion of the Dan when Charses will come only when the Caste Hardu is made to think and is fried to feel that he must also his ways. For the you must clease a crisis by direct action against his cast many cod of conduct. The crisis will compil him to think and once he begins to think he will be more ready to change than he is otherwise likely to be. The great defect in the policy of least resistance and silent infiltration of rational ideas lise in this that they do not compel thought, for they la not produce crisis. The direct action in resner of Chadur Tank in Wishad, the Kalaram To tole in Nasik and the Gurwayus Temple in Malthar have done in a few days what million days of preaching by reformers would never have done I therefore strongly recommend this campaign of direct action for securing civic rights of the Derry sed Classes for adoption by the An -Untouchability League know the difficulties of this compaign, and from such expemence as I have of it I am convinced that the forces in charge of Law and Order must be on our side, if it is to end in success. It is because of this that I have deliberately excluded temples from its scope and confined it only to public rights of a civic nature, the exercise of which I feel Government is bound to protest

2. Equality of Opportunity

The second thing I would like the Untouchability League to work for, is to bring about equality of opportunity for the Depressed Classes. Much of the miser, and poverty of the Depressed Classes is due to the absence of equality of apportunity which in its turn is due to ma outhybility. I am sure you are aware that the Depressed Classes in villages and even in towns cannot cell veg-tables, milk or butter—ways of earning a living which are open to all and sundry. A caste Hindu will buy those things from a non-Hindu, but he will not but them from the Depressed Classes. In the matter of enjoyment, his condition is the worst. In Government Departmentes the bar-sinister operates and he is defined the place of

a constable or even a messenger. In industries he fares no better Like the Negro in America he is the last to be emploved in days of prosperity and the first to be fired in days of adversity. And even when he gets a foothold, what are his prospects? In the Cetton Mills in Bombay and Ahmedabad he is confined to the lowest paid department where he can earn only Rs 25 per month. More paying departments like the weaving departments are permanently closed to him Even in the low paid departments he cannot rise to the highest rung of the ladder. The place of the boss is reserved for the caste Hindu while the Depressed Class worker must slave as his underdog, no matter how senior or how effici-In departments where the calning depends on piece work, he has failed to earn as well as Caste Hindu employees because of social discrimination. Depressed Classes women working in the Winding and Roeling Departments have come to me in hundreds complaining that the Naikins instead of distributing the raw material to all employees equally or in fair proportion, give all of it to the caste Hindu women and leave them in the cold. I have given only a few of the instances of the gross inequality of opportunity from which the Depressed Classes are suffering mainly at the hands of the Hindus. I think it would be fit and proper, if the Anti-Untouchability League were to take up this question creating public opinion in condemnation of it and estabhishing bureaus to deal with urgent cases of inequality. I would particularly desire the League to tackle the problem of opening the Weaving department of the Cotton mills to the Depressed Classes as it is likely to make a very large opening for prosperous employment to members of the Depressed Much can be done by private firms and companies managed by Hindus by extending their patronage to the Depressed Classes and by employing them in their offices in various grades and occupations suited to the capacities of the applicants.

3. Social Intercourse

Lastly, I think the League should attempt to dissolve that nausea, which the touchables feel towards the Untouchables and which is the reason why the two sections have remained so much apart as to constitute separate and distinct entities. In my opinion the best way of achieving it is to establish closer contact between the two. Only a common cycle of participation can help people to overcome the strangeness of feeling which one has, when brought into contract with the other. Nothing can do this more effectively in my opinion than

the admission of the Depressed Classes to the houses of the caste Hindus as guests or servants. The live contact thus established will familiarize both to a common and associated lift and will pave the way for that unity which we are all striving after. I am sorry that many caste Hindus who have shown themselves responsive are not prepared for this During those ten days of the Mahatma's fast that shook the Indian world, there were cases in Vile Parle and in Mahad where the caste Hindu servants had struck work belause their masters had ablogated the rules of untouchability by fraternising with the Untouchables Texpected that they would end the strike and teach a lesson to the erring masses by filling the vacancise by employing Depressed Classes in their place. Instead of doing that the, capitulated with the forces of orhodoxy and strengthened them. I do not know how far such fair-weather friends of the Depiessed Classes would be of help to them. People in distress can have very little consolation from the fact that they have sympath sers, if those sympathisers will do nothing more than sympathise, and I may as well tell the League that the Depressed Classes will never be satisfied of bong fidy of these caste Hindu sympathisers until it is proved that they are prepared to go to the same length of fighting against their own kith and kin actual warfare if it came to that for the soke of the Depressed Classes as the Classes as the Whites of the North did against their own kith and kin, namely, the Whites of the South for the sake of the emancipation of the Negro. But this thing apart, I think it is necessary that the League should endeavour to inculcate upon the mind of the Hindu public the necessity of establishing contact and social intercourse between the touchables and the untouchables in the way I have mentioned

4. Agency to be Employed

The League will have to employ a very large army of workers to carry out its programme. The appointment of social workers might perhaps be looked upon as a minor question. Speaking for myself, I attach very great importance to the selection of a proper agency to be employed in this behalf. There can always be found workers to do a particular piece of work or any other for the matter of that if they are paid for it I am sure such mercenary workers will not serve the purpose of the League. As Tolstoy said: "Only those who love can serve." In my opinion that test is more likely to be tulfilled by workers drawn from the Depressed Classes. I should therefore like the League to bear this aspect of the question in mind in deciding upon whem to appoint and when not to appoint. I do not suggest that there are not secoundrels.

among the Depressed Classes who have not made social service their last refuge But largely speaking you can be more sure that a worker drawn from the Depressed Classes will regard the work as love's labour—a thing which is so essential to the success of the League Secondly, there are agencies which are already engaged in same sort of social service without any confines as to class or purpose—and may be prepared to supplement their activity by taking up the work of Anti-Untouchability League in consideration of a grant-in-aid. I am sure this hire-purchase system of workif I may use that expression—can produce no lasting good. What is wanted in an agency is a singleminded devotion to one task and one task only. We want bodies and organisations which have deliberately chosen to be narrow-minded in order to be enthusiastic about their cause. The work it is to be assigned must be assigned to those who would undertake to devote themselves exclusively to the work of the Depressed Classes

I am afraid I have already trespassed the limits of a letter and do not think I can err further in that direction without being tediously long. I had many other things to say but I now propose to reserve them for another occasion. Before closing this I wish to say just this. It was Balfour I think who said that what could hold the British Empire together was love and not law I think that observation applies equally to the Hindu Society. The touchables and the untouchables cannot be held together by law—certainly not by any electoral law substituting joint electorates for separate electorates The only thing that can hold them together is love Outside the family justice alone in my opinion can open the possibility of love, and it should be the duty of the Anti-Untouchability League to see that the touchable does, or failing that is made to do, justice to the Untouchable. Nothing else in may opinion can justify the project or the existence of the League

With best wishes and kind regards.

I am,
Yours sincerely,
(Sd.) B. R AMBEDKAR.

P. S.

I am releasing this to the Press so that the general public may know my views and have an opportunity to consider them.

To A. V. Tharkar, Esq., General Sucretary, Anti-Uniouchabili y League. Birla House, New Delhi.

IV

To my great surprise, I found that no attention was paid t my proposals. Indeed, my letter was not even a knowledged 'felt that there was no use in my remaining in the Sangh. I disso atted myself from it. I found that in my absence the aims an objects had undergone a complete change. At the meeting hel in Cowasiee Jehangir Hall in Bombay on the 30th September 193 the aims of the organization were stated to be —

"Carring propaganda against Untouchability and taking immediate steps to secure as early as practicable that all public wells, dhatamshalas, roads, schools, crematoriums, burning ghats and all public temples be declared open to the Depressed Classer, provided that no compulsion or force shall be used and that only peaceful persuasion shall be used and that only peaceful persuasion shall be adopted towards this end."

But 11 the statement issued by Mr. G D. Birla and Mr. A Thakkar on the 3rd November two month after its mauguration it was stated:—

"The League believes that reasonable persons among the Sanatanists are not much against the removal of Untouchability as such, as they are against inter-caste dinners and marriages. Since it is not the ambition of the League to under take reforms beyond its own scope, it is desirable to make it clear that while the League will work by persuasion among the caste Hindus to remove every vestige of Untouchability, the main line of work will be constructive, such as the uplift of Depressed Classes educationally, economically and socially, which itself will go great way to remove untouchability. With such a work even a stanch Sanatanist can have nothing but sympahy. And it is for such work mainly that the League has been established. Social reforms like the abolition of the caste system and inter-dining are kept out side the scope of the League."

Here there was a complete departure from the original aims, the organization Removal of Untouchability had only a nomin, place in the programme. Constructive work became the main pa of the work of the Sangh. It is pertinent to ask why this change

in the aims and objects was made. This change in the aims and objects could not have been brough! about without the knowledge and consent of Mr Gandhi. The only reason one can see is that the original progremme was most inconvenient to Mr. Gandai. Re moval of Untouchability as a platform was very good, but as a programme of action it was bound to have made Mr. Gandhi very unpooular with the Hindus He was not prepared to court such unpopularity He therefore preferred the programme of constructive work which had all advantages and no disadvantages. The Hindus did not mind it. Mr. Gandhi could pursue it without incurring the displeasure of the Hindus. The programme of constructive work had no such disadvantage. On the other Hand, is had a positive advantage to recommend it. It had the possibility of destroving the independent movement which the Untouchables had built up and which had forced Mr. Gandhi in 1932 yield to its demands by agreeing to the Poona Pact by dangling well before them the benefits of the constructive work, a consummation which all Congressmen so devoutly wish. It could make Untouchables Congressmen and most gracefully too. The programme of constuctive work had the possibility of being converted into a plan to ki'l Untouchables by kindness. This as a matter of fact has happend. The Harijan Sevak Sangh is intolerent of any movement on the part of the Untouchables which is independent and apposed to the Hindus and the Congress and is out to destroy it. Anticipating that such would be the consequences of the chang in the aims and objects, I retired from the Saugh.

Since the first batch of the Uniouchables lift the Sangn no attempt was made by Mr Gandhi to appoint other Untouchables in their places. Instead, the maragement of the Saugh has been allowed to pass entirely into the hands of the Hindus of the Co 1gress persuasion. Indeed, it is now the policy of the Saugh to e clude Untouchables from the management and niger direction of the Sangh As will be seen from the refusal of Mr. Gand's to agree to the suggestion made by deputation of Untouchables* requesting him to appoint Untouchables to the managing body. Gandhi has propounded a new doctrine to console the deputations. He says: "the Welfare work for the Untouchables is a penance which the Hindus have to do for the sin of Untouchability. The money that has been collected has been contributed by the Hindus. From both points of view the Hindus alone must run the Sangh. Neither ethice nor right would justify Untouchables in claiming a seat on the Board of the Sangh." Mr Ganchi does not realize how greatly he has insulted the Untouhables by his doctrine, the ingenuity of which not succeeded in concealing its

^{*}The deputation of the Untouchables that waited on Mr. Gandhi is not the first of its kind. Others have done so previously with the same result.

gross and coarse character If Mr. Gandhi's point is that the money is collected by the Hindus and the Uniouchables have therefore no right to say how it shall be spent, no self respecting Untouchable will bother him and fortunately those Untouchables who have gone to him for such favour are just unemployed loafers who are seeking to make politics a source of their livelihood. But Mr Gandhi must tealize that what he says is only a justification for the change. It does not explain what has been the cause of this profound change in the original conception of the Sangh. It is pertinent to ask, why at one time he was anxious to have Untouchables on the Governing Body of the Sangh and why he is determined now to exclude them?

٧

The witter of the letter in the Indian Social Reformer is right when he says the Unionchables felt no hostility towards the Depressed Classes Mission Society which like the Harijan Sevak Sangh was also engaged in doing welfare work among the Untouchables. Hindus and Untouchables both worked together in perfect harmony towards furthering the work of the Mission. The writer is not quite correct when he says that this was due to the Depressed Classes Mission having always taken care to have on it Managing Committee a certain number of Untouchables. This is quite true. But the reason why there was no hostility between the Mission and the Untouchables and why there is between the Untouchables and the Sangh is quite different. It lies in the fact that the Mission had no political objective behind its work but the Sangh has,

It is true that the original intention was to keep the Sangh scrupulously aloof from politics. It was stated in the statement issued on 3rd November 1932 that —

"The League may be able to carry on its work on a non-party basis, it has decided not to associate itself with politics or religious propaganda of any kind. The heads of Provincial as well as Central Executive will, therefore, have to be very careful in the selection of their active workers. With this object in view it is necessary that all whole-time paid workers of the League should not take part in politics or in any sectional or religious propaganda"

But this pronouncement was respected more in its breach than in the observance thereof. It may be that it was impossible to resist the temptation of using the Hailjan Sevak Sangh for bringing the Untouchables into the Congress fold, make them accept Congress politics and impress upon them Congress ideologies, especially when the sense of gratitude for service rendered, no

mat er how petty would make them receptive for such processes It may be the tet was not stary to make the Ha can Sevax Sangh a political magnifacto y grandation to its being a service station for the Untouchables. To have equipped the Untouchables for their struggle in life and to have left them free to choose their politics would be charity pure and simple. But how long would the Hindus have supported such a charity? Not very long. There being no sense of sin behind the treatment of Uniouchables by the Hindus and no cause for repentance or expiation, the charity on which the Sangh lives would have dued out. To prevent this the Sangh may have fell that to get continued charity it must show results i.e to prove the Hindus that the Untouchables are no longer independent of and opposed to the Hindus in the matter of religion and politics. My analysis of the causes may not be accurate. But there is no denying the fact that the Hariron Sevak Saugh is a political organization the aim and object of which are to draw the Untouliables into the Congress fold.

I can give only a few instances which strike me as important The Harrian Sevak Sangh hold Conferences of its workers. These Conferences were ostensibly "organized for the purpose of examining the progress of work in different linguistic provinces and for exchanging ideas and experiences." One such conference was held in Poona in the first week of June 1939 It was found that this Conference had planned to pass a resolution asking Government to change the system of voting under the Poona Pact by substituting distributive system for cumulative voting. I have already pointed out how after its surrender marked by the Poona Pact, the Congress insisted upon the adoption of the distributive system of voting and how dangerous it was to the Untouchables and how it would have nullified the Poona Pact. The Congress failed. What the Congress failed to do the Sangh took upon itself to advocate knowing full well that it was opposed to the Untouchables. A strange resolution for a non-political boby! It is like a drunkard with a red nose trying to convince his neighbours that he is a tectotaler. The Sangh was prevented from pursing the course by a demonstration of the Untouchables.

I am in a position to state that the Bombay Branch of the Harijan Sevak Sangh had followed the policy of black listing some of the Untouchable communities residing in Bombay on account of its Anu-Congress attitude. students from Communities which were black listed were refused scholarships and other educational aids. The Mahar Community, which forms the spearhead of the political movement of the Untouchables and has all along fought with the Congress, was black listed and Mahar students were generally subjected to discrimination unless the stadent proved

that he did not share the Anti-Congress senuments of the Community.

The latter and a would refer to has reference to Mr. A. V. Trakker the Gertial Secretary of the Haryan Sevek Sangh Mr. I akker is also a member of the Backward Classes Board of the Bombay Government. It was established in 1929. It meets periodically and advisor Government on matters affecting the Unito charles and other buckward Classes.

Mr Thakkar brought a resolution in the meeting of the Beard recommending to Government that scholarships set apart by Government for Unrouchable 30, s should not be given to the Mahar bare alleging that the Me, ar Community had become very much advanced in education and was appropriating—or according to the misappropriating—he share of Government funds which eight a be reserved for their Uniquehable Communities. The molitim vas sent dewr for investigation of the facts on which was found. The inquiry wowed that the facts were worng and as the Mohars instead of being forward were really backward in education as compared with other Uniquehable Communities. The resolution was nothing but a political manoenvre by he last a person than the General Secretary of the Harijan Sevak Sauge to punish the Mahars for their Anti-Congress politics.

What does all this show. Does it not snow that the Harijan Sevik Sangh is a chart sole organization only in name, and that its real air is to ensuare the Uniquehables, to make them the camp-iclicities of the Hindus and the congress and to scotch any movement by them the aim and object of which are to free themselves from the social, religious economic and political domination of the Hindus? Is there may wonder if the Uniquehables look upon the Harijan Sevak Sangh as an abilimitation, the object of winth is to kill them by kindness?

CHAPTER VI

A FALSE CLAIM

Does Congress Represent All?

Ţ

THE Congress has been loudly and insistently claiming that it is the only political organization in India which is representative of the people of India. At one time it used to claim that it represents the Musalmans also. This if does not now go, at any rate so loudly and insistently. But so far as the Untouchables are concerned the Congress maintains most vehemently that it does represent them. On the other hand, the non-Congress political parties have always denied this claim. This particularly true of the Untouchables who have never hesitated to repudiate the Congress claim to represent them.

In this livalry the Congress has been able to beat down the Untouchables and the other non-Congress Parties by the sheer strength of the resources in publicity and propaganda. The result has been that most foreigners intrested in Indian affairs have become infected by this propaganda, and have come to believe in the validity of the Congress claim. So long as the world had to depend upon nothing but propaganda, the Congress could very easily fool the foreigner and there was no help for those who denied the Congress claim to represent all. They had no means coping with the situation. But since the election of 1937 to the Provincial Legislatures the situation has been altered. Instead of depending upon general statements backed by propaganda, one can now determine the issue in terms of seats and votes which is a more concrete measure of appraisement than mere propaganda.

What do the election returns show? What is the total number of seats captured by the Congress? What is the total number of votes secured by the Congress?

First, let us ascertain the number of seats captured by the Congress Soon after the election had taken place, the Congress held a convention of all those who were elected to the Provincial Legislatures on the Congress ticket, which met in New Delhi on March 19, and 20, 1937, In that connection, the Congress issued a bulletin in which their names are given. Taking

hat information as accurate, the following appears to be the trength of the Congress in each Provincial Legislature —

Table 7
Congress Strength in Provincial Assemblies

| P | rovince | | - | Total Strength of the Assembly | Congress Strength in the Assembly |
|---|---------|---|---|--|---|
| Assam engal thar ombay C. P and B. Vadras Prissa 'unjab Sind J. P. V. W. F. P | erar | | | 108 250 152 175 112 215 60 175 60 228 50 | 35 60 95 85 70 159 36 18 8 134 |
| Total | | • | | 1,585 | 719 |

Table 8

Congress Strength in Provincial Councils

| | Pro | vince | | | Total Strength of the Council | Congress Strength in the Council |
|---|------|-------|------|--------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| ssam engal ibar iombay Iadras | *** | *** | , v1 | * 4 41 | 18 57 26 26 46 | Nil 10 8 14 26 |
| То | otal | •• | | - | 173 | 58 |

These tables show that taking the two Houses together the Conress secured 777 seats out of a total of 1,758. The Congress obviusly is not a majority party. It did not secure even half the umber of seats.

This is the position of the Congress in terms of the number of eats. What is the position of the Congress in terms of voting rength? The following figures will show that even in point of oring strength the Congress came out as a minority.

Table 9

Abstract of Votes Cast in the Election disrtibuted as between Congress and Non-Congress Parties

| Pi | rovince | | Total Votes cast | Votes cast in favour of Congress | Votes cast in favour of Non-Congress |
|--|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Madras | Assembly Council | _ | 4,327,734 33,511 | 2,658,966 16,907 | 1,668,768 16,604 |
| Bo mbay | Assembly Council | | 3,408,308 23,730 | 1,568,093 | 1,840,215 14,310 |
| Bengal | Assembly Council | | 3,475,730 5,593 | 1,055,9 0 0 1,489 | 2,419,830 4,104 |
| U F. | Assembly Council | ٠ | 3,362,736 9,795 | 1,899,325 1,580 | 1,463,411 8,215 |
| Bihar | Assembly Council | | 1,477,668 4,318 | 99.2,642 96 | 485,026 4,222 |
| Punjab · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Assembly Assembly | | 1,710,934 1,317,461 | 191,265 678,265 | 1,5 29,669 639,196 |
| Assam | Assembly Council | | 522,332 2,623 | 129,218 Nil | 393,114 2,623 |
| N W.F.P. Orissa Sind | Assembly Assembly Assembly | | 179,529 304,749 333,589 | 43,845 198,680 18,944 | 135,684 106,069 314,645 |
| Tota | 1 | | 20,500,340 | 9,454,635 | 11,045,705 |

It is not enough to know these figures. They must be read in the light of other circumstances. The first such circumstance is the leavel of the franchise. The other is the relative position of the two parties in the election. Without taking these into account it would not be possible to understand the full significance of the election results. As to franchise, it is very high, and the electorate, compared with the total population, is indeed very small. How small a part of the total population it formed will be seen from the comparative figures given in following table:—

Table 10

| Provin | ice | | Population (1931) | Electorate |
|---|------|----|--|---|
| Madras Bombay and Sind Bengal U. P Punjab Bihar and Orissa C. P. Assam N. W. F. P | 4. | | 47,193,602 26,398,997 - 51,087,338 49,614,338 24,018,639 42,329,583 17,990,937 9,247,857 4,684,364 | 6,145,450 3,249,500 6,695,483 5,335,309 2,686,094 2,932,454 1,741,364 815,341 246,609 |
| | Tota | .1 | 272,566,150 | 29,847,604 |

Only about ten per cent of the population was given the right to vote. The high franchise mail the electorare a hive of the middle and the intellectual classes, both of which were intensely Pre-Congress. Coming to the clutive position of the Congress and the Non-Congress Parcies, the following points call for spenal notice. On the Congress side there were massed all the sine as of war, morey and organization The Non-Congress candidates were without a party thest and 'ed no rganization. The Congress candulates were the blue boys of the public. They were enemies of British Imperialism, out to achieve freedom and independence of the country. Goal life had invested the Congress candidates with the halo of martyrdom. As rule no one was selected as a Congress candidate who had no gone to gael. The Non-Congress candidates were epresented by the congress Piess-and as I have said there is a other piess in India-as the showboys of the Butue, with a record of service to or sacrifice for the country. agents of little Imperlism, enemies of the country, job-hunters, fellows at theill the interests of the country for a mess of pottage There was another factor which told in favour of the Congress candidates and against the Non-Congress candidates. The Congress had be contied the Montagn-Chelmsford Reforms of 1920 and the Congress candidates had not to answer for any act of commission or acressoo in regard to the administration of the country. The Non-Congress candidates on the other hand were drawn from those who had worked the Reforms and had to answer for many acts if omission and commission, which is the lot of all those who have the courage to take upon their shoulders the responsibilities of administration. The Non-Congress candidates were accused if having riade the places dirty and the Congress candidates were pr whimed as angles going to clean the augean stables. In a situation like this, any one, knowing was the dice was loaded in favour of the Congress, connot but feel surprised at the sorry figure the Congress out in the election. With all us resources, prestige and public sympathy the Congress should have swept the polls. But it did not even get fifty per lent of the seats or the votes.

Is there any doubt that the Congress claim to represent all classes and communities is a hollow claim with no foundation in fact?

II

Let me next proceed to examine the Congress claim to represent the Untouchables. This claim also can now be determined by reference to the results of the elections that took place in 1937. A correct inderstanding of the results of the electoral contests between Congress and the Untouchables, I fear, will not be possible to those who have no knowledge of the electoral plan devised to give representation to the Untouchables I therefore feel it necessary to explain in the first instance the Indian Electoral system, particularly for the benefit of the foreigner. It may be described by reference to the four elements of an Electoral System, namely, (1) Electorate, which is the Indian term for constituencies, (2) Right to vote, (3) Right to stand as a candidate for election and (4) Rules for determining who is a successful candidate

- 1 There are two sorts of Electorates recognized by the Government of India Act, 1925.
 - (1) Non-Territorial
 - (2) Territorial.
- 2 Non-Territorial Electorates are Electorates which are designed to give representation to special interests such as Landlords, Chambers of Commerce, Trade Unions, etc.
 - 3 Territorial Electorates fall into three categories
 - (1) Separate Territorial Electrates known in their abbreviated form as Separate Electorates.
 - (a) General Territorial Electorates
 - (111) Joint Territorial Electorates with Reserved Seats, commonly spoken of as Joint Electorates
- 4 Separate Electorates are Communal Electorates. They are designed to give representation to specified Communities, namely, Muslims, Indian Christians, Europeans and Anglo-Indians. The voters of each of these Communities in a given area are grouped into one Electorate, separate from the rest. They elect a voter of their Community as their representative exclusively by their own votes. The governing feature of a separate electorate is that in an election through a separate electorate only voters of a Community can vote and stand for election. If it is a Muslim Electorate the voter and the candidate must be a Musalman, if it is a Christian Electorate the voter and the candidate must be a Christian and so on. The election is decided by a majority of votes cast by voters of the particular community.
- 5. A General Electorate is the normal usual form of the electorate, an electorate which comprises of voters of all communities living in an area but which are outside the system of Separate Electorates. It is called a General Electorate because it is an electorate in which neitner community nor religion finds any recognition. It is an electorate of the Rest i.e. other than



Muslims, Indian Christians, Europeans and Anglo-Indians. In a General Electorate.—

- (1) No voter who is in a Separate Electorate has a right to vote in or stand for election
- (ii) Every voter who is on its electoral roll has a right to vote and to stand for election without reference to his caste, creed or community.
- (m) The result of the election is determined by a simple majority of votes cast.
- 6 A Joint Electorate is a cross between Separate Electorate and the General Electorate. It has some things in common with Separate Electorate and the General Electorate But it also differs from both in other particulars. The points of agreement and of difference are set out below
 - (i) Joint Electorate compared with Separate Electorate:
 - (1) Joint Electorate is akin to Separate Electorate in as much as both aim to carmark a seat for a particular community
 - (2) Joint Electorate differs from a Separate Electorate in two respects
 - (a) In a Separate Electorate the right to vote in the election is confined to voters of the community for which the seat is earmarked, while in a Joint Electorate, though the seat is earmarked for a particular community, in other words though the right to stand is confined to a member of a particular community, the right to vote in the election for that seat is open to other communities which make up the General Electorate
 - (b) In both cases the poil is declared on the basis of majority votes. But in the case of a separate electorate the majority is and must be of the voters belonging to the same community as that of the candidate, while in the case of a joint electorate majority need not be of the same community as that of the candidate.
 - (11) Joint Electorate compared with General Electorate:-
 - (1) A Joint electorate is akin to a General Electorate in as much as in both a voter is free to vote for

any candidate standing for a general Constituency

- (2) A Joint Electorate differs from a Separate Electorate in two respects :--
 - (a) A General Electorate may be a single member electorate. But a Joint Electorate must at least be a two-member electorate one general and one reserved.
 - (b) In a General Electorate no seat is earmarked for any community. But in a Joint Electorate one at least must be reserved.

pecial Features of Joint Electorate

nt Electorate with Reserved Seats is essentially a General with the following distinguishing features —

A General Electorate may be a single member electorate But a Joint Electorate must necessarily be a plural member Electorate.

In a General Electorate the seat or seats to be filled by Election are open to all, and all communities not enclosed in separate electorates are entitled to contest and the result of the election is ditermined by majority of the votes polled by the candidates without reference to community of the voter or the candidate. But in a Joint Electorate at least one seat is reserved for some particular community which means that the right to stand as a candidate for such reserved seat is restricted to members of that community.

While the right to stand in a Joint Electorate is restricted, the right to vote is unrestricted and all voters in the General Electorates, i.e., even voters of communities other than the one for which the seat is reserved are free to vote for the election of the candidate for the Reserved Seat.

In declaring the result of the election to the reserved seat, there is no requirement that the successful candidate must have obtained a specified quantum of votes of the voters of this community. The rule is that the candidate of the community for which the seat is reserved if there is only one or if there be more than one candidate then the one who polls the highest number of votes must be declared to be elected even

if another candidate belonging to the general community has secured a greater number of votes than the community's candidate

Such is the Electoral system which obtains in India. The system made applicable to the Untouchables is the one referred to as the system of Joint Electorates with Reserved Seats and described under 7 above. To give effect to the principle of reservation for the Untouchables what is done is to pick out a requisite number of General Electorates, convert them into plural member electorates and reserve in each such electorate one or two seats for she Scheduled Castes Different Provinces have different number of such Joint Electorates. Their actual number is determined by the number of seats allotted to the Scheduled Castes in the Provincial Legislature and by the number of seats reserved for them in each Joint Electorate. Attention may also be drawn to some features of the plan, which from the point of view of results are of crucial character.

The Joint Electorate is a general electorate. But it must not on that account be supposed that it is a constituency consisting of the generality of voters. As has already been pointed out, the Muslims, Indian Christians, Anglo-Indians and Europeans have been given separate electorates and consequently, the Muslim, Indian Christian, Anglo-Indian and European voters are excluded from a Joint Electorate. The result is that the Joint Electorate is a constituency in which the only voters who are included are those belonging to the Scheduled Castes, Hindus, Parsis and Jews. As the Parsis and Jews are negligible except in Bombay, the Joint Electorate consists of Hindus and Scheduled Castes only

Although the General Electorate selected for reserving a seat for the Untouchables may be bigger than a two-member constituency and although it is open to reserve more than one seat for the Untouchables in one General Electorate, in all provinces the general plan is to select a two-member General Electorate, and to reserve one seat for the Hindus and one seat for the Scheduled It is only in Bengal there are three constituencies in which two seats are reserved for the Scheduled Castes. The Joint Electorate is thus a linked constituency Two features of this Joint Electorate should be noted: (1) The Hindu voters in a Joint Electorate are almost always in a majority, if not in an overwhelming majority and the Sheduled Castes voters are almos' always in a nunority, if not in a hopeless minority. (2) A Hindu voter can vote for the election of a Scheduled Caste candidate standing for the seat reserved for the Scheduled Castes and a Scheduled Caste voter can vote for the election of a Hindu candidate standing for the Hindu seat.

Under the system what are the probabilities? Will the Scheduled Castes be able to elect a Scheduled Caste candidate who has their confidence to the seat reserved for them or will the Hindus he able to elect a Scheduled Caste candidate who is their tool and who has no confidence of the Scheduled Cristes? probabilities will be determined by two considerations (1) by the number of seats reserved for the Hindus and (2) by the nature of the political organizations prevailing a nong the Hindus. It there is only one seat reserved for the Hindus and if the Hindus are so organized that they can prevent a confest for their seat and avoid frittering away their votes then it is absolutely certain that the Hindu nominee from the Scheduled Castes will win. The reason is that the Hindus who have a larger voting strength will find a surplus of votes which they do not need for election to their seat and which they can bestow upon their nominee from the Scheduled Castes and help him to win the seat reserved for the Schräuled Castes. The system of joint electorate and reserved seats which is in operation is a system of two member constituency. Hindus under the Congress are so completely organized that there is no possibility of an electoral contest and consequent waste of The result is that the system helps the Hindus to win the reserved seats and works against the Scheduled Castes Hindas are greatly aided in this matter by reason of the fact that for winning the sear reserved for the Scheduled Castes in a Joint Electorate it is not necessary that the majority of voters should belong to the Scheduled Castes for whom the scat is reserved.

How these weakness in the system of joint electorate were exploited by the Congress in the Elections which took place in 1937, will be explained later on For the moment, I am only drawing attention to the Electoral plan devised for the purpose of giving representation to the Scheduled Castes and how vulnerable some of its features are.

TIT

We may now proceed to examine the Election Returns. It may be well to begin by asking a simple question: What do Congressmen mean when they say that the Election of 1937 shows that the Congress represents the Untouchables? A clarification is necessary, because quite obviously the question can have two meanings. It may mean that those Untouchable candidates who stood on the Congress ticket for seats reserved for the Untouchables were elected as against those Untouchable candidates who did not stand on the Congress ticket. It may also man that more votes were cast by the Untouchable voters in favour of those Untouchable candidates who stood on the Congress ticket than other Untouchable candidates. I propose to evaluate the returns from both points of view.

The results of the Election, in terms of seats won, have all been presented. It is not necessary to repeat those figures I It was shown that out of 151 seats the Congress won 78. cannot say that this result of the contest between the Conand the Untouchables is a strong piece of evidence to suppor Congress claim that it represents the Untouchables. If Congress got 78 the Untoubhables got 73 It was a neck to race.

Let us examine the claim of the Congress to represent Un'ouchables in term of votes cast in favour of the Cong Untouchable candidates. The total number of votes cast the Untouchable Voters in the election of 1937 numb 1,586,456.

The following table shows how they were distributed, many were cast in favour of the Congress Untouchable ca dates and how many in favour of Non-Congress Untouch candidates.

Table 11

| | | | | | | Votin | g by Untouc | hable Voters |
|--------------------|---------|------|------|----|----|--------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | Prov | ince | | | In favour of Congress | Against Congress | Total of touchable cast in the Electro |
| | Provii | 1Ce | *** | | | 52,609 | 79.571 | 132,1 |
| Madra, | | *** | | - | | 126,152 | 195,464 | 321,6 |
| Bengal | | ••• | *** | | • | 59,646 | 624,797 | 684,44 |
| Lentra | l Provi | nces | ** | | | 19,507 | 115,354 | 134,80 |
| omba | .y | 44. | •• | | - | 12,971 | 158,076 | 171,04 |
| ihar | | **= | | - | | 8,634 | 22,187 | 30.8 |
| ^a unjab | | ** | *** | - | | Nul | 69,126 | 69,1 |
| Assam | 4+ | *** | *** | ** | ٠ | 5,320 | 22,437 | 27,7 |
|)rissa | *** | *** | ** | ٠ | •• | 5,878 | 8,707 | 14,58 |
| | Total | •• | • | | | 290,737 | 1,290,719 | 1,586,4 |

It is well-known that the number of seats captured by a p s not always in proportion to the number of votes cast in far of the party and often a party carries a majority of seats wi minority of votes. This is particularly true where the si nember constituency system prevails as it does in India. the eal strength is measured by the number of votes secured by marty. Applying this test, it is clear that out of 1,586,456 v

only 290,737 ie, eighteen per cent have been cast in favour of the Congress. Eighty-two per cent have been against the Congress Can there be any evidence more conclusive against the Congress claim to represent the Uniouchables? Congressmen may not accept voting strength as a measuring rod. They may continue to base the claim of the Congress to represent the Uniouchables on the ground of seats captured. No same man will look upon 78 out of 151 or majority of five as a vicinity worth talking about. As a matter of fact the Congress claim even on the basis of seats is fuille, For, a further analysis of the Election Returns shows that the Congress far from captuling a majority of seats got only a minority of seats reserved for the Scheduled Castes.

If the credit side of the Congress is to be real and not bogus, then the following deductions must be made from the total of 78 which the Congress has won.

- Seats won by the Congress with the help of Hindu voters and which if left to be decided by the votes of the Untouchables only would have been lost by the Congress.
- (2) Seats won by the Congress not by reason of an absolute majority but by reason of the splitting of the Untouchable votes due to too many Non-Congress Untouchable Candidates having stood to contest the seats against the Congress Untouchable candidate.
- (3) Seats which, it was in the power of Untouchables to win, if they had used their votes in the election to the seats reserved for them and not cast them away in the election of candidates contesting the general or nonreserved seats.

I cannot see how a fair minded person can object to these deductions being made. A candidate whose majority is due to votes of persons other than Untouchables has no right to say that he is a representative of the untouchables and the Congress cannot claim to represent the Untouchables through him merely because he belongs to the Untouchables and stood on a congress ticket. An Untouchable candidate whose majority is the result of split in the camp of his opponents and who if there had been no split would have lost, cannot be taken as real representative of the Untouchables and the Congress cannot claim to represent the Untouchables merely because he belongs to the Untouchables and stood on the Congress ticket. A candidate for a seat reserved for the Untouchables who succeeds in an election in which a large majority of the electors have not played their part can-

not be a representative of the electors merely because the an Union hable seat. Untouchable seats captured by stometable and dates must also be deducted from the total of seas with a by the Congress. The only Untouchable seat the Congress can claim to have won are those which it is exclusively by the votes of the Untouchable votes. All must be deducted. The following table gives the distribution seats reserved for the Scheduled Castes and won Congress and the circumstances responsible for its success.

Table 12

Analysis of Circumstances which helped Congress to

Win the seats it has Captured

| | ' Nu | mber of Se | ats won by th | e Congres s |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| Province | With Hin du Votes | Without Hindu Votes | Due to Splitting of Scheduled Castes Votes | By want of interest shown by Scheduled Castes in the Election to Sheduled Castes Seats |
| 4 | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| United Province Madras Bengal Central Provinces Bombay Bihar Puniab Assam Orissa | 3 5 1 1 1 | 6 15 4 5 1 3 - 2 2 | 3 4 — 1 | 4 2 2 1 7 7 |
| Total. | 13 | 38 | 8 | 19 |

These are the facts revealed by a study of the Returns. They are incontrovertible and must be ac Judged by the test of voting the Congress far from reprethe Untouchables, the Untouchables are proved to have disted the Congress. Judged by the test of seats, the C has only won 38 seats out of the total of 151. The account that 73 seats it failed to win, 13 it won by Hindu Votes, result of split due to too many Unrouchables standing again Congress Untouchable candidate and 19 on account foolishness of the Untouchables in not taking sufficient in the election to the seats reserved for them.

The following table specifies the Constituencies where such phenomera have occurred. They are classified under three heads and shown province-wise and refered to by their serial number as shown in the Appendices.

Table 13*

| | Analysis of | Scheduled Castes | Constituencies |
|---|--|--|---|
| Provinces | of Constituencies in which Congress | Ser al Number of Constituencies in which Congress won because of splitting of Scheauled Castes Votes | Serial Numbers |
| United Provinces Madras Bengal Central Provinces Bombay Bihar Punjab Assam Orissa | 1, 3 & 4 1, 22, 23, 24 & 25 Nil 6 1 11 Nil 1 6 | 8, 9 & 10 8, 12, 15 & 17 Nil Nil 14 Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil | 11, 13, 14 & 18 4 & 21 6 & 7 15 3 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 & 13 Nul 4 |

The claim that the Congress represents the Untouchables is thus a false claim from beginning to end. It is a myth which in the light of the results of the election stands completely exploded.

The results of the election reveal other interesting facts which are summarised in the following two tables

Table 14
Election to Scheduled Castes Seats

| | Province s | | Contested | Uncontested | Total |
|---|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| United Pr Madras Dengal Centrai Pi Bombay Bihar Punjab Assam Orissa | | | 15 26 28 19 14 6 6 | 5 4 2 1 9 2 1 2 | 20 30 50 20 15 15 7 6 |
| | Total |] | 124 | 27 | 151 |

^{*} For details, see Apbendix II passim.

Table 15
Scheduled Casies Seats wor by the Congress

| Pros ince. | 5 | | On Contest | Without Contest | Total |
|---|---|----|---|--|--|
| United Provinces Madras Bengel Central Provinces Bombay Bihar Punjab As-am Orissa | | | 14 24 6 6 3 4 <i>Nil</i> 3 | 2 2 2 Nil 1 1 7 Nil 1 Nil | 16 26 6 7 4 11 <i>Nil</i> 4 |
| Total | • | •• | 64 | 14 | 78 |

Table 14 shows what keen interest the Untouchables have taken in the election to the seats icserved for them. Out of 151 as many as 12; we e contested. This disproves the allegation that used to be made that it was no use giving political rights to the Un'ouchables as they had neither political education nor political consciousness. Table 15 shows that the Untouchables far from looking upon the Congress as their friend and ally have regarded it as their political enemy No. 1 They have very seldom allowed the entry of the Congress in the election to the seat reserved for the Untouchables to go unchallenged. In most of the cases where the Congress had out up an Untouchable candidate on the Congress ticket for a scat reserved for the Untouchables. the Untouchables did not meekly surrender the seat to the Congress but came forward to contest the election by putting up their own candidate on a Non-Congress ticket Out of the 78 candidates put up by the Congress for the Scheduled Castes seats as many as 64 were contested.

| Province | 10 and Below | 11-15 | 10 and 11-15 16-20 21-25 26-30 31-35 36-40 41-45 46-50 Above Below | 21-25 | 26-30 | 31-35 | 36-40 | 41-45 | 46-50 | Above 50 | Total | Athara |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------|--|-------|-------|------------|-------|--------------|-------|----------|-----------|------------------|
| United Provinces, | Z. | 2 | 6 | 9 | ¢√l | - | Ē | | Z | Z | 02 | |
| Madras | Ž | ٠, | 9 | 10 | m | t m | | - | | ž | 30 | |
| Bengal | ž | Ž | ž | m | | t. | | m | ž | 7 | 25* | |
| Central Provinces. | 47 | ν, | *** | 73 | | ź | | | | ст, | 20, | |
| Bkar | ₹ | 'n | ري | 7 | £1 | Ž | Z | Ž | ž | ž | 20 | |
| Punjab | | | Ž | | C) | Ž | Ž | | ž | C4 | | |
| Orissa | 2 | ž | ž | 61 | Ž | 74 | Z | Ž | Ē | ž | 9 | |
| Ass am | m | | ž | Z | N/I | Ž | īž | | Ī | 71 | 7 | |
| Bombay | S | m | 9 | ~ | ž | Z | IIN | Z | īž | 2 | - 2 | |
| Total | 20 | 27 | 87 | 2,7 | = | 6 | 8 | ∞ | 2 | 21 | 146 | 1 2 3 3 |

* Five Constituencies have two seats reserved for Scheduled Castes which Marks up the total of 30 Seats reserved for the Scheduled Castes of Bengal.

lV

To say that the elections of 1937 co not prove that the Congress was visit to us ever the Untouchables in the electoral fight is an understance. In a real sense the Untouchables triumphed over the Congress. If not many are found to admit this, their inability it unwillingness to do so must be attributed to their ignorance of he distributes which the Untouchables have had to face in their contest with the Congress. These difficulties were very real and very goat. It is worth while to detail them so that people may know the courage and tenacity with which the Untouchables have lough, to prove that they are independent of the Congress and that the Congress does not represent them.

These difficulties can be classified under two heads (1) Organizational and (2, Electoral

Under the first head special mention may be made of two -

The first was the difference in the relative degree of resources at the command of the Congress and of the Untouchables. That the Congress is the richest political party goes without saying No estimat, has so for been made of the money the Congress spent in the elections of 1937. If an investigation was made it would be found that the money is spent in advertisement, in conveyance and in canvassing for the candidates who stood on its taker was simply colossal. All the presources were placed by the Congress at the service of those Untouchables who stood on the Congress taket. Not one millionth part of these recources were available to those Untouchable candidates who stood against the Congress. Some of them had even to borrow money to pay their deposits. They fought their elections without the help of advertisement, canvassing or conveyance

The second is the existence of a party machine on the side of the Congress and the complete absence of it on the side of the Untouchables. The party machine as every one knows constitutes the real strength of the Congress. The credit for the creation of a party machine must be given to Mr Gandhi. It has been in existence for the last 20 years and with the resources it possesses the Congress has kept the machine well oiled and in perfect order always ready to be put in motion by merely pressing the button. It is a vast machine which covers every town and every village in the country. There is no area in which there is no agent of the Congress to operate this machine. The Intouchables who stood on the Congress ticket had their electioneering done for them by this party machine of the Congress had no

such party machine to help them. The scheme of separate representation was first introduced in Indian politics in the year 1939 The benefit of it was however given only to one community, inticly In 1920 the constitution was revised. In this he Muslims. revises constitution it was extended to the Non-Brahming Untouchables were again left out. They were consoled with again representation with one or two seats in the various Provincial Legislatures filled by nomi-nation. It is for the first trace in 1935 tha they got the franchise and the right to representation through election. It is obvious that not having had any from use the Untouchables had felt no need to set up a party marnine of their own as there were no elections to be fought. They hardly h d any time to organize themselves and to set up a party caemine when suddenly in 1937 they were called upon to light the elections The fight between the Congress and the Uniodinables was a fight between an army and a crowd

The electoral difficulties in the way of the Untouchables were equally great. The first electoral difficulty arose from the une fall voting strength between the Hindus and the Untouchable in those General Electorates in which seats are reserved for the Unit acrables. The following table contains figures showing the relative voting strength of the two.

This table shows how in the General Electorates as Scheduled Castes voters are outnumbered by the Hindu voters. Special attention should be paid to the proportion in which they are outnumbered by the Hindus As the figures in the table show, in 20 constituencies the proportion of Scheduled Castes voters to Hindu voters is 10 to 100, in 27 constituencies between 11 and 15 to 100, in 18 constituencies between 15 and 20 to 100, in 27 constituencies between 21 and 25 to 100 and in 11 constituencies between 20 and 30 to 100. These instances will show how overwhelming is the majority of Hindu voters and by what a substantial margin the Hindus can overpower the Scheduled Castes voters In this connection it must also be remembered that every Scheduled Caste Constituency is a Joint Electorate in which both classes of v ters—those belonging to the Scheduled Castes and those belonging to the hindus—can vote for the Scheduled Custes sent and compete to capture it. In this game the relative disproportion in voting strength of the two becomes of immense importance. For success in election in such a linked constituency primarily depends upon relative voting strength of the competing groups

The second electoral difficulty arose out of the number of the seats fixed for the general constituencies in which seats were reserved for the Untouchables. The following table shows the system adopted in the different provinces.

Table 17

Classification of General Constituencies in which
Seats for Unicuonally are Reserved

| - | | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Prov. nees | No of Sects Reserved for Untouchables | No. 03 Consistuencies with 2 Seats | No of Constituencies with 3 Seats | No of Constituencies with 4 Seats |
| | | | | |
| Madras Bombay | 30 15 | 30 <i>Nil</i> | N 11 6 | N ₁ ! |
| Bengal | 3้งี | 20 | 5 | Nil |
| United Provinces | 20 | 20 | Nil | Nil |
| Pun ab | | 8 | Vil | Nel |
| Blhar | 8 15 | 15 | NiI | N_{II} |
| Central Provinces | 20 | 20 | Nıİ | Nil |
| Assam , | 7 | 6 ' | 1 | Nu |
| Ori ₉₈ a | 6 | 6 | Nil | N₁ ‡ |
| Total | 171 | 125 | 12 | 9 |

This table shows that out of 151 General Constituencies required to be declared as reserved for the Scheduled Castes as many as 130 were, was-member constituencies in which one seat was reserved for the ne beduled Castes and the other was kept as a general seat. It is quite possible that many will not realize the electoral danger that is involved to the Uniouchables in this twomember constituency system. But the danger is very real. How real it is, will become clear if it was considered along with the relative voting strength of the Hindus and the Untouchables in the General constituency to which attention has already been drawn. Where the constituency is a plural constituency of -say three or four members—one reserved for the Scheduled Castes and two or three left for the general community, the relatively higher voting strength of the Hindus is not so much a matter of danger as it is when under the two-member constituency the Hindus have only one candidate to elect. With more candidates to elect the voting strength of the Hindus is split as they become engaged in fighting out the election of their candidates to the general seat and there is no surplus votes left with them, with the result that their excessive voting strength in the constituency does not become a menace to the Scheduled Castes. But, when they have only one seat to win, the chances of their votes being frittered away are semote. Under an organized party system such as that established by the Congress, they are nil. The excess of unused voting strength which they are thus able to retain becomes surplus and unnecessary for them, and which they are quite free to use in supporting a Scheduled Caste candidate

of their choice, standing on their ticket as against another Scheduled Cast cadidate who is independent and who is not prepared to be their tool. What have the Him us played with their surplus votes is clear from the result of the elections

When one considers the method of voting and the number of seats fixed and the distribution or the voting strength in the general constituencies one feels whether any better electoral system for deceiving the Untouchables could have been devised. The Joint Electorates to which the Scheduled Castes are field are like the Rotten Boroughs which existed in England before the Reform Act of 1832. Under the Rotten Borough, the candidate elected was in fact nominated by the boss who controlled the Borough Similarly, under the system of Joint Electorates the Scheduled Caste candidate who is elected to the Legislature is virtually nominated by the Hindus That is the reason why Mr Gandhi is so keenly devoted to the system of Joint Electorates

One hears a great deal about the Muslim League having grown from strength to strength But few realize how sheltered the Muslim League is by reason of the system of separate electorates. The Muslims are secure from the menace and mischief of the Congress. Not so are the Untouchables. They are open to the full blast of the Congress money, Congress votes and Congress propaganda. That the Unfouchables over-came all these difficulties without resources, without a party machine and in spite of all electoral difficulties shows their triumph over the Congress and their desire to maintain their independent existence.

CHAPTER VI.

A FALSE CHARGE

Are Uniouclas es the Locis of the British?

As I are said before, the Congress since the time it came to derive pries of Mr. Gandhi anceswent a complete transformation One of it, transforms here is noteworthy, for it is this which has a due the Congress famous and which has enabled it to capture the imagination of the people Before Mr. Gandhis

time it did nothing more than meet annually at different places in fresh and passes certain and sementhes the same resolutions concurring some flaw in the British Administration of India After Mi. Ginney rook charge of the Congress in 1919, it became a party

Ging's cook charge of the Congress in 1919, it became a party o action, the Congressmen like to put it, the Congress forged a choosing thing never congress of before. The same or s

se actions on thing nevel thought of before. The same tions, which make up the Congress atmostly and which it has put into action one time or another are. (i) Non-co-operation. (2) Boycott.

(3) Civil Disabedience and (4) Fast. The aim of non-co-operation was to make Covernment useless by refusal to recognize or regit to Covernment schools, colleges, courts and to make m

ripossible by refusing to engage in Government service. Boy oft was a weapon, the and of which was to coerce individuals not propared to follow the dictates of the Congless. It had two edges, sould or economic. The social edge out off all social intercourse

even withdrawing the services of balbers, washermen, butch is, grocers, merchan s. etc., in short, moking life of the culput in possible in every way. The economic edge cut off all butiness relations, such as buying and seiling of goods. Its objective was

the more near class celling foreign goods. Civil Disobedience was intended to give a direct blow aimed at the Butish Governmen. It was a deliberate breach of law with a view to court impresentment, fill gools and thereby discredit Government. It is practised

either as mass evil diss' bedience or individual civil disobedience Unfortunately fasting on a mass scale has not been resorted to by Congressmen Fasting has only been an individual activity Un-

fortunately fasting unto death has also not been practised by Congressmen. It has always been for a term. It is a weapon particularly reserved by Mr. Gandhi for himself. Even he uses it for a term. These are the four weapons which the Congress

it for a term. These are the four weapons which the Congress forged to give function to its demand for India's freedom.

Havin firged the sand one the Congress has gone on to nive demonstrations of the use of these sanctions. Between 1920

and 1942, the country has wi'nessed demonstrations staged by Congressmen of one form or the other of these sanctions. The em and dust they raised filled the air and drew ecowis to witness them. They have some to be described as "Figlit for Freedom" What has been the use of such sauctions is a subject which de nands serious consideration. But this is not the place for it One must remain content with the observation that the old Congress could not have done worse. The use of sanctions has really been a tragedy. Swaray is as far as it has been but the te kless use of sanctions has made partition of India starker, surer and nearer. While it is not possible to discuss the guins resulting from the use of sanctions, the fact must be mentioned that this "Fight for freedom" has been parried on mistly by the Hindus It is only once tha the Musalman: took poit in it are that was during the shortlived Khilafat agita ion They soon got out of it. The other communities, particularly the Untouchables, never took part in it. A few stray individuals may have joined it for personal gain. But the community as such has stook out This was particularly noticeable in the last campaign of the "Fight for Freedom," which followed the 'Quit India' resolution rassed by the Congress in August 1942.

This is a glaring fact especially to a foreigner who comes to Indicand witnesses how more than half the population nonco-operates with the Congress in this "Fight for Freedom" Our enaturally he feels stupefied by this strange phenomenon He waks: Why are the Muslims, Christians and Unitouchables not participating in the "Fight for Freedom?" and turns to the Congless for an explanation. The Congress has a readymade answer. It is that the Untouchables are the tools of British Imperialism and that is why they do not join the "Fight for Fleedom." The echo of this charge was heard from the mouth of many foloigners whom one came across during the war is most disconceiting is the expensence that most of these foreigners see no I to have accepted the allegation as being true. The simplicity and plausibility of the argument could be the only reason which can account for such an easy conversion. It serves a double prenous It enables the Congress to account for a strange nheno nonon and it gives an explanation to which circumstance, lend an apparent plausibility.

Had it not been for the fact that even influencial foreigners have been infected by this idea, one would hardly bestir himself to ake notice of such malicious propaganda. For the explanation given by the Congress for the aon-outtimpation by the Untouchables in what is called "the Fight for Freedom" is an about dexplanation. It is an explanation which only a knave can venture to offer and which none but a fool can be expected to

to accept as satisfactory. But as it is almost certain that in the events that are coming, what foreigners think about India's problems will be a matter of some moment, I think it necessary to explain the correct situation and allow no room for such erroneous rotions about the Untouchables to take roots in their mind especially when there can be no difficulty in proving that it is a false charge against the Untouchables and to prove that if the Untouchables have not joined the Fight for Freedom' it is not because accy are the tools of the British Imperialism but because they fear that freed m of India will establish Hindu domination which is sure to close to them and for ever all prospect of life, liberty and pursue of nappiness and that they will be made the newers of wood and drawers of water.

That the Untouchables should have refused to join the Congress in the "Fight for Freedom" is in itself a proof positive that il eir reason for non-co-operation with the Congress cannot be the peorse one suggested by the Congress. It must be something leaf and substantial What is it? The reason which has led the Untouchables to non-co-operate with the Congress has been popularly expressed by them when they say that they do not wish to be placed under Hindu Raj in which the governing class would be the Bania and the Brahamin with low class Hindus as then policemen, all of whom have been the hereditary enemies of the Untouchables. This language is held to offend against good taste That may be so. But it must not be supposed that because such slogans are offensive in their tone they are devoid of sense of that the outlook which they typify and the ideals which they embody have no compelling force or that they cannot be made to wear the garb of a true and respectable political philosophy

Translated in the language of political science, what do those slogans mean? They mean that the Untouchables are not opposed to freedom from British Imperialism But they teluse to be content with mere freedom from British Imperialism. What they insist upon is that free india is not enough. Free India should be made safe for democracy Starting with this aim, they say that on account of the peculiar social formation in India there are minority communities pitted against a Hindu Communal Majority, that if no provisions are made in the constitution to cut the fangs of the Hinda Communal Majority, India will not be safe for democracy. The Untouchables therefore insist on devising a constitution which will take not of the special circumstances of India and contain safeguards which will prevent this Hindu Communal Majority in Indian socity from getting possession of political power to suppress and oppress the Untouchables and which will directly invest the Untouchables with at least a modicum of political power to prevent their suppression and exploitation, and to enable them at least to hold then own, in their struggle for existence against the Communal Majority. In short, what the Untouchables want are safeguards in the constitution itself which will orevent the tyranny of a Hindu Communal Majorty from coming into being

The Congress on the other hand regards the freedom of India from British Imperialism to be the be-all and end-all of Indian nationalism. Nothing more, it thinks, is necessary for the welfare of the Indian people in a free India. As to the question of a constitution for a free India, the Congress simply does not look upon it as a problem. Asked, what about the constitution of a free India? The Congress reply is that it will be a democatacy. What sort of democarcy would it be? The Congress answer is that it will be based on adult franchise. Will there be any other safeguard, besides adult suffrage for preventing the ty anny of a Hindu Communal Majority? The Congress reply is a robatically in the negative. Asked, why this opposition to safeguards? The Congress says that it is a vivisection of the nation,—an argument the picturesqueness of which is intended to cover its stupidity and which has its origin in the genius of Mr. Gandhi, and for which the high class Hindus, who stand to lose by these safeguards, feel so grateful to him.

The Untouchables refuse to accept this silly sophism say that Indian social life has to be reckoned in terms of There is no escape. Communities are such hard communitis social life that would be wrong to accept facts of India communal impulse and communal prejudice do not dominate the relations of the communities. The social psychology of the Hindu Communal Majority is dominated by the dogma which recognizes not merly inequality but graded inequality as the rule governing the inter-relationship among the various commu-This dogma of graded inequality is absolutey inimical to liberty and fraiernity It cannot be belived that this graded mequality will vanish or that the Hindus will strive to aboluh it That is impossible. This graded inequality is not accidental or incidental It is the religion of the Hindus. It is the official doctrine of Hinduism. It is sacred and no Hindu can think of doing away with it. The Hindu Communal Majority with its religion of graded inequality is not therefore a passing phase. It is a permanent fact and a menace for ever In making a constitution for India the exstence of a standing Communal Majority cannot be ignored and the problem of devising safeguards so as to reconcile it with political democracy must be faced. That is the reasoning of the Untouchables.

The constitutional safeguards which the Untouchables have been demanding are detailed in the Resolutions recently passed by

the Working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Caster Federation and which are printed in Appendix XI. For purposes of agrillent I (ake three of them. (i) Guaranteed minimum representation in the Legislature: (ii) Guaranteed minimum representation in the Evolutive and (iii) Guaranteed minimum representation in the Public Services. These demands are indicated by the Congless as a primuralism and the leavers of the Untouchables are represented as job hunters. The Congless places its apposition to these guarantees on the high pedestal of nationalism, of which it holds itself as the guardian angel. The foreigner may find it difficult to see the absurdity of the Congress argument against safeguards. But if he were to take into account the purposes for which these guarantees are sought, he will find that the atternot of the Congress to represent them as a piece of communalism is arount nonsense.

The purpose of these guarantees demanded by the Uniouchables is not to fill the Legislature, the Executive and the Administration by the representatives of the Untouchables. These guarantees are really floorings below which the Untouchables will not fall under the crushing pressure of the Hindu Communal Migority. They are intended to keep the Hindu Communal Majority within bounds. For, if there were no such guarantees to the Untouchables, the result will be that the Hindu Communal Majority will not only capture the Legislature, the Executive, and the Administration, but the Legislature, the Executive and the Administration will be over-tun by the Hindu Communal Majority and these powerful organs of the State, instead of pretecting the minorities, will become the tools of the Hindu Communal Majority doing its biddings.

In the light of this explanation there ought to be no difficulty for any oursider of average intelligence in understanding the issue; between the Congress and the Untouchables. In the first place, no aught to be able to realize that the issue between them is created by the former rufusing to recognize that in the existence of a Comminal Majori y there lies a great menace to political democracy and the latter maintaining the contrary and insisting that the constitution should contain positive provisions to curb this menace. In other words, the Untouchibles are anxious to make India safe for democracy, while the Congress, if it is not emposed to democracy, is certainly opposed to creating conditions which will make democracy real

In the second place, the foreigner should be able to see that this dim no by the Untouchables for safeguar less not a novel demand. His understanding will be facilitated if he were to regard these safeguard as another name for checks and balan es

and to bear in mind that there is no constitution which does not contain such checks and balances to protect political democracy from being subverted and to note how the constitution of the USA is full of such checks and balances which are embodied in clauses relating to Fundamental Rights and Sepiration of Powers. If he does this, he need not feel puzzled if the safeguards demanded by the Untouchables take a different form than they do in other countries. For, the nature of safeguards must differ with the nature of the forces which constitute a menace to political democracy and as these forces in India are of a different character, the safeguards must necessarily take a different form.

In the third place, the foreigner should have no difficulty in realizing that if anybody is communal it is the Congruss and not the Untouchables, and that whatever the philosophic grounds advanced by the Congress the real motive of the Congress in opposing the demand for constitutional guarantees is to keep the political field a free pasture for the Hindu Majority He should be able to see, though the Congress does not openly say so, how natural it is for the Congress to be commanal. The Hindu Communal Majorn'y is the back-bone of the Congress. It is made up of the Hindus and is fed by the Hindus It is this Majority which constitutes the clientele of the Congress and the Congress, therefore, is bound to protect the rights of its clients. If he realizes this, he will not be deceived by the arguments of the Congress that it is opposing these demands in the name of nationalism. On the other hand, he will realize that the Congress is deceiving the world by using nationalism as a cloak for a free field for rank communatism

Lastly, he will know why the representative character of the Congress has become an issue of such importance in Indian politics. He will realize that nobody would have cared to bother about the representative character of the Congress and to inquire, whom it represents and whom it does not, if the Congress were not to arrogate to itself the right to say what should be the constitution of a free India. But as it does, its right to speak in the name of the country forms a vital issue and those who do not accept this have no alternative but to challenge it.

П

With all this, foreigners have said—"Why not join the Congress in the 'Fight for Freedum'?, why make agreement on constitutional safeguards a condition precedent to co-operation with the Congress? After all, safeguards can come only after freedom is won." A foreigner who has followed the foregoing discussion as to matters which divide the Congress can be left to

understand why the Untouchables have not thought it safe to co-operate with the Congress in this "Fight for Freedom". But there may be some who may not be able to imagine them and who would like to know what they are. Rather than leave them to find wrong reasons it is better to take the trouble to let them have the right ones. The reasons are various. Only the most important are set out below.

The first reason is founded in commonsense. The Untouchables say: "What harm is there in demanding from the Congress an agreement in advance? What is lost, if a guarantee is given by the Congress in advance?" They argue that if the Congress agreed to this demand for safeguards in advance it will have a double effect. In the first place, it will give an assurance to the Untouchables who en eithm so much diead as to what their lot would be under a Hindu Communal Majority. Secondly, such an as wrance would go a long way in inducing the Untouchables to co-operate with the Congress. After all, why are the Untouchables non-co-operating? Because, they are afraid that if this freedom is achieved it will enable the Hindu Majority once again to enslave them. Why not remove this fear if it can be done at so small a cost, namely, by an agreement in advance?

The second reason is founded in experience. The Untouchables say that the experience of the world does not justify the hope that when the "Fight for Freedom" ends, the stronger elements have shown the generosity to give security to the weaker elements. Many examples of this betrayal could be cited. The most

Many examples of this betrayal could be cited. The most notorious one relates to the betrayal of the Negroes in the United States after the Civil War. Speaking of the Part played by the Negroes in the Civil War Mr. Herbeit Apthekar says*:

"One hundred and twenty-five thousand Negroes from the slave states served in the Federal armies. They, together with the eighty thousand from the North, fought in four hundred and fifty battles, with an inspiring and inspired courage that was of the utmost importance in bringing about the collapse of the Confederacy and the abolition of slavery.

"Here were over two hundred thousand armed Negro men fighting within a tate outly upon and dedicated to the Proposition that he Negro was, if at all a human being an in nately and ineradicably inferior one, fit only to be a slave

"And the Negro soldiers of the Republic fought notwithstanding shameful discriminations and disadvantages. White

^{*} The Negro in the Civil War- pp- 35-40

soldiers received thirteen dollars a month. Negroes received but seven dollars (until July 14, 1864, when the pay was equalized, retroactively to January 1, 1864), there were enlistment bounties for white recruits, none for Negroes (until June 15, 1864), and there was no possibility for advancement into the ranks of commissioned officers for Negroes. The Confe deracy never recognised captured Negro soldiers who had been slaves as prisoners of war, and did not accord this status to captured free Negroes until October 1864. The Negroes were either killed, returned to slavery, or confined at hard labour.

"Here were these scores of thousands of hitherto enslaved and oppressed masses, armed, and sent forth into their own country, whose every creek and knoll was known to them, to maintain their newly obtained freedom, to prove their manhood and to liberate their own people, their own parents and children and wives, from a slavery that they know only too well. And let it always be remembered that in the war to save the republic thirty-seven thousand. Negro soldiers were killed in action."

What happened to the Negroes after the Civil War was over? In the first flush of victory, the Republicans, who waged the war for saving the Union and obtained the help of the Negroes to win it, cairied the Thirteenth Amendment to the Constitution it the Negroes ceased be slaves in the legal sense of term. did the Negroes get any right to participate in the Government as voters or officials? The Republicans did take some action in order to make the Southern States accept that the Negroes were to be treated as the political equals of the Whites. This was done by the Fourteenth Amendment which conferred citizenship, State as well as Federal, on all persons including the Negroes born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, forbade legislation by a State abridging the privileges or immunities of a citizen of the United States, and provided for reducing the representation in the Congress of any State in proportion to the number of its citizens excluded from the suffrage. The Southern States had no intention to respect the Fourteenth Amendmet. All except Tennessee had rejected the amendment and had set up governments of the White inhabitants The Republicans then proceeded (March 2, 1867) to pass the socalled Reconstruction Act (a bill to provide efficient governments for the insurrectionary States) designed to create legitimate governments in the States not yet readmitted to the Union (ignoring the governments set up by the White inhabitants), and to determine the conditions proper for their readmission. By this Act these States, that is the whole seceding South except Tennessee, were divided into five military districts, each to be governed by a Brigadier-General of the Federal Army, until such time a 11 a State convention had framed a new constitution, (2) the Fourteenth Ameriment had been ratified and (3) the States had been duly readmitted. The Republicans carried another amendment called the Fifteenth Amendment. Forbidding the voting right of citizen to be denied or abridged on account of rate, colour of previous condition of servitude which also became by similar acceptance part of the Constitution and binding on all the States.

The Whites in the South had no intention to admit the Negroes to coun, citizenship. Disfranchisement of the N. oro proceeded ane.c. It was undertaken as a solemn duty doth by the State Governments of the South as well as by the Whites of tre Southern States To evade the Friteenth Amendment the State C vernments spent their ingenuity in framing franchise laws which denied the Negroes the right to vote on grounds other than race or colour. Most of them decided upon the grandfather clause* which effectively excluded the Negroes but fully included the White: On the people's side the process was carried out by the Ku Kluy Klan The Klan was in its origin a secret combination formed in Tennessee by youths for purposes of amusement It was transferred into an organization to suppress the Negroes and prevent them from exercising their political rights. It started committing nutrages upon Negroes, and (less frequently) upon Whites supposed to be in sympathy with the Negroes, in the rural South These gangmen were never discovered. This shows that the whole of the White population of the South supported the Klan men No open resistance to the Federal troops was attempied; but neither their activity nor the penal laws passed by the Congress were effective in checking the flogging, house-burnings, and murders which during these years disgrated some districts.

The purposes of the Southern States and the Southern Whites were facilitated by the decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States. The Supreme Court held that the State laws disfranchising the Negroes were valid not withstanding the Fifteenth Amendment because the disfranchisement was not based on race and colour. Similarly the Supreme Court held that if the activities of the Ku Klux Klan prevented the Negro from exercising his electoral rights there was no redress: for, the Fifteenth Amendment, while it prevented the States from interfering with the electoral rights, did not prevent interference by private bodies.

^{*}Grandfather clause is so-called because it restricted the right to vote to person whose grandfather had enjoyed it.

What did the Republicans do "Instead of amending the Constitution to give better and more effective guarantees to the Negroes, they agreed to recognize the Southern States and admit them to the Union, to grant general amnesty to the rebels and to withdraw the troops stationed therein leaving the Negroes to the tender mercy of their masters. As Mr. Apti ekar says*

"But the heart fight of the Negro people and their allies for democracy, land and civil rights in the South was defeated chiefly as a result of shameful betrayal by the industrial and financial bourgeoise of the North. In 1877, the latter came to an understanding with the reactionary plantocracy of the South. Working through the reactionary wing of the Republican Party, the Northern big bourgeoise sold out the Revolution by giving the old slave oligarchy a free hand (home rule) in the Southern States. This 'gentleman's agreement' meant disenfranchisement for the Negro, sharecropping peonage, lynch terrorism, and the loss of civil liberties and educational opportunities."

The story of the beirayal is not complete. It is necessary to add that if the Republicans were to carry their party opposition with the Democrats into the South, the Negro could still be saved from damnation. For it is the opinion of those who know that if the Whites of the South were divided into Republicans and Democrats as they are in the North there is hardly a State in the South which would not be largely controlled by the Negro voters. Even the Republicans will not do. The Republicans seem to have entered into a compact with the Democrats not to canvass for the votes of the Negroes. Indeed, the Republican Party in the South does not exist. It does not exist because it is afraid of having to take sides with the Negroes.

The Untouchables cannot forget the fate of the Negroes It is to prevent such treachery that the Untouchables have taken the attitude they have with legard to this "Fight for Freedom". What is wrong in this? Are they doing anything more than fellow the advice of Burke, who has said that it is better to be accused of timidity than to be ruined by overconfident security.

The third argument is that there is no justification for the Congress to say that the "Fight for Freedom" must come first and the agreement about constitutional safeguards afterwards. The Untouchables feel that having regards to the attitude of the

^{*}The Negro in the Civil War, pp. 45-46.

British Government to India's right to freedom, this fight, which the congless loves so much, is uncalled for, at any late, it is putting the eart before the hoise. The attitude of the British towards India's claim for freedum has since the Mutiny of 1857 undergone a complete change. There was a time when the British Government held the view which was a complete negation of India's claim for freedom. It was proclaimed by Lawrence whose statue in Culcutta has the motto: "The British conquired India by the sword and they will hold it by sword." This attitude is dead and burged and it is no exaggeration to say that every Englishman today is ashamed of it. This stage was followed by another in which the argument of the British Government against India's freedom was the alleged in apacity of Indians for Parliamentary institutions It begin with Lord Ripon's regime which was followed by an attempt to give political training to Indians, first in the field of Local Self-Government, and then under the Montagu-Chelmsford reforms in the field of Provincial Government. We have now entered the third or the present stage British Government is now ashamed to say that they will hold India by the sword. It no longer says that Indians have no capacity to run Parliamentary institutions The British Government admits India's right to freedom, even to independence, if Indians so desire. The British Government admits the right of Indians to frame their own constitution There can be no greater proof of this new angle of vision than the Cripps Proposals condition precedent laid down by the British Government for India's freedom is that Indians must produce a constitution which has the concurrence of the important elements in the national life of the country. Such is the stage we have reached The Untouchables cannot therefore understand why the Congress. instead of trying to achieve agreement among Indians, should on keep on talking in terms of a "Fight for Freedom" and maligning the Untouchables in not joining in it

111

Why does the Congress oppose the proposal of the British Government? It seeks to justify its opposition on two grounds Its says that the condition prescribed by the British Government puts a veto on freedom of India in the hands of the Untouchables. This is a stupid argument and for two reasons. In the first place, the Untouchables in India have never made impossible demands. They have not even made unreasonable demands. They do not say as Carson did to Redmond: "Damn your safeguards. We don't wish to be ruled by you". The Untouchables are quite prepared to submit themselves to the rule of the Hindu Majority, notwithstanding the unsocial and the undemocratic

character of its ethics, provided the constitution gives them To say that the Union, hables will exercise leasonable safeguards. a veto on India's freedom by raising impossible demands is thus a gross libel, for which there is not the slightest justification. Assuming the fear is well-founded, the Congress is not altogether without a remedy For it is still open to the Congress to say if that if there is no agreement between the Hindus and the Uniouchables the dispute should be referred to an International Board If the Congress took this stand, I am sure, of Arbitration. neither the British Government nor the Unfouchables will have the slightest objection to it. But when, instead of making an honest and sincere attempt to bring about an agreed ...nstitution, the Congress goes on launching its campaigns for achieving freedom-not without occasional rests and retreats-the only conclusion, which the Untouchables can draw, is that the Congress wants to coerce the British Government to transfer its power or to use Mr. Gandhi's phrase, "hand over the keys to the Congress" without being obliged to agree to the safeguards demanded by the Untouchables. In short, what the Congress wants is a free India with full, uniestricted freedom to the Hindus in a free India to dispose of the Untouchables in any way they liked. No wonder the Untouchables have refused to take part in such a dishonest agitation, elevated though it may be by such high sounding name as "Fight for Freedom"!

The other ground urged by the Congress for not taking up the question of bringing about an agreement is that the British Government is not nonest, and that notwithstanding its declarations it will not transfer power even if Indians agreed upon a constitution, and that ultimatley Indians will have to struggly with the British in order to wrest power from their hands. The reply of the Untouchables is that they see no reason why Indians should start with such complete distrust of the British intentions Af er all, the British Government has moved in the direction of fulfilling Indian aspirations and is moving. If it is slow in moving it is due to Indians being Right from the conquest of India by content with small things the British upto 1886. Indians never cared who raied them nor They were content to live without troubling how they were ruled themselves about these question. In 1886 the Cougress was organized and for the first time Indiais began to take interst in the government of India But even the Congress upto 1910 was content in agitating for good Government only It was in 1910 that the Congress first demanded Self-Government. When in 1919 the Montagu-Chelmsford Reforms were on the anvil, Indians had an occasion to state the scope of their demand for self-Government. What is known as the Memorandum of the Nineteen defined the aspirations of the Indians as they stood in 1971. Any one who knows it will remember that the best and the most redical Indians were content only with Dyarchy in the Provinces. Even this was regarded as a big jump by some Indian leaders such as Sir Dieshaw Wateria and Alr Samarath.* In 1930 not withstanding the Congress Resolution insi ting in Independence, Mr Gandhi at the R T C. was prepared.* to be content with Provincial autonomy. The British granted more than that. It from 1939 there has been a nult, it is countly because It dians are not agreed on the sort of constitution they want for their country.

The Untouchables think that the stage, when the British were sitting upon the freedom of India, as the snake in the fable is said to sit on a treasure, not allowing anyone to come near it, is gone long past. India's Freedom is like properly held by a Receiver. The British Government has placed itself in the position of a Receiver. As soon as the dispute is over and the right kind of constitution is seitled, it has bound itself to hand over the property to its rightful owners, namely, Indians. The Untouchables ask why not take advantage of this? Why not adopt the straight and hones, course of arriving at an agreement among the important elements in the country and then make a joint application for the release of the property? That the Congress does not want to follow this line of action shows say the Untouchables, that the Congress "Fight for Freedom" is nothing more than mere tactics, the object of which it to by-pass the necessity of an agreed constitution demanded by the Untouchables and made a condition precedent by the British Government for the grant of freedom.

The Untouchables do not say that they are out to underwrite the declarations made by the British Government, they do not say that if Indians are agreed it must necessarily be a case of "knock and it will open ask and it shall be given unto you." They admit that the British may not act up to the declaitions they have made. It may be that even when an agreed constitution is produced, they may not act up to their promises, and a fight for freedom may become necessary. The Untouchables do not overlook these possi-

^{*} Mr. Montagu in his Indian Diary records that when they waited on him to discuss the question of political reforms they said "Give us the power to pass resolutions, to influence Government, we will use it in a spirit of sweet reasonableness, but we are not fit for responsible Government."—p.147

^{**} This part of the story of what happened at the Round Table Conference has not been told. But everybody present at the Round Table Conference knows how Mr Gandhi was won ever to agree to Provincial autonomy. If the 1935 Government of Incia Act contains some elements of responsibility at the centre the credit goes to the representatives of the Non-Congress parties at the R T C.

bilities But what they do say is that the Indians have not put the Brish to the test. They can't be put to test unless they are presented with an agreed constitution. So long as the Congress does not adopt this course as the first—though it may not be last—line of action, the Untouchables feel that he Congress is not honest in its dealings with them not even to the country. Who can say that the Untouchables have not sufficient justification for refusing to participate in the Congress "Fight for Freedom"?

CHAPTER VIII

THE REAL ISSUE

tren't The Untorchables & Separate Element?

1

What is the fundamental issue in the controversy between the Congress and the Untouchables! As I understand the matter, the fundamental issue is. Are the Uniouchables a separate element in the national life of India or are they not?

This is the real issue in the controversy and it is on this issue that the Congress and the Untouchables have taken opposite sides. The answer of the Untouchables is yes. They say, they are distinct and separate for n the Hindus. The Congress on the other hand says 'No' and asserts that the Untouchables are a chip of the

Hindu block This is the attitude of the parties to the issue The attitude of the British Government was made clear by Lord Linlithgow* in his statements as Viceroy and Governor-General of India in which he declared in quite explicit terms that the Untouchables were a separate element in the national life of India Many people who regard the issue of constitutional safeguards as the fundamental issue will feel surprised that I should regard as fundamental an issue so apparently different from what they regard as fundamental. Really, speaking there is no difference. It all depends upon what one regards as the proximate and what as ultimate. Others regard the question of constitutional safeguards as ultimate. I regard as proximate. What I have stated as fundamental I regard as ultimate from which the proximate follows,

as the conclusion does from the premis in a logical syllogism. It may be as well for me to state why I have thought it necessary to make this difference. The evolution of the Indian Constitution appears to me to have established a sort of a logical syllogism. The major premise in the syllogism is that where there exists an element in the national life of India, which is definable as a separate and distinct element it is entitled to constitutional safeguards. An element, making a claim for constitutional safeguards, must show that it is definable as separate and distinct from the rest. If it shows that it is separate and distinct, its right to constitutional safeguards.

tional safeguards is held admissible.

^{*} See Appendix VI, Items 9 and 12,

That is how the provisions for constitutional safeguards for Muslims, Indian Christians, Anglo-Indians, Europeans and Sikhs nave come into being It is true that the constitution of India has not been framed in the light of principles. It has grown in an haphazard manner, more in answer to exigencia, then in accordance with principals. Nevertheless, this silent postulate, if not a principle to which I have referred, seems to be working throughout The right of a group to constitutional safeguards has come to be treated as consequential. It is deemed to follow automatically when the fundamental condition is satisfied, namely that they do constitute a separate and a distinct element in the national life of India In dealing with this controversy, one must deal with it as one is required to do with a syllogism. In a syllogism both are fundamental, the conclusion as well as the premise and to close the argument it is not enough to deal with the conclusion and omit to examine the premese Looking at the question from this angle I think I ought not to close the case of the Untouchables with no more than a discussion of the constitutional safeguards. I feel that I ought to deal also with the piemise, the ultimate, or the fundamental proposition, from which the constitutional safeguards seem to follow if not as a matter of course at least as a matter of precedent

It will thus be seen that the decision I have taken to give a separate treatment to the ultimate as distinguished from the proximate proposition is not without justification. It also seems to be necessary to deal with it separately and substantially, because the Congress seems to be fully aware of the fact that this is the fundamental issue and know that once it concedes that the Untouchables are a separate elemant it cannot prevent them from succeeding in their claim for constitutional safeguards. If the Congress has come forward to contest this proposition it is because it think that it is the first trench and if it fails to maintain it, it cannot save the situation

Π

It must be a matter of considerable surprise to those who know the conditions in India that the Congress should come forward to controvert what is incontrovertible, namely, that the Untouchables are separate from the Hindus But since the Congress has chosen to do so, I must deal with the issue as best as I can.

The grounds advanced by the Untouchables that they are separate from the Hindus are not difficult to comprehend. Nor do they require a long and an elaborate statement. The statement of their case can be fully covered by a simple question. In what sense are they Hindus? In the first place, the word 'Hindu' is used in

various senses and one must know in what sense it is used before one can give a proper answer to the question. It is used in a terri-Everyone who is an inhabitant of Hindustan is a torial sense Hindu. In that sense it can citatily be claimed that the Unit achables are Hindus But so are the Mu lims, Christians, Sikhs Jews, Parsis, cet The second sense in which the word 'Hindu is used is in a leligious sens. Before one can draw any conclusion. it is necessary to separate the dogs as of Hinduism from the cults of Hindaism. Whether the Untouchables are Hindus in the religious souse of the word depends upo whether one adonts as his tests the digmas or the cults. If the tests of Hinduism are the dogmas of Caste and Un'ouchability then every Untouchable would repudiate lighdusm and the assertion that he is a Hindu. If the test applied is the acceptance of a cult such as the worship of Rama, Krishna, Vishnu and Shiva and other Gods and Goddesses recognized by Frinduism the Untouchables may be claimed to be Hindus. The Congress as usual namiains a body of agents from a nong the Untoucharles to shout when need be that the Untouchables are Hindus and that they will die as Hindus But even these paid agents will not agree to be counted as. Hindus if they are asked to proclaim themselves as Hindus, if Hinduism means belief in caste and Uniouchability.

One more point must be stressed. On the foregoing analysis the Untouchable may be classed as a Hindu if the word Hindu is used in the religious but in the limited sense of a follower of a recognized cult. Even here, there is a necessity for giving a warning against concluding that the Hindu and the Untouchable have a common religion. The fact is that even as followers of recognized cults they cannot be said to have a common religion. The exact and appropriate expression would be to say that they have a similar religion. A common religion means a common cycle of participation. Now, in the observances of the cults there is no such common cycle of participation. The Hindus and the Untouchables procuse their cults in segregation so that notwithstanding the similarity of their cults they remain as separate as two aliens do. Neither of these two senses of the word 'Hindu' can yield any result which can be of help in determining the political question, which alone can justify the discussion.

The only test which can be of use is its socisal sense as indicating a member of the Hindu Society. Can an Untouchable be held to be part of the Hindu Society? Is there any human tie that binds them to the test of the Hindus? There is none. There is no communium. There is no commensulism. There is not even the right to touch, much less to associate. Instead, the mere touch is enough to cause pollution to a Hindu. The whole tradi-

tion of the Hindus is to recognize the Untouchable as a separate element and insist upon it as a fact. The traditional termitology of the Hindus to distinguish Hindus and Untouchables fur ushes the best evidence in favour of the contention of the Uniouchables. According to this traditional terminology, Hindus are called Sivarnas and the Untouchables are called Avarnas. It speaks of the Hindus as Chatarvarnikas and of the Untouchables as Punchamas. Such a terminology could not have some into existence if separation had not become so prominent and its observance so necessary as to require coming of special terms to give expression to the fact

There is thus hardly any substance in the Congress a gument that the Unit uchables are Hindus and that they cannot therefore demand the same political rights as the Muslims and others can While the argument from tradition is a good and valued argument to prove that the Untouchables are not Hindus, it may appear to some to be a weak one. I do not wish to leave the field without directly meeting the Congress argument. For this purpose, I will grant that the Untouchables are Hindus by religion. But the question is . Does it inster if they are Hindus? Can it come in the way of their being recognized as a separate element in the national life of India? It is difficult to understand how the mere fact that they might be called Hindus by religion in such a limited sense can be the basis of an argument that they are an integral part of the Hindu society

Admitting for the sake of argumen, that they are Hindus by religion, can it mean anything more than what I have saidnamely that they worship the same Gods and Goddesses as the rest of the Hindus, they go to the same places of pilgrimage, hold the same supernatural beliefs and regard the same stones, trees. mountains as sacred as the rest of the Hindus do? Is this enough to conclude that the Uniouchables and the Hindas are parts of one single community? If that be the logic behind the contention of the Congress thea, what about the Belgians, Dutch, Nor wegians, Swedes, Germans, French, Italians, Slavs, etc? Are they not all Christians? Do they not all worship the same God? Do they not all accept Jesus as their Saviour? Have they not the same religious beliefs? Obviously, there is a complete religious unity between all of them in thought, wirship and beliefs. Yei, who can dispute that the French, Germans and Italians and the rest are no a single community? Take another case, that of the Whites and the Neg oes in the USA They too have a common Both are Christians Can any one say that the two on that account form a single community? Take a third case, that of the India Christian, Europeans and Anglo-Indians. They profess and follow the same religion. Yet it is admitted

that they do not form one single Christian community. Take the case of the Sikhs There are Sikhs, Mazbi Sikhs and Ramdasia Sikhs All profess Sikhism. But it is accepted that they do not form one community. In the light of these illustrations it is obvious that the argument of the Congress is full of fallacies.

The first fallacy of the Congress lies in its failure to realize that the fundamental issue for settling the question whether to grant or not to grant constitutional safeguards is union versus separation of a social group in the population. Religion is only a circumstance from which unity or separation may be inferred. The Congress does not seem to have understood that the Masalmans and the Indian Christians have been given separate political recognition not because they are Musalmans or Christians but fundamentally because they form in fact separate elements from the Hindus.

The second fallacy of the Congress lies in its attempt to prove that where there is a common religion social union must be presumed. It is on the basis of this reasoning that the Congress hopes to win. Unfortunately for the Congress, it cannot. For the facts are strongly against making a conclusive inference. religion was a circumstance from which social union was made the only permissible inference then the fact that the Italians, French, Germans and Slavs in Europe, the Negroes and the Whites in the U.S A. and the Indian Christians, Europeans, Anglo-Indians in India do not form a single community although they all profess the same religion is enough to negative such a contention pity of the matter is that the Congress is so completely enamoured of its argument based on religion as an unifying factory, that it has failed to realize that there is no concomittance between the two and that there are cases where there is no separation although religions are separate, that there are cases where separation exists in spite of a common religion and what is worst, separation exists because religion prescribes it

To give a quietus to the Congress argument, it may be desirable to give one illustration of each of these cases. Of the first case the best and the easiest illustration I can think of is that of the Sikhs and the Hindus. They differ in religion. But they are not socially separate. They dine together; they marry together, they live together. In a Hindu family one son may be a Sikh, another a Hindu. Religious difference does not break the social nexus. Of the second, the case of the Italians, French, Germans in Europe and Whites and Negroes in America are as good illustrations as one would want. This happens where religion is a binding force but is not powerful enough to withstand other forces tending to divide such as the sentiment of race. Hindus and Hinduis nare the best and perhaps the only illustrations of the third case,

where separation is the effect of religion itself. That there can be such a case, Hindus at any rate need not require to be told. For, it is well known that Hinduism preaches separation instead To be a Hindu means not to mix, to be separate in everything The language commonly used that Hinduism upholds Caste and Untouchability perhaps disguises and conceals its genius. The real genius of Hinduism is to divide. This is beyond dispute For, what do Caste and Untouchability stand for? Obviously for separation For Caste is another name for separation and untouchability typifies the extremist form of separation of community from community. It is also beyond dispute that Caste and Untouchability are not innocuous dogmas to be compared with other dogmas relating to the condition of the soul after death. They are parts of the code of conduct which every Hindu is bound to observe during his life on earth. Caste and Untouchability far from being mere dogmas are among the foremost observances prescribed by Hinduism. It is not enough for a Hindu to believe in the dogmas of Caste and Untouchability He must also observe Caste and Uncouchability, in the conduct of his daily life.

The separation, which Hinduism has brought about, between the Hindus and the Untouchables by its dogma of Untouchability is not a mere imaginary line of separation—such as the one which the Pope once drew in a quarrel between the Portuguese and their rivals for Colonial possessions; it is not like the colour line which has length but no breadth and which one may observe or one may not obseave. It is not like the race line, which involves distinction but no discrimination. It has both depth and width Factually the Hindus and the Untouchables are divided by a fence made of barbed wire. Nationally it is cordon sanitaire which the Untouchables have never been allowed to cross and can never hope to cross.

To put the matter in general terms, Hinduism and social union are incompatible. By its very genius Hinduism believes in social separation which is another name for social disunity and even creats social separation. If Hindus wish to be one they will have to discard Hinduism. They cannot be one without violating Hinduism. Hinduism is the greatest obstacle to Hindu Unity. Hinduism cannot create that longing to belong which is the basis of all social unity. On the contrary Hinduism creates an eagerness to separate.

The Congress does not seem to rialize that the argument it is using goes against itself. Fur from supporting the Congress contention, it is the best and the most effective argument that can be advanced to prove the contention of the Uniouchables.

For, if any conclusion is to be drawn from the hypothesis that the Uniouchables are Hindus it is that Hinduism has always insisted both in principle and in practice that the Untouchables are not to be recognized a chip of the Hindu block but are to be treated as a separate elment and segregated from the Hindus

If therefore the Untouchables say that they are a separate element, nobody can accuse them of having invented a new theory for the sake of political advantages. They are merely pointing out what the facts are and how these facts are the heritage of Hinduism itself. The Congress cannot nonestly and convincingly use Hinduism as an argument for refusing to recognise the Untouchables as a separate element. If it does, it is only because it is actuated by selfich motives. It knows that the recognition of the Untouchables as an element in the national life of India, as distinct and separate from the Hindus, must result in the apportionment of places in the Executive, the Legislature, and in the Public Services between the Untouchables and the Hindus and thus limit the share of the Hindus The Congress does not like that the Hindus should be deprived of the share of the Uniouchables which the Hindus are in the habit of appropriating to themselves That is the real reason why the Congress refuses to recognize that the Untouchables are a separate element in the national life of India

The second argument of the Congress is that the political recognition of the Untouchables as a separate element in the national life of India should not be permitted on the ground that it will perpetuate the separation between the Untouchables and the Hindus

This is hardly an argument worth consideration. It is the weakest of its kind and shows that the Congress has nothing better to advance Besides contradicting its previous argument, it is entirely misconceived.

If there is a real separation between the Hindus and the Untouchables and if there is the danger of discrimination being practised by the Hindus against the Untouchables then the Untouchables must receive political recognition and must be given political safeguards to protect themselves against the tyranny of the Hindus. The possibility of a better future cannot be used as an argument to prevent the Untouchables from securing the means of protecting themselves against the tyranny of the present.

In the second place, this argument can be used only by those who believe in the social fusion of the Hindus and the Untouchables and are actively engaged in pursuing means and methods which will bring about such a fusion. Congressmen have often been heard to say that the problem of the Untouchables is social and political. But the point is, are Congressmen sincere when they say that it is a social question? Or do they use it as an excuse with a view to avoid the consequences of having to share political power with the Untouchables? And, if they are sincere in holding that it is a social question, what proof is there of their sincerity in this matter? Have Congressmen sponsored social Reform among Hindus? Have they carried on a crusade in favour of inter-dining and intermarriages? What is the record of Congressmen in the field of Social Reform?

III

It might be well to state what view the Untouchables took of the problem of Untouchables. Until the advent of the British, the Untouchables were content to remain Untouchables a destiny preordained by the Hindu God and enforced by the Hindu State As such there was no escape from it Fortunately or unfortunately, the East India Company needed soldiers for their army in India and it could find none but the Untouchables. The East India Company's army consisted, at any rate in the early part of its history, of the Untouchables and although the Untouchables are now included among the non-martial classes and are therefore excluded from the Army, it is with the help of an army composed of Untouchables that the British conquered India. the army of the East India Company there prevailed the system of compulsory education for Indian soldiers and their children both male and female The education received by the Untouchables in the army while it was open to them gave them one advantage which they never had before. It gave them a new vision and a new value They became conscious that the low esteem in which they had been held was not an mescapable destiny but was a stigma imposed on their personality by the cunning contrivances of the priest. They felt the shame of it as they had never done before and were determined to get rid of it. They too in the beginning thought their problem was social and struggled along the social lines for its solution. This was quite natural. For they saw that the outward marks of their social inferiority were prohibition of interdining and intermarrige between the Unitouchables and the Hindus. They naturally concluded that for the removal of their stigma what was necessary was to establish social intercourse with the Hindus on terms of equality which in its turn ment the abolition of rules against interdining and intermarigae. In other words, first programme of action which the Untouchables launched out for their salvation after they became aware of their servile position was to bring about social Equality among all those,

who come within the fold of Hinduism by insisting upon the abolition of the caste System.

In this, the Untouchables found an ally in a section of the Hindus. Like the Untouchables, the Hindus also by the contact with the British had come to realize that their social system was very defective and was the parent of many social evils. They too distred to launch forth a movement of social Reform. It began with Raja Ram Mohan Roy in Bengal and from there had spread a'l over India and ultimate by culminated in the formation of the Indian Social Reform Conference with its slogan of Social R form before Political Reform. The Untouchables followed the Social Reform Conference and stood behind it as a body and gave it their full support As every one knows the Social Reform Conference is dead and buried and forgotten. Who killed it? The Congress The Congress with its slogans "Politics First, Politics Last," "Politics by Each, Politics by All" regarded the Social Reform Conference as its rival. It denied the validity of the creed of the Conference that social reform was a necessary percursor of political reform Under a constant and steady fire from the Congress platform and from individual Congress leaders, the Social Reform Conference was burnt down and reduced to ashes. When the Untorchables lost all none of their salvetion through social reform, they were forced to seek political means for protecting themselves Now for Congressmen to turn round and say that the problem is social is nothing but hypocrisy

It is wrong to say that the problem of the Untouchables is a social problem. For, it is quite unlike the problems of dowry widow remarriage, age of consent, etc., which are illustrations of what are properly called social problems Essentially, it is a problem of quite a defferent nature in as much as it is a problem of securing to a minority liberty and equality of opportunity at the hands of a hostile majority which believes in the denial of liberty and equal opportunity to the minority and conspires to enforce its policy on the nanority. Viewed in this light, the problem of the Untouchables is fundamentally a political problem Granting however for the sake of argument that it is a social problem it is difficult to understand why political recognition of and political safeguards for the security of the Untouchables should retard their social unification with the Hindus if there is a genuine desiere to set in motion processes which will bring about such a result. Congressmen appear to be arguing with no definite conception in their mind. They don't seem to have a clear idea of the inter-relation between political and social factors. This is well illustrated by its opposition to separate electorates and its preference to joint electorates. The process of reasoning is worth attention. In a jointelectorate the Hindu votes for an Untouchable and the Uniou-

chable votes from the Hindu. This builds up social solidarity a separate electorate the Hindu votes for a Hindu and an Untouchable votes for an Untouchable. This prevents social solidarity. This is not the point of view from which the Untouchables look at the question of electorates. Their point of view is which of the two will enable the Untoucnables to get an Untouchable of their choice elected. But I am intersted in scrutinizing the Congress argument. I do not wish to enlarge upon and complicate the argument. The reasoning of the Congress appears to be correct But it is only a superficial view of the matter. These elections take place once in five years. It may well be asked how can social solidarity between the Hindus and the Unionehables be advanced by one day devoted to joint voting it for the rest of the five years they are leading severely separate lives. Similarly, it may well be asked how can one day devoted to separate voting in the course of five years make greater separation than what already exists or contrarywise how can one day in five years devoted to separate voting prevent those who wish to work for union from carrying out their purposes. To make it concrete how can separate electorate for the Untouchables prevent intermarriage or interdining being introduced between them and the Hindus? Only a congenital idiot will say that they can. It is therefore puerile to say that the political recognition of the Untouchables as a separate element and granting them constitutional safeguards will perpetu ate separation between them and the Hindus if the Hindus desire to put an end to it

IV

There are other floating arguments against the claim of the Untouchables for political safeguards which must also be examined One such argument is that there are social divisions everywhere, not merely in India but also in Europe, but they are not taken into account by the people of Europe in framing their constitutions. Why should they be taken into account in India? The thesis is general. But it may be extended to such a length that even the claim of the Untouchables may be enveloped by it. As such I prefer to state why I think it is unsound

In making my comments I propose to make a distinction between the statement and the argument founded on it and deal with them separately. The statement is good up to a point In so far as it alleges that every society consists of groups it cannot be challenged. For even in European or American society there are groups associated together in various ways and for various purposes. Some are like the kindred closely bound together by blood or language. Some are of the nature of social classes differentiated on the basis of rank and status. Others are religious associations upholding particular dogmas, not to mention, political

parties and industrial corporations, criminal gargs and so on in an endless variety with differing aims and bound together some loosely some closely by differing degrees of affinity. But when the statement goes beyond and says that the castes in I dia are not different from groups and classes in Europe and America it is nothing but an arrant ronsense. The groups and classes of Europe may be the same as the caste in India to look at. Bu fundamentally two are quite different. The chief distinguishing feature is the isolation and exclusiveness which are the half-marks of the castes in India and which are maintained as matter not of routine but of faith none of which characteristics is to be found in the group or the class system of Europe or America

Turning to the thesis the social organization of India being different from wha it is in Europe and America it follows that while Europe and America need not take into account the facts and circumstances of their social eigenization in framing their constitution. India caanot omit to take account of her Caste and Untouchability. For a fuller understanding of the matter I may explain way Europe need not and why India must. The danger to a society organized in groups is that each group develops what are called "as own interests" and the question of forging constitutional safeguards arises from the necessity of counteracting the mischief that such interest might cause to others outside it Where there is a possibility of counteracting the mischief by nonpolitical means there is no necessity for forging constitutional safeguards. If, on the other hand, non-political means of counteracting it do not exist then constitutional means must be forged In Europe the possibility of counteracting mischief arising from a group seeking to maintain "its own interest" does exist. It exists because of the absence of isolation and exclusiveness among the various groups which allows free scope for interaction with the result that the dominant purpose of a group to stand out for its own interests and always seek to protect them as something violate and sacred gives way to a broadening and socialization of its aims and purposes. This endosmosis between groups in Europe affects dispositions and produces a society which can be depended upon for community of thought, harmony of purposes and unity of action But the case of India is totally different. The caste in India is exclusive and isolated. There is no interaction and no modification of aims and objects. What a caste or a combination of castes regard "as their own interest" as against other castes remains as sacred and inviolate as ever. The fact that they mingle and co-operate does not after their character of co-operation are mechanical and not social. Individuals use one another so as to get desired results, without reference to the emotional and intellectual disposition. The fact that they give and take orders modify actions and results. But it does not affect

then dispositions. I hat being the case, it e Indian constitution nust provide saleguards to prevent cases with "their own necessis" from deing mischief to other helpless castes.

There is another distinguishing feature of the Indian caste system which justifies why the ladian Costitution must take account of it and provide against mis hisf attisting from it. Every society consist of groups. But it must be recognized that the multial relations of the groups are not one same everywhere. In one society groups may be only no -social in the attitude towards one another But in another they may be unti-social. Where the spirit which actuates the various social groups is only non-social their existence may not be taken into account in framing a consti-There is no cause for danger in a group which is only non-social. But where a group is actuated by an anti-social spirit towards another aid to which alien is synenymous with enemy the fact must be taken into account in framing the constitution and the class which has been the victim of anti-social spirit must be given projection by proper safeguards. In India the castes are not merely non-social Often they are anti-social. is particularly true of the Hindus towards the Unfouchables. A tew facts will suffice to show how anti-social the Hindus ere towards the Untouchables. For instance, the Hindus will not allow the Untouchables to take water from a well. The Hindus will not allow the Unicuchables entry in school. The Hindus will not allow the Uniouchables to travel in buses. The Hindus will not allow the Uniquehables to ravel in the same railway compartment. The Hindus will not allow Unto ichaples to wear clean clothes. The Hiscus will not allow Untouchables to wear jewellery. The 'lingus will not allow Unious hables to put tiles on the roofs of their houses. The Hindus will no telerate Untouchables to own land. The Hindas will not allow Untouchables to keep cattle. The Hindus will not allow an Untouchable to sit when Hinda is standing. They are not isolated acts of a few bad men among the Hindus. They are the emanations of the permanent anti-social attitude of the Hindu community against the Untouchables *

It is unnecessary to carry the matter further. It is enough to say that the thesis is full of fallacies and it would be a most shameful piece of chicanery if it was used as a ground for opposing the demand of the Untouchables constitutional safeguards.

٧

There is another floating argument one sometimes comes across. The basis of the argument is that Untouchability is a

^{*} For details, see my forthcoming volume—'What The Hindns Have Done To Us.'

vanishing thing and therefore there is no use recognizing the Untouchables as a separate element in the national life of India Everything is vanishing and there is nothing that is permanent in human history. The point may be considered when Untouchability has gone root and branch. Until that state arrives, it is unnecessary to pay any regard to it. We must all hope for the disappearance of Untouchability. But we must be careful not to be misled by people who boast of being incorrigible optimists. An optimist is a good companion to cheer up when one is in a state of depression. But he is not always a truthful witness of facts.

This argument is no argument at all. But since some people may be allured by it I wish to expose it and to show how fufile it is. Those who raise this point do not seem to make a distinction between Untouchability as a touch-me-not-ism and Untouchability as a mental attitude manifesting itself in social discrimination The two are quite different. It may be that Untouchability as a touch-me-not-ism may be gradually vanishing in towns, although I am doubtful if this is happening in any appreciable degree. I am quite certain Untouchability as a propensity on the part of the Hindus to discriminate against the Untouchables will not vanish either in towns or in villages within an imaginable distance of time. Not only Untouchability as a discriminating propensity will not disappear but Untouchability as touch-me-not-ism will not disappear within a measurable distance of time in the vast number of villages in which the vast number of Hindus live and will continue to live You cannot untwist a two-thousand-year-twist of the human mind and turn it in the opposite direction.

I am quite aware that there are some protagonists of Hinduism who say that Hinduism is a very adaptable religion, that it can adjust itself to everything and absorb anything I do not think many people would regard such a capacity in a religion as a virtue to be proud of just as no one would think highly of a child because it has developed the capacity to eat dung, and digest it is another matter. It is quite true that Hinduisni can adjust itself The best example of its adjust ability is the literary production called Allahupanishad which the Brahmins of the time of Akbar produced to give a place to his Dine-Huhi within Hinduism and to recognize it as the Seventh system of Hindu philosophy. It is true that Hinduism can absorb many things. The beef-eating Hinduism (or strictly speaking Brahmanism which is the proper name of Hinduism in its earlier stage) absorbed the non-violence theory of Buddhism and became a religion of vegetarianism. But there is one thing which Hinduism has never been able to do-namely to adjust itself to absorb the Untouchables or to remove the bar of of Untouchability. There have been many reformers who, long

before Mr. Gandhi came on the scene, the lato remove the stain of Untouchability. But they have all failed. The reason for their lailure appears to me to be very simple. Hindus have nothing to fear from the Untouchables, nor have they inything to gain by the abolition of Untouchability. Hindus gave up beef-eating because they were afreid that otherwise. Buddhism would overpower Hinduism. Hindus wrote 'll.' up mished because they had everything to gain by helping Akbar to establish a new religion. The author gained money by pleasing the Emperor and by lending aid to establish a religion which promised less tyranny and oppression to the Hindus than Islam help out. Nother of these considerations exist for the most sanguine among the Untouchables to expect that the Hindus will readily put an end to this curse of Untouchability.

Not only have the Hundus nothing to fear and nothing to gain, they have in fact much to lose by the application of Untouchability. The system of Untouchability is a gold infine to the Hindus. it the 240 millions of Hindus have 60 millions of Untouchables to serve as their retinue to enable the Hindus to maintain pomp and ceremony and to cultivate a feeling of pride and dignity befitting a master class which cannot be festered and sustained unless there is beneath it a servile class to look down upon. In it the 240 rullions of Hindus have 60 millions of Untouchaoles to be used as forced labout and because of their state of complete destitution and helplessness can be compelled to work on a mere pittance and sometimes on nothing at all. In it can 240 millions of Hindus have 60 millions of Untouchables to do the duty work of seavengers and sveepers which the Hindu is debarted by his religion to do and which must be done for the Hincus by non-Hindus was could be no others than Untouchables In it the 240 millions of Hindus have 60 millions of Untouchables who can be kept to lower jobs and prevented from entering into competition for higher jobs which are preserved for the Hindus. In it the 240 millions of Hindus have 60 millions of Untoucnables who can be used as shockabsorbers in slums and deadweights in booms, for in slumps it is the Untouchable who is fired first and the Hindu is fired last and in booms the Hindu is employed first and the Untouchable is employed last

Most people believe that Untouchability is a teligious system. That is true. But it is a mistake to suppose that it is only a religious system. Untouchability is more than a religious system. It is also an economic system which is worse than slavery. In slavery the master at any rate had the responsibility to feed, clothe and house the slave and keep him in good condition lest the market value of the slave should decrease. But in the system of Untouchability the Hindu takes no responsibility for the

maintenance of the Untouchable. As an economic system it permits exploitation without obligation. Untouchability is not only a system of undertigated economic exploitation, but it is also a system of undertialled economic exploitation. That is because there is no independent public opinion to concern it and there is no impartial machinery of administration to restrain it. There is no appeal to public opinion, for whatever public opinion there is it is the opinion of the Hindus who belong to the exploiting class and as such favour exploitation. There is no check from the police or the judiciary for the simple reason that they are all drawn from the Hindus, and take the side of the Exploiters.

Those who believe that Untouchability will soon vanish do not seem to have paid attention to the economic advantages which it gives to the Hindus. Unrouchable cannot do anything to get rid of his untouchability. It does not arise out of any personal fault on his part. Untouchability is an attitude of the Hindu. For Untouchability to vanish, it is the Hindu who must change. Will he change?

Has a Hindu any conscience? Is he ever known to have been fired with a rightseous indignation against a moral wrong? Assuming he does change so much as to regard Untouchability a moral wrong, assuming he is awakened to the sense of putting himself right with God and Man, will he agree to give up the economic and social advantages which Untouchability gives? History. I am afraid, will not justify the conclusion that a Hindu has a quick conscience of it he has it is so active as to charge him with moral indignation and drive him to undertake a crusade to eradicate the wrong History shows that where ethics and economics come in conflict victory is always with economics. Vested interests have never been known to have willingly divested themselves unless there was sufficient force to compel them. The Unfouchables cannot nope to generate any compelling force. They are poor and they are scattered. They can be easily suppressed should they raise their head

On this analysis, Swaraj would make Hindus more powerful and Untouchables more helpless and it is quite possible that having regard to the economic advantages which it gives to the Hindus, Swaraj, instead of putting an end to Untouchability may extend its life. That Untouchability is vanishing in therefore only wishful thinking and a calculated untruth. It would be most stupid—if not criminal—to take it into account in considering the demands of the Untouchables for constitutional safeguards and ignore the hard facts of the present and their certainty to continue in the indefinite future.

CHAPTER IX

A PLEA TO THE FOREIGNER

Let Not Tyrannv Have Freedom to Enslave

Į

It is a matter of common experience that barring a few exceptions, almost all foreigners who snow interest in Indian political affairs take the side of the Congress. This quite naturally puzzles and annoys the other political parties in the country, such as the Mushim League claiming to represent the Musalmans, the Justice Partynow in a state of suspended animation but still-claiming to speak in the name of the non-Brahmins and the All India Scheduled Castes Federation claiming to represent the Untouchables, all of whom have been appealing to the foreigner for support but to whom the foreigner is not even prepared to give a sympathetic Why does the foreigner support the Congress and not the other political parties in India? Two reasons are usually asigned by the foreigner for his behaviour. One reason assigned by for supporting the Congress is because he believes that the Congress is the only representative body of Indians and can speak in the name of India and even for the Untouchables. his belief? Two cirumstances are mainly responsible for giving rise to such a belief.

The first and formost circumstance for the spread of this view is the propaganda by the Indian Press in favour of the Congress. The Press in India is an accomplice of the Congress, belives in the dogma that the Congress is never wrong and acts on the principal of not giving any publicity to any news which is inconsistent with Congress prestige or Congress ideology. It is largely due to the Press in India that this cry of the Congress, that it represents all, has been so ceaselessly advertised, with the result that the people in England and America know one thing and only representative bedy in India.

The second circumstance why the world outside belives that the Congress is the only organization which represents India, in cluding even the Untouchables, is because of the absence of propaganda on behalf of the Untouchables to advertise their case against the Congress claim. There are various explanations for this failure on the part of the Untouchables. They have no Press and the Congress Press is closed to them. It is determined not to give them

the slightest publicty. They cannot have then own Press. It is obvious that no paper can survive without advertisement revenue Advertisement revenue can come only from business and in India all business, both big and small, is attached to the Congress and will not tayous any Non-Congress organ. The staff of the Associated Press of India, which is the main news distributing agency in India, is en urely drawn fool. Madras Brahmins - indeed the whole of the Press in India is in their hands and who for well-known reasons are entirely pro-Congress and will not allow any news hostile to the Congress to get publicity. These are reasons beyond the control of the Untouchables But to a large extent then failure to do propaganda is also due to absence of will to do propaganda. This absence of will arises from a parriotic motive not to do anything which will damage the cause of the country in the eyes of the world There are two different aspects to the politics of India which may be distinguished as foreign politics, and constitutional politics India's foreign politics relate to India's freedom from British Imperialism while the constitutional polities of India centre round the nature of a constitution for a free India. They are really separate. But the Untouchables fear that though the two aspects of India's politics are separable, the foreigner who counts in this matter, and whose misuader standing has to be guarded against, is not only not capable of separating them but is very likely to mistake a quarrel over constitutional politics for a disagreement over the ultimate purposes of India's foreign politics. This is why the Untouchables have preferred to remain silent and allowed the Congress propaganda to go unchallenged Congressmen will not admit the patriotic motives of the Uniouchables in keeping silent over Congress propaganda which is directed against them. The fact, however, remains that their silence and desire to avoid open challenge has been a material cause which has brought about the general belief that the Congress represents all, even the Uniouchables

Though regrettable, it was excusable for a foreigner to be carried away by propaganda at a time when the representative character of the Congress was not put to test in an election. But the matter has now been put to test in the elections that took place in 1937. With the results of the elections available to check the position, there is no justification for any one to rest his judgment regarding the representative character of the Congress, on propaganda. What the election results show has already been set out in an earlier part of the book, both generally and also in particular regard to the Untouchables. It may, therefore, be trusted that the foreigners, who have trese facts before them, will not hereafter accept the propagandist view that the Congress represents all, including the Untouchables, as they did in the past, and will realize that other parties besides the Congress and particularly the

Untouchables, have something different to say on the political problem of India

The second reason why the foleigner assigns his support to the Congress is because of his belief that the Congress is fighting for the freedom of India He sees Congressmen changed in a conflict with the British Government, launching campaigns of civil disobedience, breaking laws made by a Forcign Government, organizing movements for non-pa-ment of takes, courting prison, preaching non-co-operation with Government, refusing offices and exhibiting themselves in other ways as men out to sucrifice themselves for the freedom of the country. He sees other political parties standing aloof. From ones, he concludes that the Congress is a body struggling for the freedom of the country and as a lover of freedom feels bound to support a body carrying on a "Tight for Freedom" I have explained eisewhere why other political parties have not joined in the Fight for Freedom. Here I propose to deal with another aspect of the question, namely, for whose freedom is the Congress fighting?

11

In taking the side of the Congress as an organization "Fighting for Freedom," the foreigner does not stop to make a distinction between the freedom of a country and the freedom of the people in the country. In not stopping to make this distinction, the foreigner, it must be said, far from under-standing the matter, is allowing himself to be misled, if not deceived. For words such as society, nation and country are just amorphous, if not ambiguous, terms. There is no gain-saying that 'Nation' though one word means many classes Philosophically it may be possible to consider a nation as a unit but sociologically it cannot but be regarded as consisting of many classes and the freedom of the nation if it is to be a reality must vouchsafe the freedom of the different classes comprised in it, particularly those who are treated as the servile classes. Consequently, it is foolish to take colace in the fact that because the Congress is fighting for the freedom of India, it is, therefore, fighting for the freedom of the people of India and of the lowest of the low.

has very little importance as compared to the question for whose freedom is the Congress fighting. This is a pertine of and necessary inquiry and it would be wrong for any lover of freedom to support the Congress without pursuing the matter and finding out what the truth is. But the foreigner who takes the side of the Congress does not care even to raise such a question. Why is the foreigner so indifferent to so important a question? So far as I am able to judge, the reason for such indifference is to be found

in the wrong notions of self-government and democracy which are prevalent in the West and which from the stock-in-trade of the foreigner who takes interest in Indian Politics

It is propounded by Western writers on Politics that all that is necessary for the realization of self-government is the existence among a resple of what Gio e called constitutional morality By constitutional morality is meant* habits of "paramount reverence for the form of the constitution, enforcing obedience to the authorities acting under and within those forms, yet combined with the habit of open speach, of action subject only to definite legal control, and unrestrained censure of those very authorities as to all their public acts—combined, too, with a perfect confidence in the bosom of every citizen, admits the birterness of party contest, that the forms of constitution will be not less sacred in the eyes of his opponents than in his own." It in a populace these habits are piesent, then according to Western writer, on Politics, self-government can be a reality and nothing further need be considered. Similarly, Western writers on democracy believe that what is necessary for he realization of the ideal of democracy, namely, government by the people, of the people and for the people, is the establishment of universal adult suffrage Other means have been suggested such as recall plebiseite and short parliaments and in some countries they have been brought into operation. But in a majority of countries nothing more than adult suffrage is deemed to be necessary

I have no hesitation in saying that both these nations are falled our and grossly misleading. If democracy and self-government have failed everywhere, it is largely due to these wrong nations. Habits of constitutional morality may be essential for the maintenance of a constitutional form of government. But the maintenance of a constitutional form of Government is not the same tring as a self-government by the people. Similarly, it may be granted that adult suffrage can produce government of the people in the logical sense of the phrase, i.e. in contrast to the government of a king. But it cannot by itself be said to bring about a democratic government, in the sense of government by the people and for the people.

These views of Western writers on politics regarding democracy and self-government are erroneous for very many reasons. In the first place, they omit to take into account the incontrovertible fact that in every country there is a governing class grown up by force of historical circumstances, which is destined to rule, which does rule and to whom adult suffrage and constitutional morality

^{*}Grote History of Greece, vol. III. p. 347.

are no bar against reaching places of power and authority and to whom the service classes, by reason of the fact that they regard the members of the governing classes as their natural leaders, volunteer to elect as rulers. Secondly, they fail to realize that the existence of a governing class is inconsistent with democracy and selfgovernment and that given the fact that weeks the governing class retains its power to govern, it is wrong to sat that democracy and self-government exist unless democracy and self-government are regarded as mere matters of form. This dly, they do not seem to be a ware that self-government and democracy become real not when a constitution based on adult surhage comes into existence but when the governing class lose: its power to capture the power Fourthly, they seem to overlook the fact that while ip some countries the servile classes may succeed in ousling the governing class from the seat of authority with nothing more than adult suffrage, in other countries the governing class may be so well entrenched that the servile classes will need other safeguards besides adult suffrage to achieve the same end Lastly, they seem to pay no heed to the fact that given the extence of the Governing class what matters most in the consideration of any scheme of democracy and self-government is the social outlook and social philosophy of the governing class, for so long as the governing class, retains its means to capture the power to govern, the freedom and the well-being of the servile classes must depend upon the social outlook, the social conscience of the governing class and its philosophy of life.

The recognition of the existence of a governing class as a fundamental and crucial fact confronting democracy and selfgovernment is the only safe and realistic approach to those who wish for democracy and self-government to come into their own It is a fatal blunder to omit to take account of it in coming to a onclusion as to whether in a free country freedom will be the privilege of the governing class only or it will be the possession of In my view, therefore, what the foreigner who chooses to side with the Congress should ask is not whether the Congress is fighting for freedom. He should ask: For whose freedom is the Congress fighting? Is it fighting for the freedom of the governing class in India or is it fighting for the freedom of the people of India? If he finds that the Congress is fighting for the freedom of the governing class, he should ask Congressmen. Is the governing class in India fit to govern? This is the least he can do before siding with the Congress

What are the answers which Congressman have to give to these questions? I do not know But I can give what I think are the only true answers to these questions.

M

To start with it is well to know who constitute the governing class in ladia. The governing class in India consists principally of the Brahmins. It is strange that the present-day Brahmins repudiate the allegation that they belong to the governing class though at one time they described themselves as Bhadevas (Gods on Earth). What can this rolte fice be due to? Is it due to a guilty consciente born out of the realization that they have committed criminal breach of the trust imposed upon the intellectual sections in every community by the sacred law of humanity not to serve the interest of their own class but to safeguard the interest of all and therefore dare not stand before the bar of the world? Or is it due to their sense of modesty? It is unnecessary to stop to speculare as to which is the truth

That the Brahmins are a governing class is hardly open to question. There are two tests one could apply. First is the sentiment of the people and the second is the control of administration I am sure there cannot be better and more decisive tests than these two. As to the first, there cannot be any doubt. Taking the attitude of the people, the person of the Brahmin is sacred. In ancient, time, he could not be hanged no matter what offence he committed. As a sacred person he had immunities and privileges which were defined to the service class. He was entitled to first fruits. In Malabar, where the Sambandham marriage prevails, the service classes such as the Nairs regard it an honour to have their females kept as mistresses by Brahmins. Even kings invited Brahmins* to deflower their on prima nocis. There was a time

^{*}The Traveller Ludovico Di Varthema who came to India in the middle of the 16th century and visited Malabar says

[&]quot;It is proper and at the same time a pleasant thing to know who these Brahmins are You must know that they are the chief persons of the faith, as priests are among us. And when the king takes a wife he selects the most worthy and the most honoured of these Brahmins and makes him sleep the first night with his wife, in order that he may deflower her. Do not imagine that the Brahmin goes willingly to perform this operation. The king is obliged to pay him four hundred to five hundred ducats. The king only and no other person in Calicut adopts this practice "—Voyages of Varthema (Hakluyat Society), Vol. I, p. 141.

Other Travellers tell that the practice was widespread Hamilton in his Account of the East Indies says:

[&]quot;When the Samorin marries, he must not cohabit with his bride till the Nambourie (Nambudri) or chief oriest, has enjoyed her, and if he pleases he may have three nights of her company, because the first fruits of her nu ptials must be a holy oblation to the God she worships and some of the nobles are so complacent as to allow the clergy the same tribute, but the common people cannot have that compliment oud to them but are forced to supply the priests place themselves. Vol I p 308

when no person of the servile class could take his food without drinking the water in which the toes of the Brahmins were washed Sir P C. Ray once described how in his childhood, rows of children belonging to the servile classes used to stand for hours together in the morning on the roadside in Calcutta with cups of water in their hands waiting for a Brahmin to pass ready to wash his feet and take it to their parents waiting to sip it before taking their food. Under the British Government and by reason of its equalitarian jurispudence these rights, immunities and privileges of the Brahmins have ceased to exist. Nonetheless the advantages they are still remain and the Brahmin is still pre-eminent and sacred 'Swami' which means 'Lord'

The second test gives an equally positive result. To take only the Madras Presidency by way of illustration. Consider Table 13 (see page 196). It shows the distribution of gazetted posts between the Brahmins and other communities in the year 1943

Similar data from other Provinces could also be adduced to support this conclusion. But it is unnecessary to labour the point Whether the Brahmins claim themselves to be members of the governing class or not, the facts that they control the administration and that their supremacy is accepted by the servile classes, are enough to establish the point.

History shows that the Brahmin has always had other classes as his allies to whom he was ready to accord the status of a governing class provided they were prepared to work with him in subordinate co-operation. In ancient and medieval times he made such

Buchanan in his Narrative refers to the practice in the following terms "The ladies of the Tamuri family are generally impregnated by Numbudies although if they choose they may employ the higher ranks of Nairs, but the sacred character of the Nambudies always procures them a preference."—

Pinkerton's Voyoges, Vol VIII, p 734.

Mr. C. A Innes, I C. S. Editor of the Gazetteer of Malabar and Anjengo issued under the authority of the Government of Madras says.

[&]quot;Another institution found amongst all the classes following the marukak-kattayam system, as well as amongst many of those who observe mkkattayam is that known as "Tali-tying wedding" which has been described as "the most peculiar, distinctive and unique" among Malayali marriage customs. Its essence is the tying of a tali (a small piece of gold or other metal, like a locket on a string) on a girl's neck before she attains the age of puberty. This is done by a man of the same or of a higher caste (the usages of different classes differ), and it is only after it has been done that the girl is at liberty to contract a intended to confer on the tali tier or manavalan (bridegroom) a right to cohabit with the girl; and by some the origin of the ceremony is found in the claim of the Bhu-devas or Earth-Gods," (that is the Brahmins), and on a lower plane of Kshatriyas or ruling classes, to the first-fruits of lower caste womanhood, a right akin to the mediaeval dron de tegneme. Vol I p 101

What he had been to the

| 96 | | Le | n Not Tyrai | iny Fi | Tave | Free | dom | to E |
|----|--|--|---|--------------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| | : | 8s 35 | Percentage of Appointments held | (6) | 42.4 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 69 |
| 1 | ted Posts | Over Rs 35 Total No 20,78: | No held by | 8 | 8,812 | 1,655 | 1,624 | 144 |
| | * | Over Ry, 100 Total No. 7,500 | Percen- tage of Appoint- ments | ε | 43,73 | 2 | 6.63 | .52 |
| | | | No. held take of Appoint ments | <u> </u> | 3,280 | 750 | 497 | 39 |
| 1 | Percen- iage of Appoint- ments held | | | <u>.</u> (6) | 37 | δ, | 7 | 1.5 |
| 1 | No. of Posts held out of Total No. Gaze tred Posts (2,200) | | | € | 820 | 06) | 150 | 25 |
| ! | Percen- tuge of Ropula- tion | | | 6 | r. | 4 | 7 | 14 |
| - | ges . | Approx- unate Popula- non in Lakhs | | 3 | 15 | 702 | 37 | 70 |
| | | | | | | | * | • |

Ç

113 ...

. For and Non-Brahmins

Depressed Classes

Muhammandans

Christians ...

į

:

Brahmins

Communities

an alliance with the Kshatriyas or the warrior class and the two ruled the masses, indeed ground them down, the Brahmin with his pen and the Kshatriya with his sword. At present, the Brahmin has made an alliance with the Vaishya class called Barias. The shifting of this alliance from Kshatriya to Bania is natural. In these days of commerce money is more important than sword. That is one reason for this change in party alignment. The second reason is the need for money to run the political machine. Money can come only from the Bania. It is the Bania who is financing the Congress largely because Mr. Gandhi is a Bania and also because he has realized that money invested in Politics gives large dividends. Those who have any doubts in the matter might do well to read what Mr. Gandhi told Mr. Louis Fisher on June 6, 1942. Reports Fisher*:—

"I said I had several questions to ask him about the Congress Party. Very highly placed Britishers, I recalled, had told me that Congress was in the hands of big business and that Gandhi was supported by the Bombay millowners who gave him as much money as he wanted. "What truth is there in these assertions," I asked."

"'Unfortunately, they are true,' he declared simply, 'Congress hasn't enough money to conduct its work. We thought in the beginning to collect four annas (about eight cents) from each member per year and operate on that But it hasn't worked."

"'What proportion of the Congress budget,' I asked, 'is covered by rich Indians?'"

"'Practically all of it,' he stated 'In this ashram, for instance, we could live much more poorly than we do and spend less money But we do not and the money comes from our rich friends'"

For this reason, it is impossible for the Brahmin to exclude the Bania from the position of a governing class. In fact, he has established not merely a working but a cordial alliance with the Bania. The result is that the governing class in India today is a Brahmin-Bania instead of Brahmin-Kshatriya combine as it used to be.

The existence of the Governing class does not cover the whole story. What is significant is that the members of the governing class in India are quite conscious of the fact that they do belong to the governing class and that they alone are destined to rule The late Mr Tilak could never forget that he was a Brahmin and

^{*}A Week with Gandhi (1943). p. 41.

belonged to the governing class. The same is reported to be the case about Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru* and his sister Mrs Vijaya Laxmi Pandit Nor is Mr. Vallabhbhi Patel free from the feeling that he belongs to the governing class. Mr. Tilak is held out as the father of the Swaraj movement Pandit Nehru and Mr Vallabhbha: Patel are the leading members of the Congress High Command. Not only are they conscious of the fact that they belong to the governing class but some of them hold that the servile classes are a contemptible people, who must remain servile and who must never aspire to rule. Indeed, they have felt no shame and no remorse in giving public expression to such views. In 1918, when the Non-Brahmirs and the Backward Classes had started an agitation for separate representation in the Legislature, Mr. Tilak in a public meeting held in Sholapur said he did not understand why the oil pressers, tobacco shopkeepers, washermen, etc -that was his description of the Non-Brahmins and the Backward Classes—should want to go into the Legislature. In his opinion, their business was to abey the laws and not to aspire for power to make laws. In 1942, Lord Linlithgow invited 52 important Indians representing different sections of the people to discuss what steps could be taken to make the Central Government more popular with a view to enlist the sympathy and co-operation of all Indians in war effort. Amoung those that were invited were members belonging to the Scheduled Castes. Mr Vallabhbhai Patel could not bear the idea that the Viceroy should have invited such a crowd of mean men Soon after the event. Mr. Vallabhbhai Patel made a speech in Ahmedabad and said**....

"The Viceroy sent for the leaders of the Hindu Mahasabha, he sent for the leaders of the Muslim League and he sent for Ghanchis (oil pressers), Mochis (cobblers) and the rest"

Although Mr. Vallabhbhai Patel in his malicious and stinging words referred only to Ghanchis and Mochis, his speech is indicative of the general contempt in which the governing class and the

[•] Mr. Pattabhi Sitaramaya in his introduction to the Life of Pandit Jawaharial Nehru by Mr Y. G Krishnamurti, says Pandit Nehru is very conscious of the fact that he is a Brahmin. This will shock many who are under the impression that Pandit Nehru is a Socialist and does not believe in caste. But Mr. Pattabhi Sitaramaya ought to know what he is saying Not only is Pandit Nehru conscious of the fact that he is a Brahmin but his sister Mrs. Vijaya Laxini Pandit, also seems to be conscious that she is a Brahmin. It is said that at the All-India Women's Conference held in Delhi in December 1940, the question of not declaring one's caste in the Census Return was discussed. Mrs. Pandit disapproved of the idea and said she did not see any reason why she should not be proud of her Branmin blood and declare herself as a Brahmin at the Census—"See Sense ond Nonsense in Politics" Senai No. XII by Mr. J. E Sanjana in Rast Rahabar (a Bombay Gujarathi Weekly), 14th January, 45.

^{**}Quoted by Mr. Sanjana in Sense and Nonsense in Politics

members of the Congress High Command hold the service classes of this country. Further illustrations of this attitude of the governing class and the Congress high Command can be found from incidents that have taken place in the election campaigns. They are so relevant and so revealing that a special mention must be made of them.

Ever since 1919 when wir Gandhi captured the Congress, Congressmen have looked upon the boycott of Legislature as one of the sanctions for making the British Government concede the demand for Swaraj Under this policy, every time there was an election in which the Congress decided not to take part, the Congress would not only refuse to put candidates on the Congress ticket but would carry on prepaganda against any Hindu proposing to stand for election as an independent candidate. One need not quarrel over the merits of such a policy. But what were the means adopted by the Congress to prevent Hindus standing on an independent ticket? The means adopted were to make the legislatures objects of contempt. Accordingly, the Congress in various Provinces started processions carrying placards saying "who will go in the Legislatures? Only barbers, cubblers, potters and sweepers" In the processions one man would utter the question as part of the slogan and the whole crowd would repeat as answer the second part of the slegan. When Congressmen found that this was not enough to deter persons from standing for the elections, they decided to adopt sterner measures. Believing that respectable people would not be prepared to stand for election if they felt certain that they would have to sit with baibers, potters and sweepers, etc. in the legislatures, the Congress actually went to the extent of putting up candidates from these despised communities on the Congress ticket and got them elected. A few illustrations of this outrageous conduct of the Congress may be mentioned. In the 1920 election, the Congress elected a cobbler* in the Central Provinces Legislature In the 1930 election, they elected in the Central Provinces two cobblers**, one mikman*** and one barber; and in the Punjab one sweepert. In 1934, the Congress elected a potter †* to the Central Legislature It might be said that this is old history. Let me correct such an impression by referring to what happened in 1943, in the Municipal Blee ions in Andheri a suburb of Bombay. The Congress put up a barber to bring the Municipality in contempt

Fåguwa Rohidas.

^{**} Guru Gosain Agamdas and Balaraj Jaiswar.

^{***} Chunnu.

[†] Arjunlal

Bansı Lal Chaudhari.

^{†*} Bhagat Chandi Mai Gola.

What an enormity? The Sinn Fein in Ireland boycotted the British Parliament. But did they make such hideous use of their own countrymen for effecting their purposes? The campaign of boycott of legislature which took place in 1930 is of particular interest. The elections to the Provincial Legislatures in 1930 in which these instances occurred coincided with Mr Gandhi's Salt satyagraha campaign of 1930!! I hope that the future (the official historian Dr. Pattabhi Sitamayya has failed to do so) historian of Congress while recording how Mr. Gandhi decided to serve notice on the Viceroy, Lord Irwin, presenting him with a list of demands to be conceded before a certain date and on failure by the Vicercy in this behalf, how Mr Gandhi decided to launch a campaign of civil disobedience, now Mr. Gandhi elected an Englishman to carry his notice, how Mr Gandhi selected Salt Act as a target for attack, how he selected Dandi as a scene of battle, how he decided to put himself at the head of the campaign, how he marched out from his Ashram in Ahmedabad with all pomp and ceremony, how the women of Ahmedabad came out with Arthi and applied tilak (saffron mark) to his forehead wishing him victory, how Mr. Gandhi assured them by saying that Gujarat alone will win Swarai for India, how Mr. Gandhi proclaimed his determination by saying that he will not return to Ahmedabad until he has won Swaraj, will not fail to record that while on the one hand Congressmen were engaged in fighting for Swaraj which they said they wanted to win in the name and for the masses, on the other hand and in the very year they were committing the worst outrages upon the very masses by exhibiting them publicly as objects of contempt to be shunned and avoided.

Such is the mentality of the governing classes in India towards the servile classes.

IV

What is to be the fate of the servile classes of India under this governing class?

The Congress promises to do wonders for the servile classes—the Congress speaks of masses, it ought really to speak of them as the servile classes held in bondage by the governing classes—when Swaraj comes. It says that it would like to make revolutionary changes but it has no power to make them and it must wait for Swaraj. It is this glib talk which goes to deceive the gullible foreigner—Leaving aside the boast and bluster which lie behind the statement, one may ask what really can happen if India does become a sovereign and an independent state? One thing is certain. The governing class will not disappear by the magic wand of Swaraj. It will remain as it is and having been freed from the incubas of British Imperialism will acquire greater strength and

vigour It will capture power as the governing classes in every country do. In short, Swaraj will not be government by the people but it will be a government run by the governing class and in the absence of Government by the people, government for the people will be what the governing class will choose to make of it

What will the governing class do when India becomes a sovereign and independent state? Some hope that they will undertake reform of tenancy laws, enlarge factory legislation, extend primary education, introduce prohibition and train people to ply charkna, construct roads and canals, improve currency, regulate weights and measures, open dispensaries and undertake other measures to ameliorate the condition of the servile classes. No one from the servile class can be very enthusiastic about such a programme In the first place, there is nothing very great in it. In the world of today, no governing class can omit to undertake reforms which are necessary to maintain society in a civilized state. Personally, I have grave doubts about the governing class in India coming forward to carry out even such a modest programme of social amelioration. Most people forget that what leads the Congress today to mouth such a programme is the desire to show that the Congress is better than the British Bureaucracy. But once the bureaucracy is liquidated, will there be the same incentive to better the lot of the masses? I entertain very grave doubts on the point. Apart from this, is social amelioration the be all and end-all of Swaral? Speaking for the servile classes, I have no doubt that what they expect to happen in a sovereign and free India is a complete destruction of Brahmanism as a philosophy of life and as a social order. If I may say so, the servile classes do not care for social amelioration. The want and poverty which has been their lot is nothing to them as compared to the insult and indignity which they have to bear as a result of the vicious social order. Not bread but honour, is what they want. The question therefore is: Will the governing classes in India having captured the machinery of the State, undertake a programme for the reform of the social order as distinguished from a programme of social amelioration?

The statement by Congressmen that Congress can do wonders if only India was a sovereign and an independent Staet, supposing that it is an honest aspiration and not mere propaganda, proceeds on the assumption that for a man to do what he wants, nothing more is necessary than power. Such a belief is not only pitiable but is really a dangerous illusion. Those who are inclined to cherish such an illusion forget that there are serious limitations on sovereignty, no matter how absolute it is. None has described

hese limitations in more teiling language than Dicey. In his Light the Constitution, he says —

"The actual exercise of authority by any sovereign whatever, and autably by Pathament, is bounded or controlled by two invitations. Of these the one is an external, the other is an internal limitation.

"The external limit to the real power of a sovereign consists in the possibility or certainty that his subjects or a large number of them, will disobey or resist his laws.

"This limitation exists even under the most despotic morarchies. A Roman Emperor, or a French King during the middle of the eighteenth century, was (as is the Russian Crar at the present day) in strictness a "sovereign" in the legal sense of that term. He had absolute legislative authority. Any law made by him was binding, and there was no power in the empire or kingdom which could annul such law. But it would be an error to suppose that the most absolute ruler who ever existed could in reality make or change law at his pleasure..

"The authority, that is to say, even of a despot; depends upon the readiness of his subjects or of some portion of his subjects to obey his behests; and this readiness to obey must always be in reality limited. This is shown by the most notorious faces of history. None of the early Caesars could at their pleasure have subverted the worship of fundamental institutions of the Roman world. The Sultan could not abelish Mahommedanism Louis the Fourteenth at the height of his power could revoke the Edict of Nantes, but he would have found it impossible to establish the supremacy of Protestantism, and for the same reason which prevented James the Second from establishing the supremacy of Roman Catholicism What is true of the power of a despot or of the authority of a constituent assembly is specially true of the sovereignty of Parliament. it is limited on every side by the possibility of popular resistance. Parliament might legally establish an Episcopal Church in Scotland, Parliament might legally tax the Colonies; Parliament might without any breach of law change the succession to the throne or abolish the monarchy; but everyone knows that in the present state of the world the British Parliamen. will do none of these things. In each case widespread resistance would result from legislation which, though legally valid, is in fact beyond the stretch of Parliamentary power.

"The internal limit to the everyise of sovereignty arises from the nature of the sovereign power itself. Even a despot exercises his powers in accordance with his character, which is uself moulded by the circumstances under which he lives, including under that head the moral feetings of the time and the society to which he belongs. The Sultan could not if he would, change the religion of the Maho nmedan world, but if he could do so it is in the very highest degree improbable that the head of Mahommediaism should wish to over hrow the religion of Mahomet, the internal check on the exercise of the Sultan's power is at least as strong as the external limitation. People sometimes ask the idle question why the Pope does not introduce this or that reform? The true answer is that a revolutionist is not the kind of man who becomes a Pope, and that the man who becomes a Pope has no wish to be a revolutionist

None can gainsay the truth of what Dicey has said. What the governing class may do depends not so much upon the degree of its sovereignty as upon what Dicey calls the external and internal limitations in sovereignty. Of these two, if the failure to do good arises out of the external limitations, nobody need blame the governing class. The fear of external limitations blocking progress need not cause much apprehension. For it is the internal limitations of the governing class that have a grenter determining force than the external limitations. Progress depends more upon internal limitations of the governing class than upon external limitations What are the factors which determine these internal limitations? The internal limitations are born out of the outlook, traditions, vested interests and the social philosophy of the gover-The purpose of this discussion is to warn the foreigner that before believing what the Congress proposes to do for the servile classes, he should make it a point to ask. What is the outlook of the governing class? What are its traditions? What is its social philosophy?

To take the Brahmins first, Historically they have been the most inveterate enemy of the servile classes (Shudras and the Untouchables) who together constitute about 80 per cent of the total Hindu population. If the common man belonging to the servile classes in India is today so fallen, so degraded, so devoid of hope and ambition, it is entirely due to the Brahmins and their philosophy. The cardinal principles of this philosopy of Brahmanism are five: (1) graded inequality between the diffrent classes; (2) complete disarmament of the Shudras and the Untouchables; (3) complete prohibition of the education of the Shudras and the Untouchables; (4) ban on the Shudras and the untouchables occupying places of power and authority; (5) ban on the Shudras and the Untouchables.

ables acquiring property. (6) complete subjugation and suppression of women Inequality is the official doctrine of Brahmanism and the suppression of the lower classes aspiring to equlity has been looked upon by them and carried out by them, without remorse as their bounden duty. There are countries where education did not spread beyond a few. But India is the only country where the intelluctual class, namely, the Brahmins not only made education their monopoly but declared acquisition of education by the lower classes, a crime purishable by cutting off of the tongue or by the pouring of molten lead in the ear of the offender. The Congress politicians complain that the British are ruling India by a wholesale disarmament of the people of India. But they forget that disaimament of the Shudras and the Untouchables was the rule of law promulgated by the Brahmins Indeed, so strongly did the Brahmus believe in the disarmament of the Shudras and the Untouchables that when they revised the law to enable the Brahmins to arm themselves for the projection of their own privileges, they maintained the ban on the Shudras and the Untouchables as it was without lessening its rigour. It the large majority of people of India appear today to be thoroughly emasculated. spiritless, with no manliness, it is the result of the Brahmanic policy of wholesale disarmament to which they have been subjected for the untold ages. There is no social evil and no social wrong to which the Brahmin does not give his support. Man's inhumanity to man, such as the feeling of casie, untouchability, unapproachability and unsecability is a religion to him. It would, however, be a mistake to suppose that only the wrongs of man are a religion to him For the Brahmin has given his support to the worst wrongs that women have suffered from in any part of the world Widows were burnt alive as sattees The Brahmin gave his fullest support to Sattee, the burning alive of a widow. Widows were not allowed to remarry The Brahmin upheld the Girls were required to be married before 8 and the husband had the right to consummare the marriage at any time thereafter, whether she had reached puberty or not did not The Brahmin gave the doctrine his strongest support The record of the Brahmins as law givers for the Shudras, for the Untouchables and for women is the blackest as compared with the record of the intellectual classes in other parts of the world. no intellectual class has prostituted its intelligence to invent a philosophy to keep his uneducated countrymen in a perpetual state of ignorance and poverty as the Brahmins have done in India Every Brahmin today believes in this philosophy of Brahmanism propounded by his forefathers. He is an alien element in the Hindu Society. The Brahmin vis-a-vis Shudras and the Untouchables as foreign as the German is to the French, as the Jew is to the Gentile or as the white is to the Negro. There is a real gulf between him

and the lower classes of Shudras and Untouchables He is not only alien to them but he is also hostile to them. In relationship with them, there is no room for conscience and there is no call for justice

The Bania is the worst parasitic class known to history. him the vice of money-making is unredeemed by culture or consconscience. He is like an undertake who prospers when there is an epidemic. The only difference between the undertaker and the Banja is that the undertaker does not create an epidemic while the Bania does. He does not use his money for production. He uses it to create poverty and more poverty by lending money for unproductive purposes. He lives on interest and as he told by his religion that money lending is the occupition prescribed to him by Manu, he looks upon it as both light and righteous. the help and assistance of the Brahmin judge who is ready to decree his suits, he is able to carry on his trade. Interest, interest on interest, he adds on and on and thereby draws families perpetually into his net. Pay him as much as a debtor may, he is always in debt. With no conscience, there is no fraud, and no chicarnery that he will not commit His grip over the nation is complete. The whole of poor, starving, illiterate India is mortgaged to the Bania.

To sum up, the Brahmin enslaves the mind and the Bania enslaves the body. Between them, they divide the spoils which belong to the governing classes. Can anyone who realizes what the outlook, tradition and social philosophy of the governing class in India, is believe that under the Congress regime, a sovereign and inependent India will be different from the India we have today?

V

If the Congress is honest and sincere in its professions as the champion and the guardian of the servile classes the Congress may well be called upon to show what steps it took to destroy the power of the governing class. It is repeated from house tops that the Congress swept the polls in the elections that took place in 1937. Overlooking the hyperbole, a question could legitimately be asked: It is true that the Congress won the victory but which is the class among the Indian people which carried the trophy? Unfortunately, no Indian publicist has as yet undertaken to compile an Indian counterpart of Dodd's Parliamentary Manual. Consequently, it is difficult to have precise particulars regarding the caste, occupation, education and social status of members of the legislature elected on the Congress ticket. The matter is so important that I thought of collecting the necessary information on these points relating to members of the Provincial

Legislatures elected in 1937 on the Congress ticket. I did not succeed in getting precise information about every member. There are many whom I have had to leave as unclassified. But the information I have been able to gather throws a glaring light upon victory of the Congress and shows what it means to the people of India in terms of their freedom and their well being

Table 19 (see page 207) shows the proportion of Brahmins and Non-Brahmins and the Scheduled Castes that were elected to the Provincial Legislative Assemblies on the Congress ticket

those who do not know how small is the proportion of the Brahmins to the total population of Hindus may not be able to realize the representation which the Brahmins have secured in the Congress election. But those who know it will realize that in proportion to their numbers the Brahmins have secured overwhelming representation.

What degree of representation did the Congress give to the propertied classes, such as Banias, businessmen and landlords? Table 20 (see page 208) shows how many Banias, businessmen and landlords were elected on the Congress ticket.

Here again the representation secured by the Banias, landlords and businessmen standing on the Congress ticket is overwhelming. Is there any doubt that the Congress instead of warring against the governing class actually helped the governing class to capture political power? There is one other feature of the Congress victory in the election which needs to be exposed. It relates to the composition of the Congress ministeries *

Tables 21 and 22 (see pages 209 and 210) gives an idea of the position of the Brahmins in the Congress Ministers in Provinces in which the Congress had obtained a majority.

In all the Hindu Provinces, the Prime ministers were Brahmins In all Hindu Provices if the Non-Hindu ministers were excluded, the Cabinets were wholly composed of Brahmins This was particularly so in United Provinces, the Province to which Pandit Jawaharial Nehru belongs.

Is there any doubt that the Brahmins from the governing class in India? Is there any doubt that the Congress' fight for Freedom is for the freedom of the governing class? Is there any doubt that the Congress is the governing class and the governing class is the Congress? Is there any doubt that when Swaraj came in 1937 in the form of Provincial autonomy, the Congress shamelessly put the governing class in places of power and authority?

To be true to facts it is an understatement to say that the Congress put the governing classes into places of power and

^{*}Indian Information for July 15, 1939,

| | | - | • | | | | |
|------------------|---|---|-----|------|------------|-----|-----|
| Assam | | | 9 | 21 | 7 | Vo. | 33 |
| ıgal | | : | \$ | 27 | \ 0 | \$5 | 54 |
| Bibar | : | | 31 | . 66 | 16 | 12 | 86 |
| | ٠ | | 288 | 35 | 2 | • | 02 |
| Madras | • | : | 38 | 06 | 26 | 'n | 159 |
| Orissa | : | • | Ţ | 20 | νo | ! | 36 |
| United Provinces | į | | 39 | 54 | 16 | 24 | 133 |
| | | _ | | | | | |

Table 20

Total 2 159 86 Classification of the Congress Members of the Provincial Legislatures in terms of Occupation 36 Ξ 1 1 ļ Private Officials İ Business-10 8 Land-lords 91 98 23 45 Medical Practi-tioners Lawyers 16 7 ឧ 22 : ፧ : : Central Provinces Province Madras Assam Bengal

| Assam | Assam | | | | | | | Citates | |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|----------|-------------|----|----|----|---------|-----------|
| ay | | : | ~ | 3 | 85 | , | 7 | Nil | Brahmin |
| 5 1 4 3 1 | • | : | 4 | | w | ŕ | ~ | 1 | Brahmin |
| 5 1 4 3 | Вотрау . | : | | 77 | * | 'n | 61 | Nil | Brahmin |
| | Central Provinces | | ٠ | wt | च | m | - | , NA | Brahmin |
| Madras 9 2 7 3 | Madras | | 6 | 74 | 7 | m | ę, | _ | Brahmm |
| Ortssa 3 Nril 3 | Orissa | : | | Nil | m | ۲- | ė. | ا د | - |
| 4 4 | United Provinces . | | φ. | r | 4 | 4 | NH | Nei | graphon a |

Information. Question mark indicates inability to classify whether Brahmin or non-Brahmin.

Table 22

Classification of Parliamentary Secretaries in Congress Provinces*

| | 7 | Total No. of | Total No. of | | Hındu Parliame | Hındu Parliamensary Secretaries | : |
|-------------------|-----------|------------------------------|--|----------|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Province | <u>a,</u> | Parliameniary Secretaries | Non-trimum Parliamentary Secretaries | 1 | Brahmins | Non-Brahmins | Scheduled |
| Assam | | Z | ΞZ | i Z | EZ | Nai | TEX. |
| Bihar , | : | ∞ | Ä | x | 73 | S | |
| Bombay | : | 9 | Ë | 9 | | 'n | Z |
| Central Provinces | | ž | Z.Z. | Ē | īZ | Ē | N. |
| Madras | | ∞ | <u> </u> | 7 | ю | 4 | |
| Orissa . | • | m | iN | 3 | ~ | ~ | Ī |
| United Provinces | | 12 | | 11 | 7 | x | |

authority. It did more than that. Here again, so strange has been the result that people will not believe what the Congress did unless they see the facts. The fact is that the Congress High Command in scleeting a mudidate had a definite policy namely in the case of Brahmins, to give preference to a candidate who had the highest educational qualifications and in the case of the Non-Brahmins and the Scheduled Castes, to give preference to a candidate who had the lowest educational qualification. Let those who have any doubts in the matter consider the facts summarized in Table 23 (see page 212.)

It is obvious that in the case of the Brahmins the relative proportion of graduates to non-graduates is far higher than what it is in the case of the Non-Brahmins and the Scheduled Castes. The difference in terms of graduates and non-graduates does not really reveal the correct situation. To put it correctly, the Brahmin graduates were seasoned politicians of high repute while the Non-Brahmin graduates were raw graduates with the career of second class politicians to recommend them.

Why did the Congress select the best educated Brahmins as its candidates for election? Why did the Congress select the least educated Non-Brahmins and Scheduled Castes as its candidates for election? To this question I can see only one answer. It was to prevent the Non-Brahmins in the Congress from forming a The Congress seems to have deliberately preferred an uneducated Non-Brahmin to an educated one because from the point of view of the governing class, the uneducated Non-Brahmin has two definite advantages over an educated non-Brahmin. the first place, he is likely to be more grateful to the Congress High Command for having got him elected than an educated Non-Brahmin is likely to be, and would not be ready to revolt against the Congress Ministry, formed by the governing classes, by joining hands with the educated Non-Brahmins in the Congress Party should the latter aspire to form a government of their own against the government of the governing classes. In the second place, if more undergraduates or more raw Nor-Brahmin graduates were selected, it was with the purpose to preven the Non-Brahmins in the Congress from forming a competent and alternative Ministry to the detriment of the governing class. The Non-Brahmins in the Congress do not know how the Congress has deceived them, and how in drawing them inside the Congress, the Congress was making a concealed attempt to permanently entrench the governing classes in places of power and authority

VI

It would be instructive to compare the attitude of the governing class in India with the attitude taken by the governing class

Table 23

Classification of Brahmin and Non-Brahmin Congress Partymen by Literacy

| Non-Graduates Matriculates Illiterates | ı | | 8 8 4 4 8 8 4 10 8 4 4 10 8 4 4 10 10 8 4 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | 7 | ## 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | (n) |
|--|----------|--|--|---------------------------|---|----------|
| duates | a 1 | 14 21 3 | 11 23 | 21 T | 316 | 91 |
| Total | | 15 27 6 | 33 | 543 | 38 26 26 | =: |
| Castes | Brahmins | Brahmins Non-Brahmins Scheduled Castes | Brahmins Non-Brahmins Scheduled Castes | Brahmins Scheduled Castes | Brahmins Non-Brahmins Scheduled Castes Backward Class | Brahmins |
| Provincial Assemblies | Assam | Bengal | Bihar | Central Provinces | Madras | |

in other countries in times of national crisis such as we are passing through in India today. In France, when the Revolution broke our and demanded equality the governing class in France voluntarily come forward to give up its powers and its privileges and to merge itself in the mass of the nation. This is clear from what happened when the States General called T'e Commons got 600 representatives while the clergy and he Nobles got 300 The question arose how were the 1,200 members to sit, debate and vote The Commons insisted upon the union of all the three estates in one Chamber and 'vote by head'. It was impossible to expect the clergy and the Nobies to accept this position. For it meant the surrender of their most ancient and valuable privileges. Yet a good part of them agreed to the demand of the Commons and gave France a constitution based upon liberty, equality and fraternity.

The attitude of the governing classes in Japan during the period between 1855 to 1870-a period in which the Japanese people were transformed from a feudal society into a modern nation—was even more patriotic than the attitude of the governing classes in France. As students of Japanese history* know, there were four classes in Japanese Society (1) The Damiyos, (2) The Samurai, (3) The Hemin or the Common folk and (4) The Eta or the outcastes standing one above the other in an order of graded inequality. At the bottom were the Eia numbering a good many thousands Above the Eta were the Hemin numbering about 25/30 millions. Over them were the Samu at who numbered about 2 millions and who had the power of life and death over the Hemin. At the apex were the Damiyos or the Feudal Barons who exercised sway over the rest of the three classes and who numbered only 300. The Damiy's and the Samurai realized that it was impossible to transform this feudal society with its class composition and class rights into a modern nation with equality of cirizenship. Accordingly the Damiyos charged with the spirit of nationalism and anxious not to stand in the way of national unity, came forward to surrender their privileges and to merge themselves in the common mass of people. In a memorial submitted to the Emperor on the 5th March 1869 they said **

"The Place where we live is the Emperor's land The food that we eat is grown by the Emperor's men. How then can we claim any property as our own? We now reverently offer up our possessions and also our followers (Samurai as well as 'common folk') with the prayer that the Emperor will take good measures for rewarding those to whom reward is due,

^{*} See Romance of Japan by James A. B. Scherer.

^{**} Ibid, p. 233.

and for fining such as do not deserve reward. Let imperial orders be issued for altering and remodelling the territories of the various clans. Let the civil and penal codes, the military laws down to the rules for uniforms and for the construction of engines of war, all proceed from the Emperor. Let all affairs of the Empire, both great and small, be referred to him."

How does the governing class in India compare in this behalf with the governing class in Japan? Just the opposite. The governing class in India has no such intention of making any sacrifice on the altar of Indian Freedom. Instead of surrendering its privileges in the name of nationalism, the governing class in India is using or misusing the slogan of nationalism to maintain its privileg.s. Whenever the service classes ask for reservations in the Legislatures, in the Executive and in public services, the governing class raises the cry of 'nationalism in danger.' People are told that if we are to achieve national freedom, we must maintain national unity, that all questions regarding reservations in the Legislatures, Executives and the public services are immical to national unity and therefore for anyone interested in national freedom it is a sin to stand out for such reservations and create dissensions. That is the attitude of the governing class. It stands in glaring contrast with that of the governing class in Japan. Far from sacrificing its privileges for nationalism, it is exploiting nationalism to preserve them.

The governing class in India does not merely refuse to surrender its power and authority, it never loses an opportunity to pour ridicule on the political demands of the servile classes. Some*

'A PEEP INTO THE FUTURE'

The following extracts are taken from reports of Commissions, records of police courts cases, judicial trials, Council Proceedings, Administration Reports, etc., issued between the year 1930-50 and are published for the exclusive benefit of the readers of the Gujarati Punch

I

Report of the Royal Commission on the Government of India, 1930

We have given our closest consideration to the representation made on behalf of several communities in India. Taking the figures of the last Census as our basis we can only give an approximate satisfaction to all the claims made before us, for it is not possible to give an absolutely accurate solution to the problem of constructing a machinery of Government unless

^{*}The parody written by Dr R P Paranjpe appeared in a magazine called Gujarathi Punch for may 1926 under the heading "A peep into the Future" As a specimen of this class of writing by members of the governing class it is worth perusal. It is a satire based on certain incidents which are imagined to have occurred under the principle of communal reservations, As the magazine is not easily available, I reproduce it below—

members of the governing classes have gone to the length of composing lampoons and parodies in order to make the demand of the servile classes appear absurd and ridiculous. The most colourful of such parodies was the one written by Dr. R. P. Paranjpe, new Indian High Commissioner for Australia. It is difficult to understand how so advanced a Liberal like Dr. Paranjpe should entertain such views

every single cerson in the country is made member thereof, as the numbers of the several communities do not posses a common measure. We lay down the numbers 2375 as the fundamental number in the constitution and this number is divided into parts attached to the several communities as shown in the schedule attached to our report. The claims of each community will henceforward be represented by its proper number, and all appointments, membership of various bodies, and in fact everything in the country will be awarded according to the proportion given in the schedule wherever possible. The Viceroy's Executive Council will consist of 475 members selected as far as may be according to one-fith the numbers belonging to each community and inree members will hold office for one year so that each community will have attained its exact share of membership in five years. There will be 125 Judges in each High Court, each judge holding office for one year, though according to this arrangement, each section will have obtained its exact share only after the lapse of 19 years. The number of other kinds of appointments will be determined on the same basis for the accurate adjustment of all claims

To allow for the proper functioning of all bodies with these numbers as many existing Governmet buildings as may be necessary may be pulled down and rebuilt so as to be of the proper size.

П

(Notification of the Government of India, 1932)

In accordance with the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1931, His Maiesty the King Emperor has been pleased to appoint the following 475 gentlemen as members of the Executive Council of the Governor-General.

267. Matadin Ramdin (caste Barber) membes in charge of the Surgical

Branch of the Medical Department

- 372. Allabux Peerbux (Mahomedan Camel driver) in charge of the camel transport division of the Army Department
- 433. Ramaswamy (caste, Andhra Sweeper) in charge of the road cleaning branch of the P. W. D.
- 437. Jagannath Bhattacharya (Kulin Brahmin Priest) in charge of the domestic section of the Registration Department.

IV

(Letter to all Local Governments, 1934)

In response to a resolution passed by the Legislative Assembly, with which the Government of India are in full agreement, I am directed to say that henceforward every appointment under Government should go by rotation to each community irrespective of the merits of the applicants.

v

(Notification in the Bombay Government Gazette, 1934)

The Government of Bombay will proceed to make the following appointments in December. The applicants for the several appointments should

These lampoons and paredies give the impression that the members of the service classes are perverse if not idiotic in making such demonds and the governing classes in appening the demands of the service classes are seeking to maintain in India an efficient body politic by intusting that every place of power and or thority should be niled by none out the best man available. Nabody will

belong to the castes mentioned against each according to the rotation fixed by Government Order No. .. dated November 30th, 1934.

1. Chief Engineer for Irrigation (Sind) . Kunbi from North Kanara

Professor of Sanskrit, Eiphinstone College, Bombay: Baluchi Pathan from Sind.

 Commandant of His Excellency's Bodyguard. Marwari from North Gujarat.

 Consulting Architect to Governmet Wadari (wandering gypsy) from the Deccan.

5. Director of Islamic culture: Karbada Brahmin.

6. Professor of Augtomy: (Grant Medical College) Mahomedan Butcher

7. Superintencent of Yeravda Jail Ghantichor.

3 Two organizers of pronibition Dharala (Kaira District Bhil) (Panch Mahals)

VI

Report of a Case from the High Court, 1935)

A. B. (caste Tell) was charged with the cold-blooded murder of his father while he was asleep. The judge summing up against the accused, the jury brought in a verticit of guilty. Before passing sentence the judge asked the pleader for the accused if no had to say anything. The pleader, Mr. Bomanji, said ne agreed with the verticit but that according to Law the accused could not be sentenced at all, much less sentenced to death, as during the current year seven. Tells had already been convicted and sentenced two of them with death, that several other communities had not yet reached their quota of convictions as given in the Government of India Act, while the Tells had already reached theirs. His Lordship accepted the contention of the defence pleader and acquitted the accused.

VII

(Extract from the 'Indian Daily Mail.' 1936)

Annaji Ramchandra (Chitpavan Brahmin) was found wandering in the streets of Poona with a long knife attacking whomsoever he met. When brought up before the Magistrate he was shown by the police to have been recently let off from the Mental Hospital. The Superintendent of the Hospital in his evidence said that Annaji had been in the hospital as a dangerous insane for three years, but as there was the quota for the Chitpavanas and as the immates belonging to other communities had not finished their year-quotas he could not keep him any longer and show any special favouriusm to the Chitpavanas and he had therefore let him off according to Government Order No in the Medical Department. The Magistrate ordered Annaji to be discharged.

VIII

(Extract from the Report of the Administration of Jails in the Bombay Presidency, 1937)

In spite of every precaution the numbers in the jails did not correspond to the quotas fixed for each community. The Superintendent had already asked for instructions from Government with a view to remedying the discrepancy.

have any quarter with the abstract principle that nothing should be done wholeby the busi shall be supersolded by one who is only better and the botter by one who is levely good and the good by one who is bad. But the argument completely fails to carry conviction when in practice one finds that having regird to the history all are umstances of India every time to foest man' is chosen he turns out to be a man from the governing class. This may be alright from the point of view of the governing class. But can it be alright from the point of view of the servil, class? Could 'best' German be the 'best' for the French? Coald the 'best' Turk be 'bent' for the Greeks? Could the 'best' Pole be regarded best' by the Jews? There can hardly be any doubt as to the correct answer to these questions. Class qualifications can never be ignored. Man is not a mere machine. He is a human being with feelings of sympathy for some and antipathy for others. This is even true of the 'best' man. He too is charged with the fleiings of class sympathies and class antipathies. Having regard to these considerations the 'bes.' man from the governing class may well

Resolution of Government. Government view with serious displeasure this grave dereliction of duty on the part of the I. G. of prisons. Immediate steps should be taken to arrest and put in Jail as many members of the various communities as are required to bring their quotas up to the proper level. If enough persons required cannot be caught, a sufficient number of immates should be let off to bring down all to the same level.

IX

(Proceedings of the Legislative Council, 1940)

Mr Chennappa asked Has the attention of Government been called to the fact that class list of the recent M. A. Examinition in Pali do not show the proper quota for mang-garudis?

The Hon. Mr. Dam a Shroff (Minister of Education) The University Registrar reports that no candidate from among Mang-garudis offered nimself for examination.

Mr. Chennappa. Will Government be pleased to stop this examination until such a candidate offers himself and if the University disobeys the order of Government to take away the University grant and amend the University Act?

The Hon. Member: Government will be pleased to consider the suggestion favourably. (Cheers).

X

(Extract from 'The Times of India,' 1942)

The Coroner Mr. .. was suddenly called last evening to inquire into the death of Ramii Sonu at the I, J. Hospital as the result of a surgical operation Dr. Tanu Pandav (Caste Barber) deposed that he had conducted the operation. He wished to open an abscess in the abdomen but his knife pierced the heart and the patient expired Asked whether he had ever carried out any operation of this nature before, he said that he was appointed as the principal surgeon to he hospital only one day before as it was then the turn of ris community and hat he had never held a surgical instrument in his hand before except a razor for shaving. The jury returned a verdict of death by misadventure.

turn cut to be the worst from the point of view of the servile classes. The difference between the governing classes and the servile classes in the matter of their attitudes towards each other is the same as the attitude a person of one nation has for that of another nation. Fersons of the governing class in parodying the demands of the servile classes seem to forget that the difference between the governing class and the servile class in India is of the same nature as the difference between Fiench and Germans, Turks and Greeks or Poles and Jews and the reasons why one will not tolerate the government of the other although it may be of the best' men ale the same in both case

The governing class in their attempt to ridicule the demand also forget by what means it has built up their power. Let them refer to their own Manu Smitti and they will find that the ways they got their power were very much the same as the imaginary resolutions suggested by Dr. Paranipe A reference to Manu Smrin will show that the view that Brahmins, the chief and the leading element in the governing class, acquired their political power not by force of intellect—intellect is nobody's monopoly but by sheer communalism. According to the Laws Manu Smrid the post of the Purchit, King's Chaplain and Lord Chancellor. the posts of the Chief Justice and Judges of the High Court and the posts of Ministers to the Crown were all reserved for the Brahmins. Even for the post of the Commander-in-Chief the Brahmin was recommended as a fit and a proper person though it was not in terms reserved for him. All the strategic posis having been reserved for the Brahmins it goes without saying that all ministerial posts came to be reserved for the Brahmins is not all. The Brahmin was not content with reserving places of profit and power for his class. He knew that mere reservation will not do He must prevent rivals shooting up from other non-Brahmin communities equally qualified to hold the posts and agitate and blow up the system of reservations. In addition to reserving all executive posts in the State for Brahmins a law was made whereby education was made the monopoly and privilage of Brahmins. As has already been pointed out the law made it a crime for the Shudia, ie the lower orders of Hindu Society to acquire learning, the infringement of which was followed by not only heavy but cruel and inhuman punishment such as cutting the tongue of the criminal and filling his ear with hot molten lead Congressmen cannot escape by saying that these privileges no They must adout that while the privileges have gone the advantages derived from their continuance over several centuries have remained. Nor can Congressmen honestly turn down the demands of the sirvile classes as Communalism knowing full well that a worst form of communalism had been the recognized means adopted by the Brahmins for acquiring power and that if

the service classes are to-cay drived to ask for safeguards it is because the Brahmins in older to minimum their privileges passed laws which made it a crime for them to acquire marning or property. Surely what the service classes are companied is not half so bad as was done by the Brahmins for their own aggrandisement and for the perpetation of their own domination.

In the light of what has been said, it will be found that the right for Freedom led by the govering class is, from the point of view of the scrylle classes, a schish, it not a shain, utruggle. The freedom which the governing class in India is struggling for is freedom to rule the service classes. What it wants is the freedom for the master race to rule the subject race which is nothing but the Nazi or Nietchian doctrine of freedom for superman to rule the common man.

VIII

The foreigner who wishes to know the what and wherefor of Indian politics and desires to make a confribution to the solution of the problems arising out of it must know the basic considerations which he behind Indian politics. If he fails to have a full grasp of them he is bound to be at sea and cannot but be the sport of a party which may happen to capture him creaptivate him. These basic considerations of Indian politics are: (1) The philosophy and outlook of the governing class in relation to the service classes (2) The relation of the governing class to the Congress and (3) The raison dietre of the political demands of the service classes for constitutional safeguards.

Regarding the first enough has been said to enable the foreigner to form his own opinion. The thesis I have endeavoured to present and to support with facts and arguments may be sumply stated It maintains that the only way to ensure that a soverign and independent India will be a different India in which there will be no scrule class doing duty to the governing class, is to frame a constitution which will by proper safeguards, circumscribe the power of the governing class to capture government and to put a limit upon its predatory powers. This is what the Untouchables are urging and this is what the Congress is opposing. whole controversy between the Congress and the Untouchables centres round the question of constitutional safeguards. The issue is . Is the constitution of India to be with safeguards or without safeguards for the Scheduled Castes? The foreigner does not realize this to be the issue nor does he realize that the alleged representative character of the Congress is absolutely irrelevant to the issue. The Congress may be a representative body but that has nothing to do with the decision of the issue.

class

whether the constitution of India should or should not contain any safeguards for the Scheduled Castes. For the decision of this issue, the representative character of the Congress is beside the point. The decision can rest only on the basis of needs and the question that will be relevant is. Do the Scheduled Castes need the safeguards they have been asking for? The foreigner is not justified in supporting the Congress as against the Scheduled Castes on the ground that the Congress is a representative body, The foreigner is, of course, justified in asking the Scheduled Castes to prove their case for safeguards. He is even justified in saying that the existence of a governing class is not enough and that they must further prove something unit the governing class in India is so vile, so wicked, so entrenched that it will not yield to the forces of adult suffrage. Such a stand it is proper to take and the Schedmen Classes are prepared to face it For, beyond doubt, the governing class in India does occupy a different position in land than it does in other countries of the world other countries, there is, at the most, a hyphen between the governing class and the rest, In India, there is a bar between the two A hypnen is only separation; but a bar is a severance with interests and sympathics completely divided. In other countries, there is a continuous replenishment of the governing class by the incorporation of others who do not belong to it but who have reached the same elevation as the governing class. In India, the governing class is a close corporation in which robody, not born in it, is admitted. This distinction is very important. In the case where the governing class is a close corporation, tradition, social philosophy and social outlook remain unbroken and the distinction between masters and slaves, between privileged and unprivileged continues hard in substance and last in colour. On the other hand, where the governing class is not a close preserve, where there is social endosmosis between it and the rest, there is a mental assimilation which makes the governing class more flexible. its philosophy less anti-social. On realizing the truth behind these distinctions, a foreigner should be able to see that mere adult suffrage while it may suffi e to hold the governing class in check in other countries, cannot have, in India, that effect and that consequently those parties in India like the Uniouchables who are advocating additional safeguards in the constitution, are more worthy of support for their anxiety to make free India safe for democracy, than the Congress which opposes such safeguards and which aims to place free India in the hands of a governing

The facts bearing on the second consideration have also been fully set out. From these facts he should be able to see how intimate is the connection between the Congress and the governing class in India has

placed itself in the vanguald of the Congress movement and why it strives to bring everybod, within the Congress fold. To but it briefly the governing class is aware that a political campaign based on class ideology, class inversis, class assues and class conflicts will foll its death knell. It knows has the ribet effective way of sidestacking the servic classes and facting there is to play upon the sentiment of nationalism and Lamonal unity and realizes that one Congress plateform is the only platfo, in that can must effectively safeguard the interest of the governing class. For if there is any platform form which all talk of conflict between rich and poor, Brahmin and Non-Brahmin, landlord and tenant, creator and debtor which does not suit the governing class, can be effectually banned it is the Congress platfor it which is not only bound to preach nationalism and ranonal unity which is what the governing classe, want and campical their safety entirely depends, but which prohibits any other recology inconsistent with nationalism being preached from its platform

If these two considerations are grasped, the foreigner will not find it difficult to understand the third namely the raison detre of the position demands of the service classes

The reservations demanded by the servile classes are really controls over power of the governing classes. Even in European countries there is a demand for controls over the powers of certain classes of society. There is controls on producers, distributors, money-leaders and landlords. If the necessity for controls over the power of certain classes is admitted in countries where the there is much greater degree of homogeneity and identity of interests than there exists in India, a foreigner should not find it difficult to appreciate. The reservations do no more than correlate the constitution to the social institutions of the country in order to prevent political power to fall into the hands of the govening class.

After so much of explanation of facts and arguments I do not think it will be difficult for the foreigner at least to believe that there is another side to the Congress propaganda, if he is not prepared to agree with the point of view herein presented. It would indeed be a sad commentary on the character and intelligence of a foreigner who even after his having gone through the facts and figures given above was not able to cultivate a cool and a dispassionate attitude towards those who do not share the Congress point of view

IV

There is a tragic side to the foreigner's view of Indian politic to which it is impossible not to make a reference. The foreigners who take interest in Indian politics fall into three groups. The

first group is aware of the social cleavages which rend Indian politics, cleavages of majorities and minorities, Hindus and Untouchables and so on. Their main object is not to solve these cleavages by appropriate constitutional saluguards and to open the way to constitutional advancement of India but to use these cleavages to black constitutional progress. The second group of foreigners are those who pay in attention to the cleavages, who care a button what happens to the minorius and to the Untouchables. They are out to support the Congress demand and would fulfil it without bothering about safeguards. The third group consists of tourists who come to do' India and learn about its politics if possible overright. All three are dangerous people But the third group is the most dangerous from the point of view of the ultimate interest of the Indian people

That there should be foreigners of the tourist sort who cannot understand the intricacies of Indian politics and who therefore support the Congress on no other ground except that which Mr Pickwick gave to Sam Weller-to shout with the biggest crowed-is quite understandable. But what annoys most is the attitude of the leaders of the British Labour Party, heads of radical and leftist groups in Europe and America, represented by men like Laski, Kingsley Martin, Brailsford and editors journals like the Nation in America, and the New Statesman in England championing the cause of the oppressed and the suppressed people. How can these men support the Congress passes one's comprehension. Do they not know that the Congress means the governing class and that the governing class means the Congress. Do they not know that the governing class in India is a Brahimn-Bania combine? That masses are drawn in the Congress only to be camp followers with no say in the making of Congress policy? Do they not realize that for the reasons for which the Sultan could not abolish Islam or the Pope could not repudiate Catholicism, the governing class in India will not decree the destruction of Brahmanism and that so long as the governing class remains what it is, Brahmanism which preaches the supremacy of Brahmans and the allied casters and which recognises the suppression and degradation of the Shudras and the Untouchables as the sacred duty of the State will continue to be the philosophy of the State even if India became free? Do they not know that this governing class in India is not a part of the Indian people, is not only completely isolated from them, but believes in isolating itself, lest it should be containinated by them, has implanted in its mind by reason of the Brahmanic philosophy, motives and interests which are hostile to those who are outside its fold and therefore does not sympathise with the living forces operating in the servile masses whom it has trodden down, is not charged with

their wants, their pains, their cravings, their desires, is inimical to their aspirations, does not favour any advance in their education, promotion to high office and disfavours every movement calculated to raise their dignity and thei celf-respect? Do they not know that in the Swaray of India is proposed the fate of 60 coillions of Untouchables? It would be impossible to say that the leaders of the British Labour Party, that Kingsley Martin Bradslord and Laski whose writings on libe ty and democracy are a source of inspiration to all suppressed reople, so not know these facts. Yet if they refer to India, it is always a support the Congress It is very, very seldom that they are found to discuss the problem of the Untoucrables which ought to make the strongest appeal to all radicals and democrats. Their exclusive attention to Congress activities and mair utter neglect of other elements in inc national life of India snows now misguinea they have been. One could will understand their support to the Congress if the Congress was fighting for political democracy. But is it? As every one knows, the Congress is only fighting for national liberty and is not interested in political democracy. The party in India who is fighting for political democracy is the party of the Untouchables who fear that this Congress fight for liberty, if it succeeds, will mean liberty to the strong and the powerful to suppress the weak and the down-trodden unless they are protected by constitutional safeguards. It is they who ought to receive the help of these radical leaders. But the Untouchables have been waiting in vain for all these years even for a gesture of good-will and support from them. These radicals and leftists in Europe and America have not even cared to know the forces behind the Congress. Ignorant or unmindful one does not know, but the fact remains that these lefusts and radical leaders have been giving blind and unquestioning support to the Congress which admittedly is run by capitalists, landlords, money-lenders and reactionaries, only because the Congress cells its activities by the grandiloquent name of "Fig.t for Freedom." All battles for freedom are not on equal moral plane for the simple reason that the motives and purposes behind these battles of freedom are not always the same. only a few illustrations from English History The Barons' Rebellion against Jhon which resulted in the Magna Charta could be called a battle for freedom. But could any democrat in modern times give it the same support which he would give-say to the Levellers' Rebellion or to the Peasant's Revolt in English History, merely because it could logically be described as a battle for freedom? To do so will be to respond to a false cry of freedom Such crude conduct would have been forgivable, had it proceeded from groups not intelligent enough to make a distinction between freedom to live and freedom to oppress. But it is quite inexcusable in radical and leftist groups led by Messrs. Laski, Kingsley Marcin, Brailsford, Louis Fisher and other well-known champions of democracy

When pressed to explain why they don't support Indian Parties which stand for true demanded, they are reported to meet the charge by a counter question. Are there any such parties in India? Insist that there are such parties and they turn round if such parties exist, how is it the Press does not report their activities? When told that the Press is a Congress Press, they retort . now is it that the foreign correspondents of the English Papers do not report them? I have shown why nothing better can be expected from these foreign correspondents Foreign Press Agency in India is no better than the Indian Press Indeed it cannot be better. There are in India what are called foreign correspondents. In a large majority of cases they are Indians. Only a very few are foreigners The selection of Indians as foreign correspondents is so made that they are almost always from the Congress camp. The foreign correspondents who are foreigners fall into two groups. If they are Americans they are just Anti-British and for that reason pro-Congress Any political party in India which is not madly Anti-British does not interest them. Those who are not in the Congress will testify how hard it was for them to persuade the American War Correspondents who trooped into this country in 1941-42, even to entertain the possibility of the Congress not being the only party, much less to induce them to interest themselves in other political parties. It took a long time before they recovered their samty and when they did, they either abused the Congress as an organization led by impossible men or just lost interest in Indian politics. They never got interested in wher political parties in India and never cared to understand their point of view. The situation is no betier in the case of foreign correspondents who are Britishers. They too are interested only in that kind of politics which is first and foremost Anti-British. They are uninterested in those political Parties in India whose foremost concern is to make a free India safe for democracy The result is that the foreign press provides the same kind of news about Indian politics as does the Indian Press. These reasons cannot be beyond the ken of these radicals. Correspondents or no correspondents, is it not the duty of radicals to keep in touch with their kindred in other parts of the world to encourage them, to help them and to see that true democracy lives everywhere? It is a most unfortunate thing that the Radicals of England and America should have forgotten the class to whom they owe a duty to help and have become the publicity agents of Indian. Tories who are just misusing the slogan of liberty to befool and befog the world

The sooner they get out of this fog created by the Congress and realize that democracy and self-government in India cannot

be real unless freedom has become the a sured possession of all, the better for them and the better for the people of India. But if they persist in giving their blind support to the Congress on the basis of an empty slogan without examining its relation to facts and intentions, I for one will have no hesitation in saying that for from being the friends of India they are a positive menace to the freedom of the Indian masses. It is a pity that they do not seem to distinguish the case of a tyrant who is held down and who plead for liberty because he wants to regain his right to appress and the case of an oppresse! class seeking to be free from the oppression of the tyrant. In their hurry to bring freedom to India they have no time to realize that by siding with the Congress what they doing is not to make India safe for democracy but to free the tyrant to practise his tyrannies. Is it necessary to tell them that to support Congress is to let tyranny have freedom to enslave?

CHAPTER X

WHAT DO THE UNTOUCHABLES SAY ?

Beware Of Mr Gandhi

Congressmen never hesitate to impress upon the untouchables that Mr Gandhills there saviour. Not only do Congressmen all over India holo on. At Gandhills to accept the fact that he is their only saviour. When pressed for evidence, they tell the Untouchables that if any one ever took a vow to go on a fast unto death for the sake of the Untouchables it was Mr Gandhill and none alse Indeed, without any compunction they tell the Untouchables that whatever political rights the Untouchables have got under the Poona Pact, they are the result of Mr Gandhills efforts. As an illustration of such propaganda I refer to what one Rai Bahadur Mehrchand Khanna is reported to have said at a meeting of the Untouchables held at Peshawar on April 12, 1945 under the auspices of the Depressed Classes League.

"Your best friend is Mahatma Gandhi who even resorted to a fast for your sake and brouht about the Poona Pact under which you have been enfranchised and given representation on local bodies and legislatures. Some of you, I know, have been running after Dr Ambedkar, who is just a creation of the British Imperialists and who uses you to strengthen the hands of the British Government in order that India may be devided and the Britishers continue to retain power. I appeal to you in your interests, to distinguish between self-styled leaders and your real friends"

If I refer to the statement of Rai Bahadur Mehichand Khanna it is not because he is worth taking notice of. For there cannot be any one gunity of bigger blackguardism in Indian politics than this mar. In the course of one year—not in very remote time but in 1944—he successfully played three different roles. He started as Secretary of the Hindu Mahasabha, tuined agent of British Imperialism, wen' abroad to explain India's war effort to the British and American people and is now agent of the Congress in N. W. F. Province. The opinion of a man like Rai Bahadur Khanna, who, to use Dryden's language, is so various as to be everything by starts, and nothing long, and who in the course of

^{*} Free Press Journal, dated 14-4-45

ore revolving moon, can be a chemist, fiddler, statesman and buffoon, must be beneath contempt. If I refer to him it is only because I wish to illustrate what sort of propaganda* friends of Mr. Gandhi are carrying on in order to beguite the Untouchables.

I do not know how many Untouchables will be found prepared to swallow such a lie. Bu this much I think has been proved by the Nazis that if a lie is a big lie—too big for the common man's intelligence to scrutinize—and if it is repeated continuously, the lie has all the curnoes of being accepted as truth and If not accepted as truth has all the chances of growing upon the victims of propaganda and win their acquiescence. It is, therefore, necessary for me to expose the part played by Mr. Gandhi in the movement of the Uniouchables and to warn the Untouchables against succumbing to this propaganda.

Ţ

In making a survey of the part played by Mr Gandhi it is well to begin by ascertaining when Mr. Gandhi for the first time realized that Untouchability was an evil. On this point, we have the direct testimony of Mr. Gandhi imiself. In an address delivered as President of the Suppressed Classes. Conference, held at Ahmedabad on the 14th and 15th April 1921, Mr. Gandhi said.—

"I was hardly yet twelve when this idea had dawned on me A scavenger named Ukha, an Untouchable, used to attend our house for cleaning latrines. Often I would ask my mother why it was wrong to touch him, why I was forbidden to touch him. If I accidentally touched Ukha, I was asked to perform ablutions, and though I naturally obeyed, it was not without smilingly profesting that Untouchability was not sanctioned by religion, that it was impossible that it should be so. I was a very duiful and obedient child and so far as it was consistent with respect for parents. I often had tussles with them on this matter. I told my mother that she was entirely wrong in considering physical contact with Ukha as sinful

"While at school I would often happen to touch the 'Untouchables' and as I never would conceal the fact from my parents, my mother would tell me that the shortest cut to

^{*} Another illustration of such propaganda is that carried on by one Parsi gentleman by name Prof A. R. Wadia. The views of Prof. Wadia have been critically examined and exposed by Mr E J Sanjanna in a seriess of articles the Rast-Rahabar—a Gujarathi Weekly published in Bombay from 29th October 1944 to 15th April 1954 under the heading of "Sense and Nonsense in Politics"

purification after the unholy touch was to cancel the touch by touching any Musalman rassing by. And simply out of reverence and regard for my mather I often did so, but never did so believing it to be a religious obligation. After some time we shifted to Porebandar, where I made my first acquaintence with Sanskrit I was not yet put to an English School and my brother and I were placed in charge of a Brahmin, who raught us Ram Raksha and Vishnu Punjar. The texts 'Jale Vishnuh' 'Sthale Vishnuh' (there is he Lord (preset) in water there is the Lord (present) in earth, have never gone out of my memory. A motherly old dame used to live close by. Now it happened that I was very timed then, and would corrure up ghosts and abblins whenever the lights went out, and it was dark. The old mother, to disabuse me of fears, suggested that I should mutter the Ramraksha texts whenever I was afraid, and all evil spirits would fly away This I did and, as I thought with good effect. I could never believe then that there was any text in the Ramraksha pointing to the contact of the 'untouchables' as a sin. I did not understand its meaning then, or understood it very imperfectly But I was confiden, that Ramiakshe which could destroy all fear of ghosts, could not be countenancing any such thing

"The Ramayana used to be regularly read in our family A Brahmin called Ladha Maharaj used to read it. He was stricken with leprosy, and he was confident that a regular reading of the Ramavana would cure him of leprosy, and indeed, he was cured of it 'How can the Ramayana,' I thought to myself 'in which one is regarded nowadays as an 'untouchable,' tock Rama across the Ganges in his boat, countenance the idea of any human beings being 'untouchables' on the ground that they were polluted souls? The fact that we addressed God as the 'purifier of the polluted' and by similar appellations, shows that it is a sin to regard any one born in Handuism as polluted or untouchable—that it is satanic to do so I have hence been never tried of repeating that it is a great sin. I do not pretend that this thing had crystallised as a conviction in me at the age of twelve, but I do say that I did then regard untouchability as a sin I narrate this story for the information of the Vaishnavas and orthodox Hindus"

as fear of contact with the funtouchables?

It is no doubt very interesting to know that in that age of lind orthodoxy Mr Gandhi should have become aware that Ur yuchability was a sin and that too at so early an age as 12 hat the Uniocchables, however, win to know is waat did Mirandhi do to remove the evil I give below an extract from ographical note about Mr Gandai by the publishers, Tagor

Co, of Madras to their volume called Young India, issued in 322, to show the principal activities, which Mr. Gandle launched note the time he started his public career. This is what the note cays:—

"Mohandas Karamchan I Gandhi was born on October 5, 1869. Caste Bania, son of Karamchand Gandhi, Dewan of Porebunder, Rajkote and some other Kathiawar States . He was educated at the Kathiawad High School, later at London University and the Inner Temple. On return from Lordon was enrolled as advocate of the Bombay High Court. to Natal and thence to the Transvaal on a legal mission. Was enrolled as advocate of the Natal Supreme Court. Decided to remain there. Founded the Natal Indian Corgress, 1894. Returned to India, 1895 Agitation in India on behalf of the Natal and Transvaal Indians. Return to Durban On landing attacked by the mob and narrowly escaped death; led an Indian Ambulance Corps in the Anglo-Boer War 1899, Returned to India in 1901 to recoup his health. Again retuined to South Africa to lead the Indian deputation to place the Indian view of the South African Indian trouble before Mr. Chamber ain. Enrolled as attorney of the Supreme Court of Transvaal and founded the Transvaal British Indian Association and was its Honorary Secretary and Principal legal advises Founded the Indian Opinion in 1903 and the "Phoenix" Settelment Led a Stretcher Bearer Corps in the native rebellion in 1906; Agitation against the Anti-Asiatic Act 1906, Deputation to England for the repeal of the Act, Passive Resistance movement begun against the Act; Negotations between General Smuts and Mr Gandhi and compromise. Smuts later denying the promise of repeal of the law, and again commenced passive resistance. Imprisoned twice for breaking the law. Again went to England in 1909 to lay the Indian case before the British public; Provisional Settlement in 1911 Mr. Gokhale's visit to South Africa On the Government declining to fulfil the settlement of 1911 organized a revival of the passive resistance movement. Final settlement in 1914. Visit to England; Raised an Indian Ambulance Corps in 1914"

From this biographical note, it is clear that Mr. Gandhiegan his public life in 1894 when he founded the Natal Indian ongress. From 1894 to 1915, he was in South Africa During is period, he never thought of the Untouchables and never even iquired after Ukha

Mr. Gandhi returned to India in 1915. Did he then take up ne cause of the Untouchables? Let me again quote from the same ographical note which says:—

"Returned to India 1915, Founded the Satyagarh Ashram

at Ahmedabad. Took part in the Settlement of the Champaran Labour troubles in 1917 and Kaira famine and Ahmedabad mile strike, 1918; Recruiting Campaign 1918; Agitation against the Rowlatt Act and the inauguration of the Satyagraha movement, 1919, Ariested at Kosi on his way to Delhi and sent back to Bombay, Punjab disorders and the official atrocities 1919. Was member of the Congress Committee of Enquiry into the Punjab atrocities; Took part in the Kinlafat Agitation Inauguration of the Non Co-operation campaign, 1920, Interview with Lord Reading May 1921, appointed sole executive authority of the Congress in 1921 Session of the Congress. Civil Disobedience Programme, February 1922; Suspension of Civil Disobedience compaign on account of Chauri Chaura, riots, February 1922; Ariested on March 10, 1922 tried and sentenced to six years simple imprisonment."

This note is obviously incorrect. It omits some very significant and quite well-known events in the life of Mr. Gandhi To make it complete, the following items must be added —

"1919 declared readiness to welcome Afghan invasion of India to Free India from British Imperialism, 1920 put before the country the Bardoli Programme of Constructive work; 1921 started Tilak Swaraj Fund and collected one crore and 25 lahas to be used for preparing the country for winning swaraj."

In these five years, Mr Gandhi was completely absorbed in transforming the Congress into a militant organization—a war machine fit to fight and shake British Imperialism. He took up the cause of the Khilafat with a view to bring the Muslims to join the Congress and did his level best to rally the Hindus for the support of the Khilafat.

What did Mr Gandhi do for the Untouchables during this period? Congressmen will of coures refer to the Bardoli Programme. It is true that in the Bardoli Programme the uplift of the Untouchables was an item. But what is important is to know what happened to it? To tell the story in a summary* from the Bardoli Programme was not a programme for the removal of Untouchability. It was a programme of amelioration which was defined by Disraeli as a combination of ancient institutions and modern improvements. The programme openly recognized Untouchability and planned to do no more than provide separate wells and separate schools for the Untouchables. The Sub-Committee appointed to draw up a programme for the uplift of the Untouchables consisted of persons, who had never shown any interest in

^{*} For details see Chapter II

the Untouchables and some of them were even hostile to them. Swami Shraddhanand, the one and only person in the Sub-Committee who can be said to be charged with the desire to do something substantial for the Untouchables, was forced to resign. A paltry sum of money was allotted for carrying on the work of the Committee. The Committee was dissolved without meeting even once. The work of the uplift of the Untouchables was declared to be a work best suited to the Hindu Mahasabha Mr. Gandhi took no interest in that part of the Bardoli Programme, which related to the Untouchables. On the contrary instead of siding with Swami Sharaddhanand he sided with the reactionaries and opponents of Swami Sharaddhanand, knowing full well that they did not want anything on a big scale done for the Untouchables

So much for what Mr. Gandhi did in 1921 in connection with the Bardoli Programme.

What did Mr. Gandhi do after 1922? The publication from which the previous extract from the biographical note was taken is dated 1922. It is necessary to make the following additions to bring the biographical note up to date:—

"1924 was released from prison; Forged a compromise between the two wings of the Congress who in his absence were fighting over the issue of Council Entry verses Constructive programme; 1929 proclaimed complete independent as the political goal of India; 1930 launched Civil Disobedience movement; 1931 went to London to represent Congress at the Round Table Conference. 1932 was imprisoned. Declared fast upto death against the Communal Award of His Majesty's Government and saved his life agreeing to the Poona Pact 1933 planned a campaign in favour of temple-entry for Untouchables and established the Harijan Sevak Sangh; 1934 ceased to be a member of the Congress; 1942 planned 'Quit India' movement and was imprisoned; 1934 went on fast and was released; 1944 engaged in correspondence with Lord Wavell and in issuing statements explaining away the 8th August 1942 Resolution; 1945 occupied with Kasturba Fund."

The year 1924 gave Mr. Gand'i another opportunity to push forth his campaign for the removal of Untouchability and make it effective. What did Mr. Gandhi do?

The years between 1922 and 1944 have a special significance in the history of Congress politics. The Programme of non-co-operation was accepted by the Congress at a special session held in Calcutta in September 1920. The programme included the well known five boycotts: the boycott of the Legislature, boycott

of foreign cloth, etc. The resolution on non-co-operation was oprosed by the leaders of the intellectual classes, namely Bepin Chandra Pal, C. R. Das, Lala Lajpat Rai to mention only a few names, but was passed notwithstanding their oppsition. regular Annual Session of the Congress was held in Nagpur in December 1920 The resolution on non-co-operation again came up for discussion. Strange as it may seem the same resolution was moved by Mr C. R. Das* and seconded by Lala Lajpat Rai and confirmed. The result was that 1921 saw non-co-operation galore On 19th March 1922, Mr. Ghandhi was tried for sedition and sentenced to six years' imprisonment. Immediately Mr. Gandhi was put behind the prison bais, Mr. C. R Das seems to have recovered his balance and started a campaign to left the boycott of the Legislature In this he was joined by Vithalbhai Patei, Pandit Motifal Nehru and Pandit Malaviya This move was opposed by the followers of Mr Gandhi, who were not prepared to abate a jot or a tittle from the terms of the resolution on nonco-operation passed in Calcutta and confirmed in Nagpur. This led to a schism in the Congress. In 1924, Mr. Gandhi on account of his illness was released from goal, before his time When he came out, Mr. Gandhi found that the Congress was divided into two warring camps on the issue of the bycott of the Legislature. The quarrel was a better one and both sides were engaged in slinging mud at each other. Mr. Gandhi knew that if the quarrel con inued the Congress would be weakened and wanted to patch it up. Nither side was prepared to give in. There were statements and counter-statements. Ultimately, Mr. Gandhi made certain proposals for restoring peace between the two wings which were accepted by both sides. The proposals were intended to please both sides To please the protagonists of Council Entry he proposed that the Congress should recognize entry in the Legislatures as legitimate part of Congress activity and the opponents of Council Entry should stop their propaganda against it. To please the opponents of Council Entry he proposed that the Congress should accept a new basis for franchise namely: (1) the Congress franchise instead of being 4 annas per annum should be a tender of 2,000 yards of hand-spun and self-spum yarn with the penalty clause attached to it by which any default in this behalf would automatically disqualify a person from being a member of the Congress and that (ii) the observance of five boycotts, of foreign cloth,

^{*} This is notwithstanding the fact reported by Mr. Pattabhi Sitaramayya, the Official Historian of the Congress namely that:—

[&]quot;Mr C. R. Das brought a contingent of abount 250 delegates from East Bengal and Assam, bore their expenses to and fro. and spent Rs 36,000 from his pocket to undo what was done in Calcutta. There was even a small fight between his men and those of Jitendralal Banerjee, his opponent."

The History of the Congvess, p. 347.

Government Law Courts, schools and colleges, and of fitles should be deemed as a qualification for a post within the Congress organization and any person who did not believe in the principle of boycott and who did not carry them out in his own person must deemed to be disqualified as a candidate.

Here was an opportunity for Mr Gandhi to advance his anti-Untouchability campaign. He could have proposed that if a Hinde wisies to enroll himself as a member of the Congress he should prove that he does not observe untouchability and that the employment of an Untouchable in his household should be adduced in support of his claim in this behalf and that no other evidence would be allowed to be tendered. Such a proposal could not have been impracticable for almost every Hindu, certainly those who call themselves high Caste Hindus, keeps more than one servant in his household. If Mr. Gandhi could make the Hindu accept spinning and boycott as franchises for membership of the Congress he could also make acceptable the employment of an Untouchable in a Hindu household a franchise for membership of the Congress But Mr. Gandhi did not do it.

After 1924 till 1930 there is a complete blank. Mr. Gandhi does not appear to have taken any active steps for the removal of Untouchability or got himself interested in any activity beneficial to the Untouchables during this period. While Mr. Gandla was inactive the Untouchables had started a movement called the satyugraha movement. The object of the movement was to establish their right to take water from public wells and public temples. The satyagraha at the Chowdar Tank situated Mahad, a town in the Kolaba District of the Bombay Presidency, was organised to establish the right of the Untouchables to take water from public watering places. The satiagraha at the Kala Ram temple situated in Nasik, a town in the Nasik District of the Bombay Presidency, was organised to establish the right of Untouchables to enter Hindu temples. There were many minor satyagrahas These were, however, the two principal ones over which the efforts of the Untouchables and their opponents, the Caste Hindus, were concentrated The din and noise caused by them were heard all over India Thousands of men and women from the Untouchables took part in these satyagrahus. Both men and women belonging to the Untouchables were insulted and beaten by the Hindus. Many were injured and some were imprisoned by Government on the ground of causing breach of the peace. This satyagraha movement went on for full six years when it was brought to a close in 1935 at a Conference held in Yeola in Nasik District in which the Untouchables as a result of the adamantine attritude of the Hindus in refusing to give them equal social rights resolved to go out of the Hindu fold.

salyagraha movement was no doubt independent of the Congress It was organised by the Untouchables, led by the Untouchables and financed by the Untouchables. Yet the Urtouchables were not without hope of getting the moral support of Mr. Gardhi Indeed they had very good ground for getting it. For the weapon of sutyagrana—the essence of which is to melt the heart of the opponent by suffering—was the weapon which was forged by Mr Gandhi, and who had led the Congress to practise it against the British Government for winning Swaraj Naturally the Untouchables expected fall support from Mr. Gandhi to their salyagraha against the Hindus the object of which was to establish their right to take water from public wells and to enter public Hindu temples Mr Gandhi however did not give his support to the salyagraha. Not only did he not give his support, he condemend it in strong terms.

In this connection reference may be made to two novel weapons for redressing human wrongs. Mr. Gandhi claims exclusive credit for forging and perfecting them. First is satyaginha Mr. Ganndhi has put into action this weapon of satvagraha many a times against the British Government for the removal of political wrongs. But Mr. Gandhi has never used the weapon of satyagraha against Hindus to get them to throw open wells and temples to the Untouchables Fasting is another weapon of M: Gandhi It is said that there have been altogether 21 fasts to the credit of Mr Gandhi Some were for the sake of Hindu-Muslim unity and quite a number as atonements for the immoralities committed by the inmates of his Ashram. One was against the order of the Government of Bombay refusing to give the work of a scavenger in the gaol to a prisoner by name Mr Patwardhan although he demanded it In these 21 fasts there is not one undertaken for the removal of Untouchability. These are very significant facts.

In 1930 came the Round Table Conference. Mr. Gandhi joined the deliberations of the Conference* in 1931. The Conference was concerned with a vital question of framing a constitution for a self-governing India. It was unanimously held that if India was to be a self-governing country then the government must be a government of the people, by the people and for the people Everybody agreed that only when a government is in a real sense a government by the people that it could be a government of the people and for the people. The problem was how to make it a government by the people in country rent into communities, majorities and minorities, who are charged not merely with social cleavages but also with social autagonisms. Having regard to

^{*}For details see Chapter III.

these circumstances it was agreed that in India there was no possibility of government by the people unless Legislature and the Executive were framed on the basis of communal representation.

The problem of the Untouchables loomed large at the Con-It assumed a new aspec. The question was: Should the Untouchables be left as they were to the tender mercies of the Hindus or should they be given the means to protect themselves by extending to them the principle of commural resentation. The Untouchables strongly objected to be to the pleasure of the Hindus and denanded the same protection as was given to the other minorities. The contention of the Untouchables was accepted by all. It was just and logical. They contended that the chasm between the Hindus and Muslims, between Hindus and Sikhs, between Hindus and Christians is nothing as compared with the chasm between the Hindus and the Unfouchables. It is the widest and the deepest. The chasm between the Hindus and the Muslims is religious and not social That between the Hindus and the Untouchables is both religious, The antagonism arising out of the chasm existing between Hindus and Muslims cannot spell political disaster to the Muslims because the relationship between the Hindus and the Muslims is not that of master and slave. It is one of mere estrangement. On the other hand, the chasm between Hindus and the Untouchables must spell political disaster for the Untouchables because the relation-hip between the two is that of master The Untouchables contended that the attempts to close the gap between them and the Hindus by means of social process had been tried for ages They had all failed. no hope of their success. Since power is being transferred into the hands of the Hindu majority they must have political safeguards of the same sort as, if not better, than those conceded to the muslims and other minorities.

Here was an opportunity to Mr Gandhi to show his sympathy to the Untouchables by lending his support to their demand and thereby strengthen their power of resistance against the tyranny and oppression of the Hindus. Instead of showing his sympathy, Mr. Gandhi used every means in his power to defeat them. He made a pact with the muslims with a view to isolate the Untouchables. Failing to win the Musaimans to his side, he went on a fast unto death to compel the British Government to withdraw their decision to give to the Untouchables the same political rights as given to the Muslims and other minoity communities. When the fast failed and Mr. Gandhi was obliged to sign a pact—called the Poona Pact which—conceded the political demands of the Untouchables he took his revenge by letting the Congress

employ houl electrone erring tactics to make their political rights of no avail.

In 1933, Mr Gandhi took up to movements. First was the Temple-entry Movement * He tock personal responsibility for seeing through these two measures. One was the opening of the Guruvavur temple The other was the passing of the Templeentry Bill sponsored by Mr Ranga lyer in the Central Legislature Mr Gandhi said that he would fast up to death if the trustee of the Guinvayur temple did not throw if open to the Untouchables by a certain date. The Guruvayur temple still remains closed to the Urtouchables but Mr. Gandhi has not fulfilled his vow of going on fast. Surprising at it may be he has done nothing to get the temple declared open to the Untouchables although it is now thirtien years since he took the vow. Mr Gandhi virtually coened the Governor-General to give his sanction to the introduction of the Temple-entry Bill The Congress party in the Central Legislature which was pledged to carry through the Bill refused to support it when the stage of referring it to a Select Committee came on the ground that the Bill gave offence to the Hindus and in the election that was pending the Hindus would seek revenge on the Congress and defeat it at the poll if the Congress supported the Bill To the great chagrin of Mr. Ranga Iyer, the Congress party let him down by leaving the Bill to die Mr Gandhi did not mind this He even went to the length of justifying the conduct of the Congress Party

The other movement which Mr Gandhi sponsored in 1933 was the establishment of the Harijan Sevak Sangh** with a network of branches all over India. There were three motives which lay beaund the organization of the Sangh. First was to prove that Hindus had enough charitable spirit towards the Untoucables and that they would show it by their generous contributions towards then uplift The second motive was to serve the Untouchables by helping them in the many difficulties with which they were lated in their daily life. The third motive was to create in the minds of the Untouchables a sense of confidence in the Hindus from whom they were estranged in matters' political None of the three objects has been realized. In the first flush the Hindus contributed a total of about 8 lakhs of rupees for the Sangh which is of course nothing as compared to the crores they have contributed for general political purposes After that they have gone dry The Sangh is now depending for its finance either on Government grants or on the income derived from the sale of Mr Ganshi's autographs or on the munificence of some wealthy

^{*} For details see Chapter IV

^{**} For details see Chapter V

merchant who makaes a contribution to the Sang., not because he loves the Uniouchables but because he thinks it profitable to please Mr. Gandai. The branches of the Sangh are being closed every year. The Sangh is contracting and contracting so rapidly that very con it will have only a certic and no discumference. That the Hindus have lost interest in the Sangh is not the only regrettable aspect of this activity of Mr. Gondai. The Saugh has not been able to secure he good will and the co-operation of the Untouchables for whose benefit it is supposed to have been started This is due to various reason. The work of the Sangh is of the most inconsequential kind. It does not eatch anyone's imagination. It neglects most urgent purposes for which the Untouchables need help and assistance. The Sangh rigorously excludes the Uniouenables from its management. The Untouchables are no more than beggars-mere recipicals of charity. The result is that the Untouchables feel no concern for the Sangh They look upon it as a foreign body set up by the Hindus with some ulterior motive. Here was an opportunity for Mr Gandhi to make the Sangh a real bridge between the Hindus and the Untouchables. He could make it a virile institution by improving its programme of work and by allowing the Untouchables to participate in its working. Mr. Gandhi has done nothing of the kind He has allowed the Sangh to languish It is dying peacefully and may perish ever during the life-time of Mr. Gandhi

There need be no surprise if this survey of Mr Gandhi's anti-Untouchability campaign, of his sayings and his doings baffles and puzzles the reader. There need be no wonder if the reader were to pause and ask a few questions on the lines set out below to clear his own mind.

- (i) In 1921, Mr. Gandhi collected 1 crore and 35 lakhs of rupees for the Tilak Swaraj Fund. Mr Gandhi insisted that there was no possibility of winning swaraj unless Untouchability was removed. Why did he not protest when only a pairry sum of Rs 43,000 was given to the cause of the Untouchables?
- (2) In 1922 there was drawn up the Bardoli Programme of constructive work. Uplift of the Untouchables was an important item in it. A Committee was appointed to work out the details. The Committee never functioned and was dissolved and the uplift of the Untouchables as an item in the constructive programme was dropped. Only Rs. 500 were allotted to the Committee for working expenses. Why did Mr. Gandhi not protest against this niggardly and stepmotherly treatment of the Committee by the Congress Working Committee? Why did not Mr. Gondhi support Swami Shradhanand who was fighting with the Congress Working Committee for large funds being assigned to the Com-

mittee? Why did not Mr. Gandhi protest against the dissolution of the Committee? Why did not Mr. Gandhi appoint another Committee? Why did he allow the work for the Untouchables to drop out as though it was of no importance?

- (3) Mr Gandhi had at the very outset of his campaign for Swaraj insisted that there were five conditions precedent for winning swaraj: (1) Hindu-Moslem Unity. (11) Removal of Untouchability; (11) Universal adoption of hand-spum and handwoven khcdi: (11) absolute non-violence and (11) complete non-co-operation. Mr. Gandhi had not only laid down these conditions but had told Indians that without the fulfilment of these conditions there could be no Swaraj. In 1922, he fasted for the sake of Hindu-Moslem unity. In 1924, he made production of hand-spun yarn the basis of franchise for Congress membership. Why did he not make non-observance of Untouchability the basis of Congress franchise in 1924 or at any time subsequent thereto?
- (4) Mr Gandhi has gone on fast many a time to achieve a variety of objects which are dear to him. Why has Mr. Gandhi not fasted even once for the sake of the Untouchables?
- (5) Mr Gandhi has devised satyagraha as a weapen to redress wrongs and to win freedom and has practised it against the British Government. Why has not Mr Gandhi started satyagraha even once against the Hindus on behalf of the Untouchables for securing admission to wells, temples and other public places to which access is denied by the Hindus?
- (6) Following Mr Gandhe's lead the Untouchables started satyagiaha from 1929 onwards against the Hindus for admission to wells and temples. Why did Mr Gandhi condemn their satyagiaha
- (7) Mr. Gaudhi declared that he would fast if the Guruvayur temple was not thrown open to the Untouchables by the Zamoria. The temple has not been thrown open—Wny did not Mr. Gaudhi go on fast?
- (8) Mr. Gandhi in 1932 threatened the British Government with dire consequences if the Governor General did not give permission to Mr. Ranga Iyer to introduce his Temple-entry Bill on behalf of the Congress Party in the Central Legislature. As fresh elections to the Central Legislature were announced the Congress Party withdrew its support to the Bill and Mr. Ranga Iyer had to drop it. If Mr. Gandhi was earnest and sincere about Temple-entry, why did Mr. Gandhi support the action of the Congress Party? What was more important—Temple-entry for the Untouchables or Electoral victory to the Congress?

- (9) Mr Gandhi knows that the difficulty of the Unfouchables dose not he in their not having civic rights. Their difficulty has in the consequence of the Unious who threaten them with dire consequence of the Unious who threaten them with dire way of helping the Unious habes is a have some organization for the protection of civic rights which will undertake the duty of prosecuting Hindus who assault the Unious history proclaim social and economic boycott against them and thereby prevent them from exercising their civic rights. Why sid not Mi. Gandhi include this as one of the objects of the Harijan Sevak Sangh?
- (10) Before Mr. Gandhi came on the scene the Depressed Classes Mission Society was formed by the cast. Hindus for the uplift of the Untouchables. The moneys were subscribed by the Hindus. Yet the Society's affairs were conducted by Joint Boards consisting of Hindus and Untouchables. Why has Mr. Gandhi excluded the Untouchables from the management of the Harijan Sayak Sangh?
- (11) It Mr Gandhe is the real friend of the Uniouchables, why did he not leave it to the Uniouchables to decide whether political safeguards were the best means for their protection? Why did he go to the length of making a pact with the Muslims in order to isolate and defeat the Untouchables? Why did Mr. Gandhe declare a fast unto death the object of which was to deprive the Untouchables of the benefit of the Communa! Award by this extreme form of coercion?
- (12) After having accepted the Poona Pact, why did not Mr. Gandhi keep faith with the Untouchables by relling the Congress not to despoil the politics of the Uniouenables by contesting the seats reserved for the Untouchables by getting such Untouchables elected as were prepared to become the tools of the Hindus?
- (13) After having accepted the Poona Pact why did not Mr Gandhi keep up the gentleman's agreement and instruct the Congress High Command to include representatives of the Untouchables in the Congress Cabinets?
- (14) Why did Mr. Gandhi disapprove of the appointment by Dr. Khare of Mr Agnibhoj—a member of the Scheduled Castes—as a minister in the Congress Cabinet in the C.P. when Mr Agnibhoj was in every way qualified to be Minister? Did Mr. Gandhi say that he was opposed to the creation of such high ambitions among persons belonging to the Scheduled Castes?

111

What is the explanation that Mr. Gandhi has to offer? What is the explanation that Mr Gandhi's friends have to offer? Mr

Gandhi's anti-untouchability campain is market by so many twists and turns, inconsistencies and contradictions, attacks and suirenders, advances and retreats that the whole campaign has become a matter of mystery. Few have a belief in its efficacy and quite a large number hold that there is not enough earnestness and sincerity behind it. Some explanation is therefore necessary. It is more for the sake of Mr. Gaudhi's reputation for earnestness and sincerity than for the sake of giving a clear understanding of Mr. Gaudhi's aims and methods to the reader that one would like Mr. Gaudhi and his friends to explain the point raised in the foregoing questions.

It would no doubt be interesting to know what Mr. Gandhi and his friends may have to say in reply to these questions. Everybody interested in this question will naturally be looking forward to it. It will not however do for anyone else to anticipate the reply and then deal with it. They must be left to frame it in their own was and select their own time to do so. In the meantime one may well ask what the Untouchables have to say about Mr. Gandhi and his anti-untouchability campaign. It is not difficult to state what view the Untouchables take of Mr. Gandhi's anti-untouchability campaign.

Do the Untouchables regard Mr. Gandhi as being in earnest? The answer is in the negative. They do not regard Mr Gandhi How can they? How can they look upon a as being in earnest man being in earnest who when in 1921 the whole country was aroused to put Ba. Joli programme in action remained completely indifferent to the anti-untouchability part of it? How could they look upon a man as being in earnest who, when out of 1 crore and 25 lakhs of Swaraj Fund, found that only 43 thousands rupees were allotted to the cause of the Untouchables did not raise any protest at this niggardly treetment of a long neglected cause? How can they regard a man as being in earnest who when in 1924 he got an opportunity to impose upon the Hindus the obligation to remove Untouchability did not do so even though he had the power and the occasion to enforce it? Such a step would have served three purposes. It would have put the nationalism of Congresmen to test. It would have helped to remove Untouchability, and it would have proved that Mr. Gandhi was sincere in his talks about the evil of Untouchability and its being a sin and a stigma on Hinduism Way did not Mr. Gandhi do it? Does this not show that Mr. Gandhi was more interested in the spread of spinning than in the 1emoval of Untouchability? Does this not show that removal of Untouchability was the least part of Mr. Gandhi's programme and that it was not even last? Does it not show that the statements by Mr. Gandhi that Untouchability is a blot on Hinduism and that there will no be Swaraj without

the removal of Untouchability were just empty phrases with no earnestness behind them? How could they believe in the earnestness of a person who takes a vow to fast if the Guruvayur temple is not opened to the Untouchables but will not go on fast even when the temple remains closed? How could the accept a man to be in earnest when he sponsors a Bill for securing Templeentry and subsequently becomes a party to depping it? How could they accept the earnestness of a man who contents himself with saying that he will not go into a temple if it is not upon open to the Untouchables when what is required of him is to adopt every means to get the temples thrown open to the Untouchables? How could they believe in the earnestness of a man who is ready to fast for everything but will not fast for the Untouchables? How can they believe in the earnestness of a man who is prepared to practise satyagraha for everything and against everybody but who will not practise it against the Hindus for sake of the Untouchables? How can they believe in the earnestness of a man who does nothing than indulge in giving sermons on the evils of Untouchability?

Do they regard Mr. Gandhi as honest and sincere? The answer is that they do not regard Mr. Gandhi as honest and sincere. At the outset of his campaign for Swataj Mr. Gandhi told the Untouchables not to side with the British. He told them not to embrace Christianity or any other religion. He told them that they could find salvation in Hinduism. He told Hindus that they must remove Untouchability as a condition precedent to Swaraj. Yet in 1921 when only a paltry sum out of the Tilak Swaraj Fund was allotted to the Untouchables, when the Committee to plan the uplift of the Untouchables was unceremoniously wound up Mr. Gandhi did not raise a word of project.

Mr. Gandhi had under his command a sum of Rs. 1 crore and 25 lakhs belonging to the Tilak Swaraj Fund Why did Mr Gandhi not insist upon a substantial portion of this amount being That Mr Gandhi ear-marked for the uplift of the Untouchables? showed almost complete indifference to the cause of the Untouchables is beyond dispute. What is surprising is the explanation which Mr. Gandhi offered for his indifference He said that he was busy in planning a a campaign to win swaraj and that he had no time to spare for the cause of the Uniouchables. He not only did not blush at his explanation but he offered a meral justification for his indifference to the cause of the Untouchables He took the stand that there was nothing wrong ir his devoting himself entirely to the political cause of India to the exclusion of the cause of the Untouchables for in his opinion the good of the whole includes the good of the part and that as the Hindus are slaves of the British, salves cannot emancipate slaves. Phrases such as 'slaves of slaves' and 'greater includes the less' may be admitable dialectics, though they cannot have more truth than the saying that because the country's wealth has increased, therefore everybody's wealth has increased. But we are not considering Mr. Gandhi's ability as a disjectician. We are testing his sincerity. Can we accept a man's sincerity who evades his responsibility and contents himself with an excuse? Can the Untouchables believe that Mr Gandhi is the charmon of their cause?

How can they regard Mr Gander as honest and sincere if they consider Mr. Gandhi's conduct towards them and towards the Muslims and Sikhs in the matter of constitutional safeguards?

Mr Gandhi used to justify his discrimination between the Scheduled Castes and other Minorities in the matter of constitutional safeguards by another plea. The plea was that there were historical reasons, which compel him to re-ognize the Muslims and the Sikhs He has never explained what those reasons are. They cannot be other than those, which hold the Muslims and the Sikhs as the fragments of old ruling communities. One does not mind Mr. Gandhi having succumbed to such puerile and undemocratic arguments, though he could have insisted that he would treat all minorities on equal basis and would not give any weight to such illogical and irrelevant considerations. The question is: How could the admission of such a plea have prevented Mr Gandhi from opposing the demand of the Scheduled Castes? Why did Mr. Gandhi regard himself as bound by no other reasons except the historical reasons? Why did not Mr Gardhi think that if historical reasons were decisive in the case of Muslims and Sikhs. moral reasons were decisive in the case of the Untouchables? The fact is that the plea of historical reasons is a hollow plea. It was not a plea at all. It was an excuse for not conceding the demand of the Untouchables

Mr. Gandhi is never so much disgusted as he is when he is confronted with the question of Majority versus Minority. He would like to forget it and ignore it. But circumstances will not let him do either and he is often forced to deal with the issue. The last time he dealt with it was no the 21st October 1939 in the form of an Editorial in the Harijan under the heading "The Fiction of Majority." The article is full of venom and Mr. Gandhi has not hesitated to pour all the ridicule he could on those who were constantly raising the question. In the article he vehemently denied that the Muslims are a Minority. He denied that the Sikhs are a Minority and denied that the Indian Christians are a Minority. His contention was that they were not minorities in the technical sense of Oppressed Communities they were minorities

they were to in he numerical sense only, which meant that they were no minorities at all. What did Mr. Gandhi have to say about the Sheddel Courses? Could be deny their contention that they are a Minority? Let me quote Mr. Gandhi's own words. Mr. Gandhi said.—

"I have endeavoured to show that there is no such things as real minorities in India whose rights can be endangered by India becoming independent. With the exception of the Depressed Classes there is no minority which is not able to take care of itself."

Here is an admission on the pair of Mr. Gandhi that the Scheduled Casies are a minority in the real sense of the word and that they are the only minority in India who will not be able to take care of themselves in a free India governed by a Hindu Communal Majority Notwithstanding this inner conviction Mr Gandhi maintained in a most vehement manner that he would not concede any political safeguards to the Uniterchables. How can the Untouchables accept such a man as sincere and honest?

Mr. Gandhi opposed the demands of the Untouchables for political safeguards at the Round Table Conference. He did everything to defeat the object of the Untouchables. To weaken the force behind their demand and isolate them he tried to buy over the Muslims by offering to concede the whole of their fourteen demands. Mr Gandhi at the meeting of the Minorities Sub-Committee had said "Who am I to oppose the demand of the Untouchables if the Committee gave it its approval." It was wrong for Mr. Gandhi to have tried to defeat the verdict of the Committee by offering to give the Mushims their full demand formulated in Mr. Jinnah's fourteen points in return for their agreeing to oppose the demands of the Scheduled Castes!! His was a most subile piece of strategy. He offered tue Musalmans a most difficult choice between having their 14 points and withdrawing their support to the demand of the Untouchables or siding with the Untouchables and losing their 14 points. In the end Mr. Gandhi's strategy failed and neither did the Muselmans lose their 14 points nor did the Untouchables lose their case. But the episode remains as a witness to Mr. Gandhi's perfidy. What else can be the appropriate description of the conduct of a man who offers criminal inducement to another for getting him to break his promise, who calls a person his friend and then contrives to stab him in the back? How can such a man be regarded by the Untouchables as honest and sincere?

Mr. Gandhi left the decision of the communal question to the arbitration of the British Prime Minister. Notwithstanding Mr. Gandhi's efforts to defeat the Untouhables His Majesty's Government conceded them their political demands. As a party to the

arbitration Mr Gandhi was bound to abide by the decision Gandhi decided to defy it and he did it by going on a fast unto death Mr Gandhi sook India and the the world outside by The object of the Fast was to compel the his Fast unto Death British Government to withdraw the Constitutional Safeguards which the Biltish Prime Minister had proposed in his Award for the protection of the Untouchables ander the new Constitution One of Mr Gundhi's disciples has described the fast as an Epic Why it should be described as an Epic Fast it is not easy to follow. There was nothing heroic about it. It was the opposite of heroic It was an adventure. It was launched by Mr. Gandhi because he believer' that both the Untouchables and the British Government would quake before his threat of fast unto death. and surrender to his demand. Both were prepared to call off his bluff and as a matter of fact did call it off. All his heroism vanished the moment Mr Gandhi found that he had overdone the trick. The man who started by saying that he would fast unto deth unless the satuguards to the Untouchables were compeletely withdrawn and the Untouchables reduced to the condition of utter helplessness without rights and without recognition was plaintively pleading "My life is in your hands, will you save me?" Mr Gandhi's overimpatience to sign the Poona Pact-though it did not cancel the Prime Minister's Award as he had demanded but only substituted another and a different system of constituent safeguards-is the strongest evidence that the hero had lost his courage and was anxious to save his face and anyhow save his life.

There was nothing noble in the fast. It was a foul and filthy act. The Fast was not for the benefit of the Untouchables. It was against them and was the worst from of coercion against a helpless people to give up the constitutional safeguards of which they had become possessed under the Prime Minister's Awaid and agree to live on the mercy of the Hindus. It was a vile and wicked act. How can the Untouchables regard such a man as honest and sincere?

After having gone on a fast unto death, he signed the Poona Pact—People say that Mr. Gandhi sincerely believed that political safeguards were harmful to the Untouchables. But how could a honest and sincere man who opposed the political demands of the Untouchables who was prepared to use the Muslims to defeat them, who went on a Fast unto Death, in the end accept the very same demands—for there is no difference between the Poona Pact and the Communal Award—when he found that there was no use opposing, as opposition would not succeed? How can an honest and sincere man accept as harmless the demands of the Untouchables which once he regarded as harmful?

Do the Untouchables regard Mr. Gandhi as their friend and ally? The answer is in the negative. They do not regard him as their friend How can they? It may be that Mr Gandhi honestly believes that the problem of the Untouchables is a social problem. But how can they believe him to be their friend when he wishes to retain caste and abolish Untouchability it being quite clear that Untouchability is only an extended form of caste and that therefore without abolition of caste there is no hope of abolition of Untouchability? It may be that Mr. Gandhi honestly believes that the problem of Untuochables can be solved by social processes. But how can the Untouchables regard a man as their friend who develops a fanatic and frantic opposition to political processes being employed when everyone was agreed that the use of political processes cannot mar the effect of social process and may be depended upon to help and accelerate the solution of the How could a man be regarded as the friend of the Untouchables when he does not believe the Untouchables reaching to places of power and authority in the State. In this controversy over political safeguards Mr. Gandhi could have pursued any of the following courses. He could have been the champion of the Untouchables As such, he should not only have welcomed their demand for safeguards but he should have proposed them himself without waiting for the Untouchables to do so. Not only should he have proposed them himself but he should have fought for them. For, what could give greater happiness to a genuine champion of the Untouchables than to see that provision was made to enable them to become members of the Legislature, Ministers of Executive, and occupants of high officers in the State? Surely, if Mr. Gandhi is a champion of the Untouchables these are the very provisions he should have fought for. Secondly, if he did not wish to be the champion of the Untouchables, he could have been their ally could have helped them by giving them his moral and material support. Thirdly, if Mr. Gandhi did not like to play the part of a champion and was a verse to be even an ally of the Untouchables, the next thing he could have done, consistent with his proclaimed and much advertised sympathies for the Untouchables, was to be their friend. Again as a friend he could have taken up the attitude of benevolent neutrality—declining to fight but ready to render all help for getting the demand for safeguards accepted. Failing benevolent neutrality he could have taken the attitude of strict neutralsty and could have told the Untouchables to get the safeguards if the Round Table Conference was prepared to give them and that he would neither help nor hinder. doning all these sober considerations Mr. Gandhi came out as an open enemy of the Untouchables. How can the Untouchables regard such a man as their friend and ally?

IV

That Mr. Gandhi's anti-untouchability campaign has failed to beyond cavil. Even the Congress papers admit it. I give below a few quotation from some of them

On 17th August 1939 Mr. B K Gaikwad, a member of the Scheduld Castes in the Bombay Legislative Assembly, asked a question as to how many temples in the Bombay Presidency were thrown open to the Uniouchables since 1932 when Mr. Gandhi started his Temple-entry movement. According to the figures given by the Congress Minister the total number of temples thrown open was 142. Of these 121 were ownerless temples standing on the wayside, which were under the care of nobody in particular and which nobody used as places of worship. Another fact revealed was that not a single temple was thrown open to the Uniouchables in Gujarat, the district which is the home of Mr. Gandhi.

Writing on 10th March 1940 the Harijan Bandu Mr. Gandhi's Gujarath; paper said:

"The Untouchablity of the 'Harijans' in the matter of entry into schools persists nowhere so much still as in Gujarat-"*

The Bombay Chronicle in its issue of 27th August 1940 reproduced an extract from a monthly letter of the Harijan Sevak Sangh. It

"States that Harijans of Godhavi in Ahmedabad District were so persecuted by cast Hindus for sending their children to Local Board School that ultimately 42 Harijan families left that place and went to the Taluka town of Sanand"

On 27th August 1943, Mr. M. M. Nandgaonkar, a leader of the Untouchables residing in Thana in the Bombay Presidency and ex-Vice President of Thana Municipality was refused tea in a Hindu hotel. The Bombay Chronicle commenting upon this incident in its issue dated 28th August 1943 said.

"When Gandhiji fasted in 1932, some feverish attempts were made to have some temples and hotels opened to Harijans Now the actual position is nearly what it used to be before with regard to temple entry and access to hotels. The cleanest Harijan is not admitted to temples and hotels. Yet many anti-Untouchability workers take a complacent view of these disabilities and patronisingly talk of 'uplift first' for Harijans saying that when Harijans learn to be clean, their civic disabilities will fall off automatically. This is rank nonsense"

^{*} Quoted from Sanjana's Sense an Nonsense in Polities

Writing on the proceedigs of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation held in Campure in January 1944 the Bombay Chronicle in its issue of 4th Fubruary 1944 said

"But such is the passivity of Hindu society that both caste and Untouchability still thrive. Nay, several Hindu leaders misguided by the interested propaganda by certain Britishers, still plead that there is some mysterious virtue in caste because Hindu culture has remained today. Else, they argue, caste would not have survived the shocks of centuries. It is most tragic to find that, in spite of all that Gandhiji and other reformers have done, Untouchability still persists to no small extent. It is most rampant in villages. Even a city like Bombay, a person known to be a sweeper, let alone a scavenger, however clean dressed he may be, is not allowed to enter a caste Hindu restaurant, nay, even an Irani's resturant for tea."

The Untouchables have always said that Mr Gandhi's anti-Untouchability campaign has failed. After 25 years of labour, hotels have remained closed, wells have remained closed, temples have remained closed and in very many parts of India—particularly in Gujarat—even schools have remained closed. The exacts Produced from the papers from therefore a very welcome testimony especially because the papers are Congress papers. As they fully corroborate what the Untouchables have been saying on the point, nothing further need be said on the subject except to ask one question.

Why has Mr. Gandhi failed? According to me, there are three reasons which has brougt about this failure.

The first reason is the Hindus to whom he makes his appeal for the removal of Untouchability do not respond. Why is this so? It is common experience that the words a man used and the effect they produce are not always commensurate. What he says has its momentum indefinitely multiplied, or reduced to nullity, by impression that the hearer for good reason or bad happens to have formed of spirit of the speaker. This gives a clue to know why Mr. Gandhi's sermons on Untouchability have completely failed to move the Hindus, why people hear his after-prayer sermons for few minutes and then go to the comic opera and why there is nothing more to it. The fault is not entirely of the Hindu public The fault is of Mr. Gandhi himself. Mr. Gandhi has built up his reputation of being a Mahatma on his being an harbinger of political freedom and not on his being a spiritual teacher Whatever may be his intentions, Mr Gandhi is looked upon as an apostle of Swaraj. His anti-Untouchability campagin is looked upon as a fad if not a side-show. That is why the Hindus respond to

his political biddings but never to his social or religious preaching. The momentum of his anti-Untouchability campagin must therefore remain a nullity. Mr. Gandhi is a political shoe-maker. He must stick to his political last. He thought he could take up the task of solving the social question. That was a mistake. A politician is not the man for it. That is why the hope held out to the Untouchables that Mr. Gandhi's sermons will do the trick has failed.

The second reason is that Mr. Gandhi does not wish to antagonize the Hindus even if such antagonism was necessary to carry out his anti-Untouchability programme. A few instances will illustrate Mr. Gandhi's mentality.

Most of Mr Gandhi's friends give credit to Mr. Gandhi for sincerity and earnestness for the cause of the Untouchables and expect the Union hables to believe in it on the mere ground that Mr. Gandhi is the one man who keeps on constantly preaching to the Hindus the necessity of removing Untouchability. They have lost sight of the old proverb that an ounce of practice is worth a ton of preaching and have never cared to ask Mr. Gandhi to explain why does he not cease to preach to the Hindus the necessity of removing Untouchablity and launch a campaign of satyagraha or start a fast. If they would ask for such an explanation they would know why Mr. Gandhi merely contents himself with sermons on Untouchablity.

The true reasons why Mr. Gandhi will not go beyond sermons were revealed to the Untouchables for the first time* in 1929 when the Untouchables in the Bombay Presidency opened a campaign of satyagraha against the Hindus for establishing their civic rights in the matter of temple-entry and taking water from public wells, They hoped to get the blessings of Mr. Gandhi in as much as satyagraha was Mr. Gandhi's own weapon to get worngs redressed. When appealed to for support, Mr. Gandhi surprised the Untouchables by issuing a statement condemning their campaign of satyagtaha against the Hindus. The argument urged by Mr. Gandhi was very ingenious. He stated that satyagraha was to be used only against foreigners; it must not be used against one's own kindred or countrymen and as the Hindus were the kindred and countrymen of the Untouchables by rules of satyagraha the latter were debarred from using the weapon against the former!! What a fall from the sublime to the ridiculous!

^{*} In 1924 in the Satyagraha at Vaikom the object of which was to get a public road in Travancore open to the Untouchables, Mr. Gandhi objected to the Sikhs opening a kitchen for the satyagrahis. The reason given by Mr. Gandhi was not stated quite so explicitly.

By this Mr Gandhi made nonsense of satyagraha. Why did Mr Gandhi do this? Only because he did not want to annoy and exasperate the Hindus.

As a second piece of evidence. I would refer to what is known as the Kavitha incident. Kavitha is a village in the Ahmedabad District in Gujarat. In 1935, the Untouchables of the village demanded from the Hindus of the village that their children should be admitted in the common school of the village along with other Hindu children. The Hindus were enraged at this outrage and took their revenge by proclaiming a complete social boycott. The events connected with this boycott were reported by Mr. A. V. Thakkar, who went to Kavitha to intercede with the Hindus on behalf of the Untouchables. The story told by him runs as follows:—

"The Associated Press announced on the 10th inst. that the Caste Hindus of Kavitha agreed to admit Harrjan boys to the village school in Kavitha and that matters were amicably This was contradicted on the 13th instant by the Secretary of the Ahmedabad Harrjan Sevak Sangh, who said in his statement that the Harijans had undertaken (privately of course) not to send their children to the school. Such an undertaking was not given voluntarily, but was extorted from them by the Caste Hindus, in this case the Garasias of the village. who had proclaimed a social boycott against poor Harijansweavers, chamars and others, who number over 100 families. They were deprived of agricultural labour, their animals of grazing in the pasture land, and their children of buttermilk Not only this, but a Harrjan leader was compelled to take an oath by Mahadev that he and others would not hereafter even make an effort to reinstate their children in the school. The so-called settlement was brought about in this way.

"But even after the bogus settlement reported on the 10th and the complete surrender by poor Harijans, the boycott was not lifted up to the 19th and partly up to the 22nd from the weavers. It was lifted somewhat earlier from the head of the chamars, as Garasias themselves could not remove the carcasses of their dead animals, and thus had to come to terms with the Chamars earlier. As if the enormities perperated so far were not enough, kerosine was poured into the Harijan's well, once on the 15th instant and again on the 19th instant. One can imagine what terrorism was thus practised on poor Harijans because they had dared to send their children to sit alongside of the 'princely' Garasia boys.

"I met the leaders of the Garasias on the morning of the 22nd. They said they could not tolerate the idea of boys of

Dheds and Chamars sitting by the side of their own boys. I met also the District Magistrate of Ahmedabad on the 23rd with a view to finding out if he would do something to ease the situation, but without any result

Harijan boys are thus practically banned from the village school with nobody to help them. This has caused despondency among the Harijans to such an extent that they are thinking of migrating in a body to some other village."

This was a report made to Mr. Gandhi. What did Mr. Gandhi do? The following* is the advice Mr Gandhi gave to the Untouchables of Kavitha:—

"Thre is no help like solf-help. God helps those who help themselves—If the Harijans concerned will carry out their reported resolve to wipe the dust of Kavitha off their feet, they will not only be happy the needed. If people migrate way for others who may be similarly treated. If people migrate in search of employment how much more should they do so in search of self-respect? I hope that well-wisers of Harijans will help these poor families to vacate inhospitable Kavitha"

Mr Gandhi advised the Untouchables of Kavitha to vacate But why did he not advise, Mr. Thakkar to prosecute the Hindus of Kavitha and help the Untouchables to vindicate their rights? Obviously, he would like to uplift the Untouchables if he can but not by offending the Hindus. What good can such a man do to promote the cause of the Untouchables? All this shows that Mr Gnndhi is most anxious to be good to the Hindus. That is why he opposed satyagiaha against the Hindus. That is why he opposed the political demands of the Untouchables as he believed that they were aimed against them. He is anxious to be so good to the Hindus that he does not care if he is thereby becoming good for nothing for the Untouchables. That is why Mr. Gandhi's whole programme for the removal of Untouchability is just words, words and words and why there is no action behind it

The third reason is that Mr Gandhi does not want the Untouchables to organize and he strong. For he fears that they might thereby become independent of the Hindus and weaken the ranks of Hindus. This is best illustrated by the activities of the Harijan Seva Sangh. The whole object of the Sangh is to create a slave mentality among the Untouchables towards their Hindu masters. Examine the Sangh from any angle one may like and the creation of slave mentality will appear to be its dominant purpose.

^{*} Harijan, dated 5th October 1935.

The work of the Sangh reminds one of the mythological demoness Putana described in the Bhagvat—a companion to the Maha-bharat. Kamsa the king of Mathurra, wanted to kul Krishna, as it was predicted that Kamsa will die at the hands of Krickna. Having come to know of the birth of Krishna, Kamsa, asked Putana to undertake the mission to kill Krishna while he was yet a bady Putana took the form of a beautiful woman and went to Yashoda, the foster mother of Krishna and having applied liquid poison to her breast pleaded to be employed as a wet nurse for suckling the baby Krishna and thus have the opportunity to kill The rest of the story it is unnecessary to pursue. The point of the story is that the real purpose is not always the same as the ostensible purpose and a nurse can be a murdress. The Saugh is to the Untouchables what Putana was to Krishna under the pretence of service is out to kill the spirit of independence from among the Untouchables The Untouchables, in the early stages of their agitation, had taken the support of some well-meaning Hindus and had followed their leadership. By the time of the Round Table Conference, the Untouchables had become completely self-reliant and independent. They were no longer satisfied with charity from the Hindus. They demanded what they said was their right. There is no doubt that it is to kill this spirit of independence among the Untouchables that Mr Gandhi started the Harijan Sevak Sangh. The Harijan Sevak Sangh by its petty services has collected a swarm of greateful Untouchables who are employed to preach that Mr. Gandhi and the Hindus are the saviours of the Untouchables. Damel O' Connel the Irish leader once said that no man can be greteful at the cost of his honour, no woman can be grateful a the cost of her chairty and no country can be grateful at the cost of its liberty. The Untouchables are too simple-minded to know that the cost of the service which the Harnan Sevak Sangh offers to render is loss of independence. This is exactly what Mr. Gandhi wants.

The worst part of the activities of the Harijan Sevak Sangh is the help rendered to the Untouchable students kept in the hostels maintained by the Sangh. These Uniouchable students remind me of Bhishma and Kacha, two prominent characters which figure in the Mahabharata. Bhishma proclaimed with great show that the Pandavas were right and the Kauravas wrong. Yet when it came to a war between the two he fought on the side of the Kauravas and against the Pandavas. When asked to justify his conduct he was not ashamed to say that he fought for the Kauravas because they fed him. Kacha belonged to the community of the Devas who were engaged in a war against the Rakshasas. The spiritual head of the Rakshasas knew a manria (incantation) by which he could revive a dead Rakshasas. The Devas were losing the

battle since their head did not know the mantra and could not revive their dead. The Devas planned to send Kacha to the head of the Rakshasas with instructions somehow to learn the mantra and come back. Kacha in the beginning could not succeed. Ultimately he entered into an agreement with Devayani the daughter of the spiritual head of the Rakshasas that if she helped him to acquire the mantra he would be prepared to marry her. Devayani succeeded in fulfilling her part of the contract. But Kacha refused to perform his part alleging that the interests of his community were more important than his promise to her.

Bhishma and Kacha, in my opinion, are typical of the morally depraved characters who know no other purpose but to serve their own interests for the time being. The Untouchable students in the Harijan hostels are acting the part of both Bhishma and Kacha. During their stay in the hostels they play the part of Bhishma by singing the praises of Mr Gandhi and the Congress. When they come out of the hosteles they play the part of Kacha and denounce. Mr. Gandhi and the Congress. I am extremely pained to see this. Nothing worse could happen to the youth of the Untouchables than this moral degeneration. But this is the greatest disservice which his Haijan Sevak Sangh has done to the Untouchables It has destroyed their character. It has destroyed their independence This is what Mr. Gandhi wants to happen.

Take a fourth illustration. The Sangh is run by the Caste There are some Untouchables who have demanded that the institution should be handed over to the Untouchables and should be run by them. Others have demanded that the Untouchables should have representation on the governing Board. Gandhi has flatly refused to do either on two very ingenious grounds which no man with the greatest cunning could improve. Mr Gandhi's first argument is that the Harijan Sevak Sangh is an act of penance on the part of the Hindus for the sin of observing Untouchability. It is they who must do the penance. Therefore the Untouchable can have no place in running the Sangh. dly Mr. Gandhi says the money collected by him is given by the Hindus and not by the Untouchables and as the money is not of the Untouchables, the Untouchables have no right to be on the Governing Body The refusal of Mr. Gandhi may be tolerated but his arguments are most insulting and a respectable Untouchable will be forgiven if he refuses to have anything to do with the Sangh. One should have thought that the Harnan Sevak Sangn was a Trust and the Untouchables its beneficiaries. Any trio in law would admit that the beneficiaries have every right to know the aims and objects of the firust, its funds and whether the objects are properly carried out or not The beneficiaries have even the right to have the Trustee removed for breach of turst On that basis it would be

impossible to deny the claim of the Untouchables for representation on the Managing Board. Evidently Mr. Gandhi does not wish to accept this position. A self-respecting Untouchable who has no desire to cringe and who does not belive in staking the future of the Untouchables on the philanthropy of strangers cannot have any quarrel with Mr. Gandhi. He is quite prepered to say that if meanness is a virtue then Mr. Gandhi's logic is superb and Mr. Gandhi is welcome to the benefit of it Only he must not blame the Untouchables if they boycott the Sangh.

These however could not be the real reasons for not allowing the Untouchables to run the Sangh. The real reasons are different In the first place, if the Sangh was handed over to the Untouchables Mr. Gandhi and the Congress will have no means of control over the Untouchables The Untouchables will cease to be dependent on the Hindus In the second place, the Untouchables having become independent will cease to be grateful to the Hindus These consequences will be quite contrary to the aim and object, which have led Mr. Gandhi to found the Sangh. He wants to create among the Untouchables what is known among Indian Christians as the mission compound mentality. That is why Mr. Gandhi does not wish to hand over the Sangh to the control and management of the Untouchables. Is this consistent with a genuine desire for the emancipation of the Untouchables? Can Mr. Gandhi be called a liberator of the Untouchables? Does this not show that Mr. Gandhi is more anxious to tighten the tie which binds the Untouchables to the apron strings of the Hindus than to free them from the thraldom of the Hindus?

These are the reasons why Mr. Gandhi's anti-Untouchability campaign has failed.

V

To sum up, can it be said that Mr. Gandhi has recovered the title deeds to humanity which the Untouchables have lost? Obviously not. Those title deeds are still with the Hindus. He has done nothing to recover them Nor has he helped the Untouchables to recover them On the contrary, Mr. Gandhi has put every obstacle in their way. The Untouchables feel that their title deeds to humanity—which means their emancipation from their thraldom of the Hindus—can be secured by them by political power, and by nothing else. Mr. Ghandhi, on the other hand, belives that his preaching and the charity and zeal of the Hindus are sufficient panacea for all the ills of the Untouchables. Can the Untouchables rely on a sustained flow of Hindu charity and Hindu zeal? Charity which has its fury is worth talking about Zeal which has its vengeance is worth building upon.—But which friend of the Untouchables can ask them to depend upon

the miserable measure of Hindu charity and the Hindu zeal? Untouchability has been in existence for the last two thousand years during which period the Hindus have day in and day out sucked the very blood of the Untouchables and have mutilated them and trodden upon them in every way. During these two thousand years what amount of charity have the Hindus done to the Untouchables? Only 8 lakhs and that too when Mr Gandhi personally went round the country with a begging bowl!!! Having put his programme to test, Mr Gandhi might have shown his willingness to concede the Untouchables' demand for political power as their only means of salvation. Indeed so obvious is the justice of this demand that a man with no more than common sense could have understood that executive power in the hands of the Untouchables or uld do more in a year than the whole order of preaching friars could be relied upon to do in a century. But the very idea of political power to the Untouchables is hateful to Why should not the Untouchables say Beware of Mr. Gandhi Mr Gandhi' when they know that he would not allow the use of political processes for the emancipation of the Untouchables though Mr Gandhi is fully alive to the fact that the social processes on which he laid so much store for helping them have completely failed.

In this connection one is reminded of the attitude of President Lincoln in the American Civil War towards the two questions of union and slavery. This attitude is well revealed by the correspondence* that passed in 1862 between Mr. Horace Greeley and President Lincoln In a letter addressed to the President entitled "The Prayer of Twenty Millions," Mr. Greeley said

"On the face of this wide earth, Mr. President, there is not one disinterested, determined, intelligent champion of the Union cause who does not feel that all attempts to put down the rebellion and at the same time uphold its inciting cause (namely slavery) are preposterous and futile"

To this, President Lincoln's reply was

"If there be those who would not save the Union unless they could at the same time save slavery, I do not agree with them

"If there be those who would not save the Union unless they could at the same time destroy, slavery, I do not agree with them.

"My paramount object is to save the Union, and not either to save or to destroy slavery

[•]Works of Abraham Lincoln Vol. XI pp xu-xu.

"If I could save the Union without neering any slave, I would do it. If I could save it by freeing all the slaves, I would do it—and if I could do it by freeing sone and leaving others alone, I would also do that"

These were the views of President Lincoln about Negro slavery and its relation to the ques ion of Urion They certainly throw very different light on one who is reputed to be the liberator of the Neg:oes. A, a matter of fac, he did not beneve in the emancipation of the Negroes as a categorical imperative usly the author of the famous Gattysberg of 21 con about Government of the people, by the people and for the people would not have minded if his statement had taken the shape of go ternment of the black people by the white people and for the write people provided there was union Mr. Gandni, at these towards Swaraj and the Untouchables resembles ver, much the attitude of President Lincoln towards the two questions of the Negioes and the Mr. Gandhi wanis Swaraj as did President Lincoln want But he does not want Swaraj at the cos, of disrupting the structure of Hinduism which is what political eman aption of the Untouchables means as President Lincoln did not want to free the slaves if it was not necessary to do so for the sake of the Union. There is of course this difference between Mr Gandhi and President Lincoln. President Lincoln was prepared to emancipate the Negro slaves if it was necessary to preserve the Union. Mr. Gandhi's attitude is in marked contrast. He is not prepared for the political emancipation of the Untouchables even if it was essential for winning Swaraj. Mr Gandhi's attitude is let Swaraj perish if the cost of it is the political freedom of the Untoubhables

Some Untouchables are probably under the impression that all this is a matter of the dead past and that Mr. Gandhi having accepted the Poona Pact cannot now oppose the political demands of the Uniouchables for as a party to the Poona Pact Mr. Gandhi must be assumed to have conceded that the Uniouchables are a separate element in the national life of India. This is a complete misunderstanding. For there are grounds to believe that the Poona Pact has made no difference in Mr. Gandhi's view and he still maintains the same attitude to the Untouchables' claim for political safeguards as he* did at the Round Table Conference and before the Poona Pact. These grounds have their foundation in the fact that when His Majesty's Government declared in 1940 that the Untouchables are a separate element in the National life of India and that their consent to the Constitution is necessary Mr. Gandhi came out with a protest. When the Viceroy Lord Linlithgow referred to the Untouchables as a separate element and

^{*}Haruan dated 13th October 1940.

said that their consent to the Constitution was necessary, Mr. Gandhi said*:—

"I felt that the putting up by the Viceroy, and then the Secretary of State of want of agreement by the Congress with the Princes, the Muslim League and even the Scheduled Classes as a barrier to the British recognition of India's right to freedon was more than unjust to the Congress and the people."

"The introduction of the Scheduled Classes in the controversy has made the unreality of the case of the British Government doubly unreal. They know that these are the special care of the Congress, and that the Congtess is infinitely more capable of guarding their interests than the British Government. Moreover, the Scheduled Classes are didived into as many castes as the Caste Hindu Society. No single Scheduled classes member could Possibly and truthfully represent the innumerable castes"

The argument advanced by Mr. Gandhi is puerile. It may be pointed out that in the hurry he made in stating his opposition to the position assigned to the Scheduled Castes by the Viceroy, Mr. Gandhi forgot that if the Scheduled Castes are divided into many castes and no single caste could represent them all, the case of the Muslims and the Indian Christians is in no way different, Muslims are divided into three groups. (1) Sunnis, (2) Shias and (3) Momins each of which consists of many castes who interdine but do not intermarry. Indian Christians are divided into (1) Catholics, and (2) Protestants. Catholics are again sub-divided into (1) Caste Christians and (2) Non-caste Christians Both Catholics and Protestants have eastes which do not intermarry and caste Christians and Non-caste Christians do not even interdine or go to the same church. This shows that Mr. Gandhi notwithstanding his being a party to the Poona Pact is determined not to allow the Scheduled Castes being given the status of a separate element and that he is prepared to adopt any argument however desperate to justify his attitude of opposition.

In short Mr. Gandhi is still on the war path so far as the Untouchables are concerned. He may start the trouble over again. The time to trust him has not arrived. The Untouchables must still hold that the best way to safeguard themselves is to say 'Beware of Mr. Gandhi.'

^{*}Harijan, dated 13st October 1940.

CHAPTER XI

GANDHISM

The Doom of the Untouchables

I

Hitherto when Indians have been talking about the reconstruction

of Indian social and economic life they have been talking in terms of individualism versus collectivism, capitalism versus socialism, conservatism versus radicalism and so on. But quite recently a new 'ism' has come on the Indian horizon. It is called Gandhism. It is true that very recently Mr. Gandhi had denied that there is such a thing as Gandhism. This denial is nothing more than the usual modesty which Mr. Gandhi wears so well. It does not disprove the existence of Gandhism There have been quite a number of books with the title of Gandhism without any protest from Mr. Gandhi It has already caught the imagination of some people both inside and outside India. Some have so much

people both inside and outside India. Some have so much faith in it that they do not hesitate to offer it as an alternative to Marxism.

The followers of Gandhism who may happen to read what is said in the foregoing pages may well ask. Mr. Gandhi may not have done what the Untouchables expected him to do. but does not Gandhism offer any hope to the Untouchables? The follo-

wers of Gandhism may accuse me of remembering only the short,

slow, intermittent steps taken by Mr. Gandhi for the sake of the Untouchables and of forgetting the potential length of the principles enunciated by him. I am prepared to admit that it does sometimes happen that a person who enunciates a long principle takes only a short step and that he may be forgiven for the short step in the hope that some day the principle will by its native dynamics force a long step covering all who were once left out. Gandhism is in itself a very interesting subject for additional to the content of the sake of the United States of the content of the sake of the United States of the principle will be in the sake of the United States of the Candhi but the United States of the United States o

deal with Gandhism after having dealt with Mr Gandhi is bound to be a tedious task and therefore my first reaction was to leave out the consideration of Gandhism and Untouchables. At the same time, I could hardly remain indifferent to the facts that the effect of my omission to consider the subject might be very unfortunate. For Gandhists, notwithstanding my exposure of Mr Gandhi, might take advantage of it and continue to preach that

in a first in the in the little of the

still the Untouchables will find their salvation in Gandhism. It is because I wish to leave no room for such propaganda that I have overcome my original disinclination and engage upon discussion of Gandhism.

m

What is Gandhish? What does it stand for? What are its teachings about economic problem? What are its teachings about social problem?

At the outset it is necessary to state that some Gandhists have conjured up a conception of Gandhism which is purely imaginary According to this conception Gandhism means return to the village and making the village self-sufficient. It makes Gandhism a mere matter of regionalism. Gandhism, I am sure, is neither so simple nor so innocent as regionalism is Gandhism has a much bigger content than regionalism. Regionalism is a small insignificant part of it. It has a social philosophy and it has an economic philosophy. To omit to take into account the economic and social philosophy of Gandhism is to present deliberately a false picture of Gandhism. The first and foremost requisite is to present a true picture of Gandhism.

To start with Mr Gandhi's reachings on social problem Mr. Gandhi's views on the caste system—which constitutes the main social problem in India—were fully elaborated by him in 1921-22 in a Gujarathi Journal called Nava-Jivan. The article* is written in Gujarathi I give below an English translation of his views as near as possible in his own words. Says Mr. Gandhi.

- "I I believe that if Hindu Society has been able to stand it is because it is founded on the caste system
 - "2. The seeds of Swaraj are to be found in the caste system
 Diffierent castes are like different sections of military division
 Each division is working for the good of the whole

"3. A community which can create the caste system must be said to possess unique power of organization.

"4. Caste has a ready made means for spreading primary education. Every caste can take the responsibility for the education of the children of the Caste Caste has a political basis. It can work as an electorate for a representative body Caste can perform judicial functions by electing persons to act as judges to decide disputes among members of the same caste. With castes it is easy to raise a defence force by requiring each caste to raise a brigade.

^{*}It is reprinted in Vol. II of the series called Gandhi Sikshun as No. 18

- "5. I believe that interdining or intermarriage are not necessary for promoting national unity. That Jining together creates friendship is contary to experience. If this was true there would have been no war in Europe Taking food is as dirty an act as answering the call of nature. The only difference is that after answering call of nature we get peace while after eating food we get discomfort. Just as we perform the act of answering the call of nature in seclusion so also the act of taking food must also be done in seclusion.
- "6. In India children of brothers do not intermarry Do they cease to love because they do not intermarry? Among the Vaishnavas many women are so orthodox that they will not eat with the members of the family nor will they drink water from a common water pot. Have they no love? The Caste system cannot be said to be bad because it does not allow interdining or intermarriage between different Crates"
- "7. Caste is another name for control. Caste puts a limit on enjoyment. Caste does not allow a person to transgress caste limits in pursuit of his enjoyment. That is the meaning of such caste restrictions as interdining and intermarriage.
- "8 To destory caste system and adopt Western European social system means that Hindus must give up the principle of hereditary occupation which is the soul of the caste system. Hereditary principle is an efernal principle. To change it is to create desorder. I have no use for a Brahmin if I cannot call him a Brahmin for my life. It will be a chaos if every day a Brahmin is to be changed into a Shudra and a Shudra is to be changed into a Brahmin.
- "9. The caste system is a natural order of society. In India it has been given a religious coating. Other countries not having understood the utility of the Caste System it existed only in a loose condition and consequently those countries have not derived from Caste system the same degree of advantage which India has derived.

These being my views I am opposed to all those who are out to destory the Caste System."

In 1922, Mr Gandhi was a defender of the caste system irsuing the inquiry, one comes across a somewhat critical vie the caste system by Mr. Gandhi in the year 1925. This is what Gandhi said on 3rd February 1925.—

"I have support to caste because it stands for restraint But at present caste does not mean restraint, it means limitations. Restraint is glorious and helps to achieve freedom.

1

1

[

1

But limitation is like chain. It binds. There is nothing commendable in castes as they exist today. They are contrary to the tenets of the shastras. The number of castes is infinite and there is a bar against intermarriage. This is not a condition of elevation. It is a state of fall."

In reply to the question What is the way out Mr. Gandhi said:

"The best remedy is that small castes should fuse themselves into one big caste. There should be four such big castes to that we may reproduce the old system of four varnas."

In short, in 1925 Mr. Gaudhi became an upholder of the Varna system.

The old Varna system prevalent in ancient India had society divided into four orders (1) Brahmins, whose occupation was learning; (2) Kshatriyas whose occupation was warfare, (3) Vaishyas, whose occupation was trade and (4) Shudras, whose occupation was service of the other cllasses. Is Mr. Gandhi's Varna System the same as this old Varna system of the orthodx Hindus? Mr. Gandhi explained his Varna system in the following terms*:

- "1. I believe that the divisions into Varna is based on birth.
- the way of the Shudra acquiring learning or studying military art of offence or defence. Contra it is open to a Kshatriya to serve The Vavna system is no bar to him. What the Varna system enjoins is that a Shudra will not make learning a way of earning a living. Nor will a Kshatriya adopt service as a way of earning a living. [Similarly a Brahmins may learn the art of war of trade. But he must not make them a way of earning his living. Contra a Vaishya may acquire learning or may cultivate the art of war. But he must not make them a way of earning his living]
- "3. The varna system is connected with the way of earning a living. There is no harm if a person belonging to one varna acquires the konwledge or science and art specialized in by persons belonging to other varnas. But as far as the way of earning his living is concerned he must follow the occupation of the varna to which he belongs which means he must follow the hereditary profession of his forefathers.

^{*}The extracts are taken from an article by Mr. Gandhi on the subject and is reproduced in the Varin Vayavastha—a book which contains Mr. Gandhi's writings in original Gujarathi.

- "4. The object of the sarra system is to prevent competition and class struggle and class war. I believe in the sarra system because it fixes the duties and occupations of persons.
- "5. Varia means the determination of a man's occupation before he is born
- "6. In the Varna system no man has any liberty to choose his occupation. His occupation is determined for him by heredity."

Turning to the field of economic life, Mr. Gandhi stands for two ideals:

One of these is the opposition to machinery. As early as 1921 Mr. Gandhi gave vent to his dislike for machinery. Writing in the Young India of 19th January 1921, Mr. Gandhi said

"Do I want to put back the hand of the clock of progress? Do I went to replace the mills by hand-spinning and hand-weaving? Do I want to replace the rangeay by the country-cart? Do I want to destroy machinery altogether? These questions have been asked by some journalists and public men. My answer is: I would not weep over the pisappearance of machinery of consider it a calamity."

His opposition to machinery is well evidenced by his idolization of charkha (the spinning wheel) and by insistence upon handspinning and hand-weaving. This opposition to machinery and his love for charkha is not a matter of accident. It is a matter of philosophy. This philosophy Mr. Gandhi took special occasion to propound in his presidential address at the Kathiawad Political Conference held on 8th January 1925. This is what Mr Gandhi said.

"Nations are tired of the worship of lifeless machines multiplied ad infinium. We are destroying the matchless living machines viz., our own bodies by leaving them to rust and trying to substitute lifeless machinery for them. It is a law of God that the body must be fully worked and utilised. We dare not ignore it. The spinning wheel is the auspicious symbol of Sharir Yajna—body labour. He who east his food without offering this sacrifice steals it. By giving up this sacrifice we became traitors to the country and banged the door in the face of the Goddess of Fortune."

Anyone who has read Mr. Gandhi's booklet on Hind Swaraj (Indian Home Rule) will know that Mr Gandhi is against modern civilization. The book was first published in 1908. But there

has been no change in his ideology. Writing in 1921 Mr Gandhi said*:

"The booklet is a severe condemnation of 'modern civilization." It was written in 1908. My conviction is deeper today than ever. I feel that, if India would discard 'Modern civil ization' she can only gain by doing so"

In Mr. Gandhi's view**

"Western civilization is the creation of satan."

The second ideal of Mr. Gandh is the elimination of elasswar and even class struggle in the relationship between employers and employees and between landlords and tenants. Mr. Gandhi views on the relationship between employers and employees wer set forth by him in an article on the subject which appeared in the Na a-livan of the 8th June 1921 from which the following is an extrant:

"Two paths are open before India, either to introduce the Western principle of Might is right' or to uphold the Eastern principle that truth alone conquers, that truth knows no mishap, that the strong and the weak have alike a right to secure justice The choice is to begin with the labouring class Should the labourers obtain an increment in their wages by violence? Even if that be possible, they cannot resort to anything like violence, howsoever legitimate may be their claims. To use violence for securing rights may seem an easy nath, but it proves to be thorny in the long run. Those who live by sword die also by sword. The swimmer often dies by drowning. Look at Europe. No one seems to be happy there, for not one is contented. The labourer does not trust the capitalist and the capitalist has no faith in the labourer Both have a sort of vigour and strenght but even the bulls have it. They fight to the very bitter end. All motion is not progress. We have got no reason to believe, that the people of Europe are progressing. Their possession of wealth does not argue the possession of any moral or spiritual qualities.

"What shall we do then? The labourers in Bombay made a fine stand. I was not in a position to know all the facts. But this much I could see that they could fight in a better way. The millowner may be wholly in the wrong. In the struggle between capital and labour, it may be generally said that more often than not the capitalists are in the wrong box. But when

^{*}Young India, 26th January 1921

Manufult, p 65

labour comes fully to realise its strength, I know it can become more tyrannical than capital. The millowners will have to work on the terms dictated by labour, if the latter could command intelligence of the former. It is clear, however, that labour will never attain to that intelligence. If it does, labour will cease to be labour and become itself the master. The capitalists do not fight on the strength of money alone. They do possess intelligence and tact

"The question before us is this. When the labourers, remaining what they are, develop a certain consciousness, what should be their course? It would be suicidal if the labourers rely upon their numbers or brute-force, i.e., violence. By so doing, they will do harm to industries in the country. If, on the other hand, they take their stand on pure justice and suffer in their person to secure it, not only will they always succeed but they will reform their masters, develop industries and both master and men will be as members of one and the same family."

Referring to the same theme on another occasion Mr. Gandh aid*:

"Nor was it otherwise before. India's history is not on of strained relations between capital and labour."

Particularly noteworthy are the views of Mr. Gandhi on strike a weapon in the hand of the workers to improve their economic andition. Mr. Gandhi says**.

"Speaking, therefore, as one having handled large successful strikes, I repeat the following maxims, already in these pages, for the guidance of all strike leaders:

- (1) There should be no strike without a real grievance.
- (2) There should be no strike, if the persons concerned are not able to support themselves out of their own savings or by engaging in some temporary occupation, such as carding, spinning and weaving. Strikers should never depend upon public subscriptions or other charity
- (3) Strikers must fix an unalterable minimum demand, and declare it before embarking npon their strike.

"A strike may fail in spite of a just grievance and the ability of strikers to hold out indefinitely, if there are workers to replace them. A wise man, therefore, will not strike for inc-

^{*}Young India, February 23, 1922.

^{**} Young India, 11th August 1921. Italics not in the original.

rease of weeks or other comforts, if he feels that he can be easily to the but a philatiniopic or patriotic man will be keeped to a philatiniopic or patriotic man will be keeped to supply occup greater than the demand, when he keeped with his neighbor to the test to say, there is no room in a civil to the test to the supply for or in the shape of the test to the fine described by me for violence in the shape of the test to the fine described by the test to the fine described them to apply for or receive Congressian to the advised them to apply for or receive Congressian to the fine support. The value of the fine to the congressiant that there is the fine described financial aid. The merit of a symptom of the fine to the loss suffered by the fine to the loss suffered by the fine that the loss suffered by the lo

Mr. Grander on the relationship between landlords and the state of the state of the tenants of U.P. who has used to the U.P. who has used to the U.P. who has used to the U.P. who has used to the U.P. who has used to the U.P. who has used to the U.P. who has used to the U.P. who has used to the U.P. who has used to the U.P. who has used to the U.P. who has used to the U.P. who has used to the U.P. who has used to the U.P. w

"We will be do by Government is crossing the bounds of The server page to arybody who would not do as they w . 1951 are abe all social boycott and are turning it into an wire dent of specime. They are reported to have stopped to supply of water, barber and other paid services to their Zimindars in some instances and even suspended paymed the rent due to them. The Kisan movement has real day imputus from Non-co-operation but it is anterior to an appear, ent of it. Whilst we will not hesitate to advise the Kivano wien the moment comes, to suspend payment of taxes to Given hend it is not contemplated that any stage of Non-cooperation we would seek to deprive the Zamindars of their rent The Ksun movement must be confined to the improvement of storus of the Kisans and the butterment of the relations between the Limindurs and them. The Kisans must be advised scrupulously to abide by the terms of their agreement with the Zamindars, whether such is written or inferred from custom Where a custom or even a written contract is bad, they may not try to uproot it by violence or without previous reference to the Zamindars. In every case there should be a friendly discussion with the Zamindars and an attempt made to arrive at a settlement."

^{*}Italics are not in the original. Kisan means a tenant and zamindar mean adjord.

Mr Gandin does not wish to hot the properious class. He is even opposed to a campaign that, it is a first for economic equality. Referring the foreconomic equality. Referring the first said quite intentity that is the golden egg. He is then that lays the golden egg. He is the poor, between landlords and remains the employees is very a first themselves of their properious themselves. Trustees for the poor, a special content of the poor is a voluntary one carrying on , a special content of the poor is the p

Πī

Is there anything new in the Gindh and its specimento ills? Are the economics of Ginder and the grant of as Gandhism hold out to the electric transfer of the electric transfer of freedom, not the ely free electric transfer of grow to the full states and the electric transfer of grow to the full states are not to the electric transfer of the ele

There is nothing sea to be Given in the free printe ills in so far as it at inducts them to in the second section of that is built upon it. The second read of the civilization help to other the time and other that it is the civilization help to other the time and other that the ciansfer into soil few then soil also the ciansfer into soil few then soil also the ciansfer into soil few then soil also the ciansfer into soil few then soil also the cities of the ciansfer into soil few then soil also the cities of the cities of the cities of the cities of the cities of the cities of the cities in the caused directly and induce by by the leading tent of large cities with their smoke, did, wise, foul and tack of consume and out-occidite, slums, prostitution and using it living which they ming about, are all old and worn out arguments. There is nothing new in them. Gandhism is merely repeating the views of Rousseau, Ruskin, Tolivoy and their school

The ideas which go to make up Gandhism are just primitive. It is a return to nature, to animal life. The only merit is their simplicity. As there is always a large corps of simple people who are attracted by them, such simble ideas do not die, and there is always some a mpleton to preach them. There is, however, no doubt that the practical instincts of men—which seldom go wrong—have found them infinitial and which society in search of progress has thought it best to reject.

The economics of Gandhism are hopelessly fallacious. The fact that machinery and modern civilization have produced many

evils may be admitted. But these evils are no argument against them. For the evils are not due to machinery and modern civilization. They are due to wrong social organization which has made private property and pursuit of personal gain matters of absolute sanctity. If machinery and civilization have not benefited everybody the remedy is not to condemn machinery and civilization but to alter the organization of society so that the benefits will not be usurped by the few but will accrue to all.

In Gandhism the common man has no hope. It treats man as an animal and no more. It is true that man shares the constitution and functions of animals, nutritive, reproductive, etc. But these are not distinctively human functions. The distinctively human function is reason, the purpose of which is to enable man to observe, meditate, cogitate, study and discover the beauties of the Universe and enrich his life and control the animal elements in his life Man thus occupies the highest place in the scheme of animate existence. If this is ture what is the conclusion that follows? The conclusion that follows is that while the ultimate goal of a brute's life is reached once his physical appetites are satisfied, the ultimate goal of man's existence is not reached unless and until he has fully cultivated his mind. In short, what divides the brute from man is culture. Culture is not possible for the brute, but it is essential for man. That being so, the aim of human society must be to enable every person to lead a life of culture which means the cultivation of the mind as distinguished from the satisfaction of mere physical wants. How can this happen?

Both for society and as well as for the individual there is always a gulf between merely living and living worthily. In order that one may live worthily one must first live The time and energy spent upon mere life, upon gaining of subsistence detracts from that available for activities of a distinctively human nature and which go to make up a life of culture. How then can a life of culture be made possible? It is not possible unless there is sufficient leisure. For it is only when there is leisure that a person is free to devote himself to a life of cultare. The porblem of all problems which human society has to face is how to provide leisure to every individual. What does leisure mean? Leisure means the lessening of the toil and effort necessary for satisfying the physical wants of life. How can lessure be made possible? Leisure is quite impossible unless some meas are found whereby the toil required for producing goods necessary to sansfy human needs is lessened What can lessen such toil? Only when machine There is no, other means of producing takes the place of man Machinery and modern civil zation are thus indispensable for emancipating man from leading the life of a brute, and for providing him with lessure and making a life of culture possible. The man who condemns machinery and modern civilization simply does not understand their purpose and the ultimate aim which human society must strive to achieve

Gandhism may be well suited to a society which does not accept democracy as its ideal. A society which does not believe in democracy may be indifferent to machinery and the civilization based upon it. But a democratic society cannot. The former may well contant itself with life of leisure and culture for the few and a life of toil and dividgery for the many. But a democractic society must assure a life of leisure and culture to each one of its citizens. If the above analysis is correct then the slogan of a democratic society must be machinery, and more machinery, civilization and more civilization. Under Gandhism the common man must keep on toiling ceaselessly for a pittance, and remain a brute. In short, Gandhism with its call of back to nature, means back to nakedness, back to squalor, back to poverty and back to ignorance for the vast mass of the people.

The division of life into separate functions and of society into separate classes may not be altogether obliterated. In spite of many social and economic changes, in spite of the abolition of legal serfdom, legal slavery and the spread of the nation of democracy, with the extension of science, of general education through books, newspapers, travel and general intercourse in schools and factories there icinain, and perhaps will remain enough cleavage in society into a learned and an ignorant class, a leisure and a labouring class

But Gandhism is not satisfied with only national class distinctions. Gandhism insists upon class structure. It regards the class structure of society and also the income structure as sacrosanct with the consequent distinctions of each and poor, high and low, owners and workers as permanent parts of social organization. Form the point of view of social consequences nothing can be more pernicious Psychologically, class structure sets in motion influences which are harmful to both the classes. There is no common plane on which the privileged and the subject classes There is no endosmosis, no give and take of life's hopes and experiences The social and moral evils of this separation to the subject class are of course real and obvious. It educates them into slaves and creates all the psychological complex which follows from a slave mentality. But those affecting the privileged class, though less material and less perceptible, are equally real. The isolation and exclusiveness following upon the class structure creates in the privileged classes the anti-social spirit of a gang. It feels it has interests 'of its own' which it makes its prevailing

purpose to protect against everybody even against the interests of the State. It makes their condica war to their art showy, their wealth annous and their morners as dious Practically speaking in a callettar fure there is, on the one hand, tyranny, vanity, pride, airoganic, greed, seidsmess and on the other, insecurity poverty, degradation, loss of liberty, self-reliance, independence. dignity and self-respect. Democratic society cannot be indifferent to such consequences. But Gandhism does not mind these consequences in the leas'. It is not enough to say that Gandhism is not satisfied with mere class distinctions. It is not enough to say that Gandhism believes in a class structure. Gandhism stands for more than that. A class structure watch is a faded, jejune, effete thing-a mere sentementality, a mere skelton is not what Gandhism wants It wants class structure to function as a living faith. this there is nothing to be surprised at For class structure in Gandhism is not a mere accident. It is its official doctrine.

The idea of trusteeship which Gandhism proposes as a panacea by which the moneyed classes will hold their properties in trust for the poor is the most ridiculous part of it. All that one can say about it is that if anybody else had propounded it the author would have been laughed at as a silly fool who had not known the hard realities of life and was deceiving the servile classes by telling them that a little does of moral rearmament to the propertied classes—those who by their insatiable cupidity and indomitable arrogance have made and will always make this world a vale of tears for the toiling millions—will recondition them to such an extent that they will be able to withstand the temptation to misuse the tremendous powers which the class structure gives them over servile classes

The social ideal of Gandhism is either caste of varia. Though it may be difficult to say which, there can be no doubt that the social ideal of Gandhism is not democracy. For whether one takes for comparison caste or varia both are fundamentally opposed to democracy. It would have been something if the defence of caste system which Gandhism offers was strong and honest. But his defence of the caste system is the most insensible piece of rhetoric one can think of. Examine Mr. Gandhi's arguments in support of caste and it will be found that everyone of them is specious if not puerile. To run through the arguments summarized earlier in this Cnapter*.

The first three arguments call for pity That the Hindu Society has been able to stand while others have died out of disappeared is hardly a matter for congratulation. If it has survived it is not because of caste but because the foreigner who conquered the

^{*}Sea pages 258-259

Hindus did not find it necessary to kil' them wholesale. There is no honour in mere survival. What matters is the plane of sit rival. One can survive by uncondition is surjender. One can turvive by beating a cowardly restea, and the can surviving fighing On what plane have the Hindus surn ed? If the your he said to have survived after fighting and or the gifted exemies the an attachmed to the caste system by his Gazani could be a sitted history of the Hindus has ocen one of so, sender - ogent so render. It is fine others have sume detail to their invalued. But in their case surrender is followed by a "Lyolt against the freigh ruler. The Hindus have not only never within the condaction of the foleign invader, they have ever even shown the caractly to organize a received to throw off the to agn or se O_ the Other hand the Hindus have bied to make sievery coir", a de On inis one may will argue the company name of as this resposs concilion of the Findus is and on hely to a easte system

Argument in pala 4 is globe But it chen bus is that caste is an apply man the side of a regime and a real as the solead of p may en ha war a jud halse not be a ves. Chesspiroant, new and a matter the change such fencions in those easy about dance as leading as Sech tandar is that became it is a supplied to their court is the best er than they want been in india s though they rive to the system. As the using the cases as haves from he me ... un sine thea is surply tames the Under the companions the contraction the caste system thus a unchink the Mr Gamer knows that oca single casto in his own Picyince of Gapathas ever us da nothery unit lided for do i in the present world Wa Burn do not do so elen mine last World War, when Mr. Cononit is a lange of ga Gujarat is a Recentifing Agene of British Imperiors in Tree is under the easts system a general modulization of the people in case to us impossible since medilization requires a gene of a legation of the occupational theory under-tying the caste system

Arguments contained in paras 5 and 6 are as rund as they are revolving. The argument in rara 5 is held to a figure at. It is quite true the family is an ideal unit in which there is charged with love and affection for another member authough there is no intermarriage among members of a family. It may even be conceded that in a Vaish lava lamily members of the family do not interdine and yet they are full of love and affection for one another. What does all this prove? It does not prove that interdining and intermarrying are not necessary for establishing fractionity. What it proves is that where there are other means of the arching fraternity—such as consciousness of family the—interdining and intermarriage are not necessary. But it cannot be denied that where—as in the caste system—no binding

force exists inter-marriage and inverdining are absolutely essential There is no analogy between family and caste Inter-caste dinner and inter-caste marriage are nesessary because there are no other means of binding the different castes together while in the case of a family there exists other forces to bind them together. Those who have insisted upon the ban against interdining and intermarriage have treated it as a question of relative values. They never elevated it to the level of a question of absolute value Mr Gandhi is the first one to do it. Interdining is bad and even if it was capable of producing good it should not be resorted to and why? Because eating is a filthy act, as filthy as answering the call of nature! The caste system has been defended by others But this is the first time I have seen such an extraordinary if not a shocking argument used to support it. Even the orthodox may say. "Save us from Mr. Gandhi." It shows what a deep-dyed Hindu Mr. Gardhi is. He has outdone the most orthodox of orthodox Hindus It is not enough to say that it is an argument of a cave man It is really an urgument of a mad man.

The argument in faour of the easte system ourlined in para 7 is not worth much in terms of building up moral strength. The caste system no doubt prohibits a man from satisfying his lust for a woman who is not of his caste. The easte system no doubt prohibits a man from satisfying his craving for food cooked in the house of a man who is not of his easte. If morality consists of obseving restraints without regard to the sense or sensibility of restraints then the easte system may be admitted to a moral system. But Mr Gandhi does not see that these easy restraints are more than balanced by vast liberties permitted by Hinduism places no restraint upon a man marrying hundred women and keeping hundred prostitutes within the admit of his easte. Nor does it stop him from indulging in his appetite with his castemento any degree.

The argument in para 8 begs the whole question The hereditary system may be good or may not be good. It may be agreeable to some It may be disagreeable to others. Why elevate it into an official doctrine? Why make it compulsory? In Europe it is not an official doctrin and it is not compulsory. It is left to the choice of an individual most of whom do follow the profession of their ancestors and some don't. Who can say that compulsory system has worked better than the voluntary system? If a comparison of the economic condition of the people in India and the people of Europe is any guide there would be very few rationally-minded people who would be found to support the caste system on this ground. As to the difficulty in changing nomenclature to keep pace with frequent changes in occupation it is only artificial. It arises out of the supposed necessity of

having labels for designating persons following a particular profession. The class lables are quite unnecessary and could well be abolished altogether without causing difficulty. Besides what happens today in India? Men's callings and their class labels are not in accord. A Brahmin sells shoes. Nobody is disturbed because he is not called a Chamar. A Chamar becomes an officer as the State. Nobody is disturbed because he is not called a Brahmin. The whole argument is based on a misunderstanding What matters to society is not the label by which the individual's class is known but the service he offers.

The last argument set out in para 9 is one of the most astounding arguments I have heard in favour of the caste system. It is historically false. No one who knaws anything about the Manu Smriti can say that the caste system is a natural system. What does Manu Smriti show? It shows that the caste system is a legal system maintained at the point of a bayonet. If it has survived it is due to (1) prevention of the masses from the possession of arms. (2) denying to the masses the right to education and (3) depriving the masses of the right to property. The caste system far from natural is really an imposition by the ruling classes upon the servile classes.

That Mr. Gandhi changed over from the caste system to the vaina system does not make the slightest difference to the charge that Gandhism is opposed to democracy. In the first place, the idea of varna is the parent of the idea of caste. If the idea of caste is a pernicious idea it is entirely because of the viciousness of varna. Both are evil ideas and it matters very little whether one believes in varna or in caste. The idea of varna was most mercilessly attacked by the Buddhists who did not believe in it. dox or the Sanatan Vedic Hindus had no rational defence to offer All that they could say was that it was founded on the authority of the Vedas and that as the Vedas were infallible so was the varna system. This argument was not enough to rave the vaina system against the rationalism of the Buddhists. If the idea of the varna survived it was because of the Bhagvat Gita, which gave a philosophical foundation to the varna system by arguing that the varna was based on the innate qualities of man. The Bhagvat Gita made use of the Sankhya philosophy to bolster and buttress the varna idea which would have otherwise perered away by making sense of a thing that is absolute nonsense. Bhagvat Gita had done enough mischief by giving a fress lease of life to the varna system by basing it upon a new and plausible foundation, namely that of innate qualities

The varna system of the Bhagvat Gita has at least two merits. It does not say that it is based on birth. Indeed it makes a special

point that each man's va na is fixed according to his innate qualities. It does not say that the occupation of the son shall be that of the father. It says that the profession of a person shall be according to his invite qualities, the profession of the father accor ding to the father's innate quality and that of the son according to the sir's ranate qualities. But Mr Gandhi has given a new interpolation of the varna system. He has chagged it out of recognition. Under the old orthodox interpretation caste connoted hereditary occupation but varna did not. Mr. Gandhi by nis own while has given a new connotation to the varia. With Mr Gandhi varna is determined by birth and the profession of a varna is determined by the principle of heredity so that varna is merly another name for caste. That Mr Gandhi changend from caste to yerna does not indicate the growth of any new tevil-honary idectory. The gentus of Mr. Gandhi is elvish, always and through out. He has all he precedity of an elf with no little of its outward guise like an elf he can never grow up and grow out of the caste idenlogy.

Mr. Gradh sometimes speake on social and economic subjects as the equal was a biushing Red. Those who will study Gandhism will not be precived by the occasional aberrations of Mr. Gandhi in a the of demonstry and against capitalism. For Gandhism is in no sense a revolutionary creed. It is conservatism in excelsis Solar as In him concerned, it is a reactionary are diblazoning on its hannel the bill of Remain to Autiquity. Gandhism aims at the resuscitation and reanimation of India's dread, dying past

Gandhism is a paradox. It stands for freedom from foreign domination, which means the distriction of the existing political structure of the country. At the same time it seeks to maintain intact a sit if structure which permits the domination of one class by another on a hereditary basis which means a perpetual domination of one class by another. What is the explanation of this paradox? Is it a part of a strategy by Mr Gandhi to win the whole-hearted support of the Hindus, in thodox and unorthodox, to the campaign of Swaraj? If it is the latter, can Gandhism be regarded as honest and sincere? Be that as it may there are two features of Gandhism which are revealing but to which unfortunately no attention has so far been paid. Whether they will make Gandhism more acceptable than Marxism is another matter. But as they do help to distinguish Gandhism from Marxism, it may be well to refer to them.

The first special feature of Gandbism is that its philosophy helps those who have, so keep what they have and to prevent those who have not from getting what they have a right to get No one who examines the Gandhian attitude to strikes, the

Gandhian reverence for Caste and the Gandhian doctrine of Trusteeship by the rich for the benefit of the poor can dent that this is upshot of Gandhism. Whether this is the calculated result of a deliberate design or whether it is a matter of accident may be open to argument. But the fact remains that Gandhism is the philosophy of the well-so-do and the lessure class.

The second special feature of Gandhism is to delade people into accepting their misfortunes by presenting them as best of good fortunes. One or two illustrations will suffice to bring out the truth of this statement

The Hindu sacred law penalized the Shudras (Hindus of the fourth class) from acquiring wealth. It is a law of enforced poverty unknown in any other part of the world. What does Gandhism do? It does not lift the bar. It blesses the Shudra for his moral courage to give up property!! It is well worth quoting Mr Gandhi's own words. Here they are*.

"The Shudra who only serves (the higher case) as a matter of religious duty, and who will never own any property, who indeed has not even the ambition to own an thing, is deserving of the said obeisance. The very Gods will shower down flowers on him.

Another illustration in support is the attitude of Gandhism towards the scavenger. The sacred law of the Hindus iay, down that a scavenger's progeny shall five by scovenging. Under Hindusian scaveging was not a matter of choice, it was a marter of force. What does Gandhism do? It seeks to perpetuate this system by praising scavening as the noblest service to society !! Let me quote Mr Gandhi. As a President of a Conference of the Untouchables, Mr. Gandhi said**

"I do not want to attain Moksha. I do not want to be reborn. But if I have to be reborn. I should be born an untouchable, so that I may share their sorn as, sufferings and the affronts levelled at them, in true, that may endeavoure to tree myself and them from that muse in a condition. I, therefore prayed that if I should be born again, I should a so not as a Brahmin, Kshatriya, Vaishya, or Shudia, but as an Atishudra.

"I love scavenging. In my Ashram, an eighten years old Brahm nelad is doing the scavenger's work in order to the the Ashram scavenger cleanliness. The lad is no reformer. He was been and bred in orthodoxy. But he felt that his

^{*}Quoted from Varna Vyavastha, p 51

^{**} Young India, 27th April 1921:

accomplishments were incomplete until he had become also a perfect sweeper, and that, if he wanted the Ashram sweeper to do his work well, he must do it himself and set an example

"You should realize that you are cleaning Hindu Society."

Can there be a worse example of false propaganda than this

attempt of Gandhism to perpetuate evils which have been deliberately imposed by one class over another? If Gandhism preached the rule of poverty for all and not merely for the Shudra the worst that could be said about it is that it is a mistaken idea But why preach it as good for one class only? Why appeal to the worst of human failings, namely, pride and vanity in order to make him voluntarily accept what on a rational basis he would resent as a cruel discrimination against him? What is the use of telling the scavenger that even a Brahmin is prepared to do scavenging when it is clear that according to Hindu Shastras and Hindu notions even if a Brahmin did scavenging he would never be subject to the disabilities of one who is a born scavenger? For in India a man is not a scavenger because of his work. He is a scavenger because of his birth irrespective of the question whether he does scavenging or not If Gandhism preachad that scavenging is a noble profession with the object of inducing those who refuse to engage in it, one could understand it But why appeal to the scavenger's pride and vanity in order to induce him and him only to keep on to scavenging* by telling him that scavenging is a noble profession and that he need not be ashamed of it? To preach that poverty is good for the Shudra and for none else, to preach that scavenging is good for the Untouchables and for none else and to make them accept these onerous impositions as voluntary purposes of life, by appeal to their failings is an outrage and a cruel joke on the helpless classes which none but Mr Gandhi can perpetuate with equanimity and impunity. In this connection one is reminded of the words of Voltaire who in repudiation of an 'ism' very much like Gandhism said . "Oh! moekery to cay to people that the suffering of some brings joy to others and works good to the whole! What solace is it to a dying man to know that from his decaying body a thousand worms will come into life ?"

Criticism apart, this is the technique of Gandhism, to make wrongs done appear to the very victim as though they were his privileges. It there is an 'ism' which has made full use of religion as an opium to ull the people into false beliefs and false security, it is Gandhism Following Snakespeare one can well say: Plausibility! Ingenuity! Thy name is Gandhism

^{*}Some of the Provinces of India have laws which make refusal by a scavenge to do scaven; us a m fo which ne can be tried and punished by a criminal court

IV

Such is Gandhism. Having known what is Gandhism the answer to the question, Should Gandhism become the law of the land what would be the lot of the Untouchables under it,' cannot require much scratching of the brain. How would it compare with the lot of the lowest Hindu? Enough has been said to show what would be his lot should the Gundhian social order come into In so far as the lowest Hindu and the Untouchable belong to the same disinherited class, the Untouchable's lot connot be better. If anything it might easily be worse. Because in India even the lowest man among the Caste Hindus-why even the aboriginal and Hill Tribe man-though educationally and economically not very much above the Untouchables is still superior to the Untouchables. It is not he regards hunself as superior to the Untouchables. The Hindu society accepts his claim to superiority over the Untouchables The Untouchable will therefore continue to suffer the worst face as he does now namely, in prosperity he will be the last to be employed and in depression the first to be fired.

What does Gandhism do to relieve the Untouchables from this fate? Gandhism professes to abolish Untouchability. That is hailed as the greatest virtue of Gandhism. But what does this virtue amount to in actual life? To assess the value of this anti-Untouchability which is regarded as a very big element in Gandhism, it is necessary to understand fully the scope of Mr. Gandhi programme for the removal of Untouchability. Does it mean anything more than that the Hindus will not mind touching the Untouchables? Does it mean the removal of the ban on the right of the Untouchables to Education? It would be better to take the two questions separately.

To start with the first question, Mr Gandhi does not say that a Hindu should not take a bath after touching the Untouchables. If Mr. Gandhi does not object to it as a purification of pollution then it is difficult to see how Untouchability can be said to vanish by touching the Untouchables. Untouchability centres round the idea of pollution by contact and purification by bath to remove the pollution. Does it mean social assimilation with the Hindus? Mr Gandhi has most categorically stated that removal of Untouchability does not mean inter-dining or inter-marriage between the Hindus and the Untouchables. Mr. Gandhi's anti-Untouchability means that the Untouchables will be classed as Shudras instead of being classed as Ati-Shudras.* There is nothing more in it. Mr Gandhi has not considered the question whether the old Shudras will accept the new Shudras into their fold. If they

^{*} Young Indus 5th Fedruary 1925

don't then the removal of Untouchability is a senseless proposition for it will still keep the Unrouchables as a secart explicategor. Mr Gandai probably knows that the abilitation of the Unitediator by will not bring about the assimilation of the Unitediator by the Studras. That seems to be the reason with Gardhi timself has given a new and a different name to be the reason with Gardhi timself has given a new and a different name to be the fact. By calling the Uniousnables Hargans Mr Golffith has killed two birds with one stone. He has shown that the tion of the Uniousnables by the Shadras is not possible. He as also by his new name counteracted assimilation and made it impossible.

Reserving the second question, it is true that Gandhism is praparent. Comognitie de ban placed by the Histor Short as on the light of my Upton, lables to elication in point from o acquir ku willge and lerving Unit Garthan ne Uria . . ables may see a few, they may sendy members to the may soudy engineering or one of glelso they may famous Sofer good. But will the time as about the more assormant know and learning? Whit new have the dight to the mathematical ? Can the Lorest the corest of layer, doctor or enginee? To those questions for answer which Gannium gives san eighbanc "re." The Un buchables must follow their hees, and profession That the elections tre, are unclear is no answer in the before the ollupation became heredrary it was the result of force and not volition does not marter. The argument of Gandino a is that what is once sittled is seleled for over even if it was wrongly settled Under Gandhism the Uniquenables are to be elemal scavengers. There a no doubt hat the Unique believe would much prefer the arthodox system of Unioconability. A compulsory state of the line of up at appeal the Unioconability by the Hintu Shasing in the area gray that obt . But Gandi, an which compels an idelated Unicities to disseavenging is nothing short of crue. The gross is Bandhis e is a cursulant's worst form. The vir he of the an U reben' y pleak in Gand'asm is quite illusors. The eas no substance in it.

V

What else is here in Gindham which the United hables can actent as opening a way for then ultimate salvation? Barring this allowed tamping regards. Unlime hability Gardenin is simply another to a color to a which is the ancient name for militant orthodic Hindusin. What is there in Gardaism which is not to be found in eachodix Hindusm? There is eas'e in Hinduism,

^{*} See supra, Pages 275-77 for Mr Gandhi's views on the subject.

there is caste in Gandhism. Hinduism believes in the law of hereditary profession, so does Gandhism. Hinduism enjoins cowworship. So does Gandhism Hinduism uphoids the law of karma, predestination of man's condition in this would, so does Gandhism. Hinduism accepts the authority of the Shasiras. does Gandhism. Hinduism believes in avatars or incarnations of God So does Gandhism Hinduism believes in idols, so does Gandhism.* All that Gandhism has done is to find a philosophic justification for Hinduism and its doginas. Hinduism is baid in the sense that it is just a set of rules which bear on their face the appearance of a crude and cruel system. Ganchism supplies the philosophy which smoothens its surface and gives it the appearance of decency and respectability and so alters it and embellishes it as to make it even attractive. What philosophy does Gandhism propound to cover the rudity of Hinduism? This philosophy can be put in a nuishell. It is a philosophy which says that "All that is in Hinduism is well, all that is in Hinduism is necessary for public good." Those who are familiar with Voltaire's Candide will recognize that it is the philosophy of Master Pangiloss and recall the mockery Voltaire made of it. The Hindus are of course pleased with it. No doubt it suits them and accords with their interst Prof. Radhakrishnan-whether out of genuine feeling or out of sycophancy we need not stop to inquire—has gone to the lenght of describing Mr. Gandhi as 'God on earth' What do the Untouchables understand this to mean? To them it means that "This God by name Gandhi came to console an afflicted race. He saw India and changed it not saying all is well and will be, if the Hindus will only fulfil the law of caste. He told the afflicted 'I have come to fulfil the law of caste' Not a tittle, not a not shall I allow to abate from it."

What hope can Gandhism offer to the Untouchables? To the Untouchables Hinduism is a veritable chamber of horrors. The sanctity and infallibility of the Vedas, Smritis and Shas'ras, the iron law of caste, the heartless law of karma and the senseless law of status by brith are to the Unfouchables veritable instruments of torture which Hinduism has forged against the Untouchables. These very instruments which have mutilated, blasted and blighted the life of the Untouchables are to be found intact and untarnished in the bosom of Gandhism. How can the Untouchables say that Gandhism is a heaven and not a chamber of horrors as Hinduism has been? The only reaction and a very natural reaction of the Untouchables would be to run away from Gandhism.

Gandhists may say that what I have stated applies to the old type of Gandhism. There is a new Gandhism, Gandhism;

^{*} Mr, Gandhi's articles of faith have been outlined by him in Young Inda of 6th October 1921

without caste. This has reference to the recent statement* of Mr. Gandhi that caste is an anachronism Reformers were naturally gladdened by this declaration of Mr Gandhi. who would not be glad to see that a man like Mr Gandhi having such terrible influence over the Hindus after having played the most muschievous part of a social neactionary, after having stood out as the protagonist of the caste system, after having beguiled and befooled the unthinking Hindus with arguments which made no distinction between what is fair and foul should have come out with this recantation? But is this really a matter for jubilation? Does it chage the nature of Gandhism? Does it make Gandhism a new and a better 'ism than it was before. Those who are carried away by this recantation of Mr. Gandhi, forget two things. the first place all that Mr. Gandhi has said is that caste is an anachronism He does not say it is an evil. He does not say it is anothema Mr Gandhi may be taken to be not in favour of caste But Mr Gandhi does not say that he is against the Varna system And what is Mr. Gandhi's vaina system? It is simply a new name for the caste system and retains all the worst features of the caste system

The declaration of Mr. Gandhi cannot be taken to mean any fundamental change in Gandhism. It cannot make Gandhism acceptable to the Untouchables. The Untouchables will still have ground to say: "Good God! Is this man Gandhi our Saviour?"

^{*} Hindustan Times, 15th April 1945.

APPENDIX I

SHRADHANAND ON BARDOLI PROGRAMME FOR UNTOUCHABLES

Correspondence between Shami S'tradhanand and Pandit Mott Lal Nehru, General Secretary of the Congress, on the Congress Sub-Committee appointed in 1922 to frame a Scheme for the uplift of the Untouchables.

(1) SWAMI'S LETTER

The General Secretary, All India Committee, Camp, Delhi.

I acknowledge, with thanks, receipt of your letters Nos. 331 and 332 embodying resolutions of the Working Committee and of the All-Iudia Congress Committee about Uniouchability. I observe with pain, that the resolution of the All India Gorgiess Committee, as at present worded, does not include the whole of what was passed by the Committee.

The facts are these 'I sent the following letter to Mr. Vithal-Bhai Patel (the then) General Secretary on 23rd May 1922 which was also published by the pricipal dailies of the country.

'My DEAR MR. PATEL,

There was a time (vide Young India of 25th May, 1921) when Mahatmaji put the question of Untouchability in the forefront of the Congress programme I find now that the question of raising the Depressed Classes has been relegated to an obscure corner. While Khadi claims the attention of some of our best workers and a liberal sum has been earmarked for it, for the year, while a strong sub-committee has been appointed to look after national education and a special appeal for fund is to be made for the same, the question of the removal of Untouchability has been shelved by making small grants to Ahmedabad, Ahmednagar and Madras. am of opinion that with majority of 7 crores of our brethren set against us by the bureaucracy, even the Khidi Scheme cannot succeed completely. The Members of the Working Committee. perlraps, do not know that on this side, our suppressed brethern are leaving off khadi and taking to buying cheap foreign cloth. I want to move the following resolution in the meeting of the All-India Congress Committee which comes off on the 7th of June next at Lucknow, that a sub-committee consisting of there membere of the All-India Congress Committee be appointed to give effect to the resolution about the so-called Depressed Classes, that a sum of

five lakes of rupses be placed at their disposal for propaganda work and that in future all applications for grants be referred to the same sub-committee for disposal." My proposal was amended by the Working Committee and ran as follows—

"This Committee hereby appoints a committee consisting of Swami Shi, dranand, Mrs. Sarojini Naidu and Messis G. R. Deshpande and I. K. Yajink to formulate a scheme embodying practical measures to be adopted for bettering the condition of the fo-called Untouchables throughout the country and to place it for consideration before the next meeting of the Working Committee, the amount to be raised for the scheme to be Rs. 2 lakks for the present."

Mr. Patel asked me to accept the Working Committee's proposed resolution in toto. I refused to accept the Working Committee's resolution and in the very first sitting of the All-India Congress Committee substituted 5 likhs for 2 lakhs with the condition that one lakh of the same be allotted by the All-India Congress Committee out of the funds in its hands, in cash and an appeal be made for the balance.

Mr Rajagopalachar ar, on behalf of the Working Committee, proposed that instead of fixing the amount to be allotted out of the Congress funds now, it should be provided that when the Scheme was accepted by the Working Committee that Committee should allot as much cash as it could then spare for this purpose I do not recalled the exact words but the purpoit of the amendment as given above is, to my knowedge, true

On this an uproar arose and the query was pressed from all sides that the cash balance in the hands of the All-India Congress Committee ought to be announced. The President called me aside and told me in confidence that the Congress possessed very little cash balance and if pressed to disclose the true state of affairs it would narm the movement, as outsiders and even C I D people were also present. On this I accepted the amendment of Mr. Rajagopalachariar in spite of protests from my seconder and supporters. But my surprise was great when I found the resolution in the dailies, as reported by the Associated Press, shorn of Mr. Rajagopalachariar's amendment.

After the above resolution was passed, some members suggested that a convener of the sub-committee ought to be appointed and several members proposed me as the convener. On this, Mr. Vithalbhai Patel (the then General Secretary) got up and said "As Swami Shradhanand's name occurs first, naturally he will be the convener and therefore there was no need of moving and fresh resolution at all"

Members from all parts of the country began to give information to me about Untouchability to their provinces and pressed me to visit their parts. On this, I made some promises. Then I thought that without some cash for preiminary expenses, no enquiries on the spot could be made and hence no proper scheme could be formulated. I also learnt nat Rs. 25,000 had been voted by the Working Committee for "the Independent" of Aliambad and that an application for gran, of Rs. 10,000 to the Urdu daily Congress of Delhi had been placed by Hukim Ajmai Khan and Di. Ansari before the Working Committee. So, co. sidering that after all, the Congress might not be so hard pressed for cash, I wrote a letter addressed to the President, asking him to give the Untouchability Sub-Committee an advance of Rs. 10,000 for pre-liminary expenses

After all this, the following resolution of the Working Committee forwarded by your letter No 331 presents a very interesting reading:—

"Read letter from Swami Shradhanand, dated 8th June 1922 for an advance for drawing up a scheme for Depressed Class work—Resolved that Mr Gangadharrao B Dekhpande be appointed convener of the sub-committee appointed for the purpose and he be requested to convene a meeting at an early date, and that Swami Shradhanand's letter be referred to the Sub-Committee."

There is another matter which is inexplicable. After my first letter had been acknowledged, I addressed the following letter from Hardwar on 3rd June 1922:—

"My DEAR MR PATEL,

I shall leave Hardwar the day after tomorrow and reach Lucknow on the morning of June 6th. You know by now, that I feet the most for the so-called Depressed Classes. Even in the Punjab I find that no attention worth the name has been paid to this item of the constructive programme. In the U. P. of course it will be an uphill work. But there is another very serious difficulty.

The Bardoli programme in its note under item (4) lays down that where prejudice is still strong, separate wells and separate schools must be maintained out of the Congress Funds. This leaves a loophole for those Congress workers who are either prejudiced against the Depressed Classes or are weak and no work can be done in inducing people to agree to allow the Untouchables to draw water from common wells. In the Bijnoor District, I learn there was no restriction and the Untouchables drew water freely from common wells. But in some places, fresh prejudice is being

engendered under the aegis of the Bardon resolution note. In my recent visits to Ambala cant, Ludhiana, Batala, Lahore, Amritsa; and Jandiala, I found that the question of the removal of disabilities of the Uniouchables is being ignored. In and near Delhi, it is the Dalitodhar Sabha, of which I am the president, rather than the Congress which is doing appreciable work. I think that unless item (4) of the Bardoli constructive programme is amended in proper form, the work which I consider to be the most important plank in the Congress programme, will suffer.

Kindly place the following proposal before the President and if ne allows it to be placed before the new meeting of the All-India Congress Committee, I shall move it there—"Instead of the Note under item (4) of the Bardoli resolution substitude the following note.—

The following demands of the Depressed Classes ought to be complied with at once, namely that (a) they are allowed to sit on the same carpet with citizens of other classes; (b) they get the right to draw water from common wells and (c) their children get admisson into National schools and Colleges and are allowed to mix freely with students drawn from the so-called higher castes."

I want to impress upon the members of the All-India Congress Committee the great importance of this term. I know of cases where the Depressed Classes are in open revolt against tyranny of the so-called upper castes and unless the above demands are conceded to them, they will succumb to the machine of the bureaucracy.

After my first proposals were passed in the All-India Congress Committee Meeting on June 7th at Lucknow, I asked Mr Patel to put my proposed amendment of Note to item (4) of Bardon resolution before the meeting. He told me that the Working Committee would refer it to the Sub-Committee and asked me not to press it there. I agreed. But I have not received copy of my resolution of the Working Committee, referring my proposal to the Untouchability Sub-Committee

- The Untouchability question is very acute in and near Delhi and I have to grapple with it at ones. But the Sub-Committee cannot begin work off-hand because the Working Committee has to take several other political situations in the country into consideration before deciding upon any scheme of practical measures to be adopted for uprooting. Untouchability on behalf of the Congress. Under these circumstances, I cannot be of any use to the Sub-Committee and beg to resign from membership.

Yours sincerely, Shradhanand Sanyasi.

(2) SECRETARY'S REPLY

Dear Swamiji,

Your letter, dated June 1922 received in my office on the 30th of that month, has by a resolution of the Working Committee passed in Bombay on the 18th instant been referred to me with instructions to explain facts and request you to be good enough to reconsider your resignation from the Depressed Classes Sub-Committee.

As you are aware, I have no personal knowledge of the facts which happend prior to my release from the jail. But I was present at the meeting of the Working Committee which passed the resolution dated 10 June 1922, appointing Mr. Deshpande as the Convener of the Sub-Committee. It was not then mentioned that there was any under standing about any particular member acting as the convener of the Sub-Committee and the whole resolution was passed merely to complete the necessary formalities in regard to the payment of money. It was felt that a formal resolution of the Sub-Committee was necessary before any expenditure could be sanctioned. Mr Deshpande was accordingly appointed as the convener and a sum of Rs 500/- was voted for the expense of these preliminary steps. By an oversight, the resolution as drafted omitted to mention the sanction of Rs. 500/- You will thus observe that it was not due to the unwillingness of the Working Committee to sanction Rs 10.000/- for Untouchability, but the true reason for framing the resolution in the manner it was farmed was that I have explained above Nothing could be farther from the intention of the Working Committee than a desire to under-rate the importance of the work your Sub-Committee was called upon to do or in any way to ignore the valuable advice tenedred by you On your letter being placed before the last meeting of the Working Committee, the omission of the grant of R6 500/- was supplied and I was instructed to communicate with you on the subject. It will be a great pity if the Sub-Committee is deprived of the benefit of your experience and special knowledge of the whole question of Untouchability and I will ask you, therefore, in public interest, to reconsider your decision and wire to my office at Allahabad withdrawing your resignation from the Sub-Committee I need hardly add that any resolutions arrived at by your Sub-Committee will receive all the consideration they deserve at the hands of the Working Committee.

As to the alteration in the Working Committees' resolution in regard to separate wells and schools, the best course would be for your Sub-Committee to recommend the change and for the Working Committee to adopt it.

I am afraid you are under a misapprehension as regards the grant to The independent, of Allah bad, and The Congress of Delhi In reference to the former, all that has been done is to sanction the application of the U.P. Provincial Committee to advance as a loan to the "Nationalist Journals" Ltd, Rs. 25,000/- from the funds already granted to that committee and in reference to the latter, the application for a grant of a loan was wholly rejected.

Bombay, July 23, 1922.

Yours sincelely, Motilal Nehru, General Secretary

(3) Swamiji's Rejoinder

DEAR PANDIT MOTILALII,

I received your letter of 23rd July 1922 addressed from Bombay about my resignation from the Untouchability Sub-Committee, I am sorry I am unable to reconsider it because some of the facts brought out by me in my first letter have simply been ignored

- (1) Kindly enquire of Mr Rajagopalachariar whether I did not first propose that at least one lakh should be given in cash out of the funds in the hands of the Ali-India Congress Committee, whether he did not move an amendment substituting words for the above which purported to promise that when the plan of work formulated by the Sub-Committee was accepted by the Working Committee, that Committee would allot as much money for Untouchability department as it could then spare and whether I did not accept his amendment when the President called me aside and explained the exact financial position at the time—If this is the fact, then why did the amendment not appear with the resolution?
- (2) Did you enquire of Mr Vithalbhai J Patel whether the members of the All-India Congress Committee did not propose me as the convener of the Sub-Committee and whether he did not then say—"As Swami Shradhanand's name occurs first naturally he will be the convener and therefore there was no need of moving any fresh resolution at all?" I enquired about this from Dr Ansari and he wrote back to me on June 17th, 1922, saying that I was appointed convener—Dr. Ansari is with you and can verify it from him. I hope Mr. Patel has not forgotten all about it.
- (3) Then the immediate work among the Untouchables here is very urgent and I cannot delay it for any reason whatsoever Kindly have my resignation accepted in the next meeting of the Working Committee, so that I may be free to work out my own plan about the removal of Untouchability This was my position at the end of July last My experience in the Amritsar and

Minusali Jails and the information I gathered there have confirmed me in the belief that unless sexual purity (Brahmacharya) is revived on the ancient Aryan lines and the curse of Untouchability is blotted out of the Indian Society, no efforts of the Congress not of other patriotic organisations out of the Congress will avail in their efforts for the attainment of Swirtj. And as national self-realization and virile existence is impossible Arigoria Swaraj, I, as a Sanyasi, should devote the rest of my life to this packed cause the cause of sexual purity and true national unity.

Delhi, July 23, 1922.

Yours, etc. Shradhanand Sanyasi.

APPENDIX II

POLITICAL SAFEGUARDS FOR DEPRESSED CLASSES

Supplementary Memorandum on the claims of he Depressed Classes for Special Representation, submitted to the R.T.C. by Dr. Bhimrao R. Smbedkar and Rao Bahadur R. Srinivasan.

In the memorandum that was submitted by us last year dealing with the question of political safeguards for the protection of the Depressed Classes in the constitution for a self-governing India, and which forms Appendix III to the printed volume of Proceedings of the Minorities Sub-Committee, we had demanded that special representation of the Depressed Classes must form one of such safeguards. But we did not then define the details of the special representation we claimed as being necessary for them. The reason was that the proceeding of the Minorities Sub-Committee came to an end before the question was reached. We now propose to make good the omission by this supplementary memorandum so that the Minorities Sub-Committee, if it comes to consider the question this year, should have the requisite details before it.

(1) EXTENT OF SPECIAL REPRESENTATION

A Special Representation in Provincial Legislatures

(i) In Bengal, Central Provinces, Assam, Binar and Orissa, Punjab and the United Provinces the Depressed Classes shall have representation in proportion to their population as estimated by the Simon Commission and the Indian Central Committee.

- (ii) In Madras, the Depressed Classes shall have twenty-two per cent representation.
 - (ui) In Bombay:
 - (a) in the event of Sind continuing to be a part of the Bombay Presidency, the Depressed Classes shall have sixteen per cent representation:
 - (b) in the event of Sind being separated from the Bombay Presidency the Depressed Classes shall enjoy the same degree of representation as the Presidency Muslims, both being equal in population.
- B Special Representation in the Federal Legislature In Both Houses of the Federal Legislature, the Depressed Classes shall have representation in proportion to their population in India.

RESERVATIONS

We have fixed this proportion of representation in the Legislatures on the following assumptions '—

- (1) We have assumed that the figures for the population of the Depressed Classes given by the Simon Commission (Vol I, p 40) and the Indian Central Committee (Report p 44) will be acceptable as sufficiently correct to form a basis for distributing seats.
- (2) We have assumed that the Federal Legislature will comprise the whole of India, in which case the population of the Depressed Classes in Indian States, in Centrally Administered Areas, and in Excluded Territories, besides their population in Governor's Provinces, will form very properly an additional item in calculating the extent of representation of the Depressed Classes in the Federal Legislature.
- (3) We have assumed that the administrative areas of the Provinces of British India will continue to be what they are at present.

But if the assumptions regarding figures of population are challenged, as some interested parties threaten to do, and if under a new census the Depressed Classes show a lower proportion, or if the administrative areas of the Provinces are altered, resulting in disturbing the existing balance of population, the Depressed Classes reserve their right to revise their proportion of representation and even to claim weightage. In the same way, if the All-India Federation does not come into being, they will be willing to submit to readjustment in their proportion of representation calculated on that basis in the Federal Legislature

(2) METHOD OF REPRESENTATION

1 The Depressed Classes shall have the right to elect their representatives to the Provincial and Central Legislature through separate electorates of their voices

For their representation in the Upper House of the Federal or Central Legislature, if it is decided to have indirect election by members of the Provincial Legislatures, the Depressed Classes will agree to abandon their right to separate electorates so far as their representation to the Upper House is concerned subject to this; that in any system of proportional representation arrangement shall be made to guarantee to them their just to fleats.

- 2. Separate electorates for the Depressed Classes small nor be liable to be replaced by a system of joint electorates and reserved seats, except when the following could not are fulfilled:—
 - (a) A referendum of the voters held at the demand of a majority of their representatives in the Ligislatures concerned and resulting in an absolute majority of the members of the Depressed Class having the franchise.
 - (b) No such referendum shall be resorted to until after twenty years and until universal adult suffrage has been established

(3) NECESSITY OF DEFINING THE DEPRESSED CLASSES

The representation of the Depressed Classes has been grossly abused in the past masmuch as persons other than the Depressed Classes were nominated to represent them in the Provincial Legislatures, and cases are not wanting in which persons not belonging to the Depressed Classes got themselves nominated as representatives of the Depressed Classes. This abuse was due to the fact that while the Governor was given the power to nominate persons to represent the Depressed Classes, he was not required to confine his nomination to persons belonging to the Depressed Classes. Since nomination is to be substituted by election under the new constitution, there will be no room for this abuse. But in order to leave no loophole for defeating the purpose of their special representation we claim.

- (i) That the Depressed Classes shall not only have the right to their own separate electorates, but they shall also have the right to be represented by their own men.
- (n) That in each Province the Depressed Classes shall be strictly defined as meaning persons belonging to communities which are subjected to the system of Untouchability of the sort prevalent therein and which are enu-

merried by name in a schedule prepared for electoral purposes

(4) Nomenclature

In dealing with this part of the question we would like to point out that the existing no nenclature of Depressed Classes is objected to by members of the Depressed Classes who have given thought to it and also by outsiders who take interest in them. It is degrading and contemptuous, and advantage may be taken of this occasion for drafting the new constitution to alter for official purpose the existing nomenclature. We think that they should be called "Non-Caste Hindus," "Protestant Hindus," or "Non-Conformist Hindus," or some such designation instead of "Depressed Classes": We have no authority to press for any particular nomenclature. We can only suggest them, and we believe that if properly explained the Depressed Classes will not hesitate to accept the one most suitable for them

We have received a large number of telegrams from the Depressed Classes all over India supporting the demands contained in this Memorandum

Nov. 4th 1931

APPENDIX III

MINORITIES PACT

Provisions for a Settlement of the Communal Problem, put forward jointly by Muslims, Depressed Classes, Indian Christians, Anglo-Indians and Europeans.

CLAIMS OF MINORITY COMMUNITIES

- 1 No person shall by reason of his origin, religion, caste or creed, be prejudiced in any way in regard to public employment, office of power or honour, or with regard to enjoyment of his civic rights and the exercise of any trade or calling
- 2 Statutory safeguards shall be incorporated in the constitution with a view to protect against enactments of the Legislature of discriminatory laws affecting any community.
- 3 Full religious liberty, that is, full liberty of belief, worship beervances, propaganda, associations and education, shall be

guaranteed to all communities subject to the maintenance of public order and morality.

No person shall merely by change of faith lose any civic right or privilege, or be subject to any penalty.

- 4 The right to establish, manage and control, at their own expense, charitable, religious and social institutions, schools and other educational establishments with the right to exercise their religion therein.
- 5 The constitution shall embody adequate safeguards for the protection of religion, culture and personal law, and the promotion of education, language, charitable institutions of the minority communities and for their due share in grants-in-aid given by the State and by the self-governing bodies.
- 6. Enjoyment of civic rights by all citizens shall be guaranteed by making any act or omission calculated to prevent full enjoyment an offence punishable by law.
- 7. In the formation of Cabinets in the Central Government and Provincial Governments, so far as possible, members belonging to the Mussalman community and other minorities of considerable number shall be included by convention
- 8. There shall be Statutory Departments under the Central and Provincial Governments to protect minority communities and to promote their welfare
- Legislature through nomination or election shall have representation in all Legislatures through separate electorates and the
 minorities shall have not less than the proportion set forth in the
 Anneture but no majority shall be reduced to a minority or even
 an equality Provided that after a lapse of ten years it will be
 open to Muslims in Punjab and Bengal and any minority communities in any other Provinces to accept joint electorates, or joint
 electorates with reservation of seats, by the consent of the community concerned Similarly after the lapse of ten years, it will be
 open to any minority in the Central Legislature to accept joint
 electorates with or without reservation of seats with the consent of
 the community concerned.

With regard to the Depressed Classes, no change to joint electorates and reserved seats shall be made until after 20 years' experience of separate electorates and until direct adult suffrage for the community has been established.

10 In every Province and in connection with the Central Government, a Public Services Commission shall be appointed,

and the recruitment to the Public Services, except the proportion, if any, reserved to be filled by nomination by the Governor-General and the Governors, shall be made through such commission in such a way as to secure a fair representation to the various communities consistently with the considerations of efficiency and the possession of the necessary qualifications. Instructions to the Governor-General and the Governors in the Instrument of Instructions with regard to recruitment shall be embodied to give effect to this principle, and for that purpose to review periodically the composition of the Services.

If a Bill is passed which, in the opinion of two-thirds of the members of any Legislature representing a particular community, affects their religion or social practice based on religion, or in the case of fundamental rights of the subjects if one-third of the menibers object, it shall be open to such members to lodge their objection thereic, within a period of one month of the Bill being passed by the House, with the President of the House who shall forward the same to the Governor-General or Governor, as the case may be, and he shall thereupon suspend the operation of that Bill for one year, upon the expiry of which period he shall remit the said Bill for further consideration by the Legislature. When such Bill has been further considered by the Legislature and the Legislature concerned has refused to revise or modify the Bill so as to meet the objection thereto, the Governor-General or the Governor, as the case may be, may give or withhold his assent to it in the exercise of his discretion, provided, further, that the validity of such Bill may be challenged in the Supreme Court by any two members of the denomination affected thereby on the ground that it contravenes one of their fundamental rights.

Special Claims of Mussulmans

A.—The North-West Fromier Province shall be constituted a Governor's Province on the same footing as other Provinces with due regard to the necessary requirements for the security of the Frontier.

In the formation of the Provincial Legislature, the nominations shall not exceed more than 10 per cent. of the whole.

- B.—Sind shall be separated from the Bombay Presidency and made a Governor's Province similar to and on the same footing as other Provinces in British India
- C.—Mussulman representation in the Central Legislature shall be one-third of the total number of the House, end their representation in the Central Legislature shall not be less then he proportion set forth in the Annexure

| | Appendix III | | | | | | | | | | 291 |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|-------------|---------------|-----------------|---|----------|------------------------------------|
| *Donresents percentage | in Governor's Provinces of B.I. | *Pop, figures exclude Tribal Areas. | | | On Sind being separated weightage to Mussul mans in Bombay to be on the same footing as to the Hindus in the N. F. W. P. | | | | Pun, ab 100 (15.1) (13.5) (28.6) (56.5) 1.5 (13) 1.5 20 1.5 2 U. P 100 (58.1) (26.4) (84.5) (14.8) 1 2 3 U. P 100 (58.1) (26.4) (84.5) (14.8) 1 2 3 Sind & N.W.F.P. Weightage similar to that enjoyed by the Mussulmans in the Provinces in which they constitute a minority sind and to the Hindu and Sikh minorities in the N.W.F.P. | | |
| erc. peans | 4 | 12 | 01 | 20 | ٠, | £1 | 2 | œ | 64 | wfilch t | he Hin |
| erc. | 1 | | <u> </u> | ! | m | 1 | C1 | 73 | ! | 1 1 | nd to t |
| Indians | | т | , | m m | ₩ | 'n | 7 | 4 | 1 5 | 2 | Sind ar |
|) | 9 | 10 | 1 | } | | [| l | | (13) 20 | 1 | ys in tu ority 11 |
| Strans | ų—l | ~ | m | 7 | - | 73 | | (3 %) 14 (4) | 3. | | issuimai idu min |
| , — | (21 5) | 100 | (34.8) | (54.9) 102 | (11.3) | (20) 66 | (44) 15 | (7.1) | (56 5) | (14.8) | by the Mu to the Hir |
| Total - | (66.5) | 168 | (62.3) | (43) | (82.3) | (76) 116 | (8 6 8) 78 | (86.7) | (28.6) | (84.5) | t enjoyed I be given |
| Depressed | (19)* 20 | 45 | (13 4) | (24.7) | (14.5) | 88 88 | (23.7) | (15.4) | (13.5) 10 | (26.4) | nilar to tha Lation, shal P |
| Caste | | 123 | (48 9) | (18.3) | (67.8) | (89) 88 | (63 1) | (71.3) | (15.1) | (58.1) | ightage sur (the popule N.W.F. |
| 69 | 200 | 300 | *100 | 200 | 100 | 200 | 100 | 200 | 961 | 100 | W.F.P. We |
| | CENTRE All India (1931)Upper | TOWOT | Assam | | Bihar & Oress | | i i | Madras | Pun ab | đ. | Sind & N.V |

Special Claims of the Depressed Classes

- 4.—The constitution shall declare invalid any custom or usage by which any penalty or disadvantage or disability is imposed upon or any discrimination is made against any subject of the State in regard to the enjoyment civic rights on account of Untouchability.
- B—Generous treatment in the matter of recruitment to public Service of the and the opening of enlistment in the Police and Military Service
- C.—The Depressed Classes in the Punjab shall have the benefit of the Punjab Land Alienation Act extended to them
- D—Right of Appeal shall lie to the Governor-General for redress of prejudicial action or neglect of interest by any Executive Authority
- E—The Depressed Classes shall have representation not less than set forth in the Annexure.

Special Claims of the Anglo-Indian Community

- A.—Generous interpretation of the claims admitted by Sub-Committee No. VIII (Services) to the effect that in recognition of the peculiar position of the community, special consideration should be given to the claim for public employment, having regard to the maintenance of an adequate standard of living
- B.—The right to administer and control its own educational institutions, i.e. European education, subject to the control of the Minister

Provisions for generous and adequate grants-in-aid and scholarship on the basis of present grants

C.—Jury rights equal to those enjoyed by other communities in India unconditionally of proof of legitimacy and descent and the right of accused persons to claim trial by either a European or an Indian jury.

SPECIAL CLAIMS OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITY

- A—Equal rights and privileges to those enjoyed by Indianborn subjects in all industrial and commercial activities
- B.—The maintenance of existing rights in regard to procedure of criminal 'rials, and any measure or bill to amend, alter, or modify such a procedure cannot be introduced except with the previous consent of the Governor-General.

Agreed by:-

His Highness The Aga Khan (Muslims)
Dr. Ambedkar (Depressed Classes)
Rao Bahadur Pannir Selvam (Indian Christians)
Sir Henry Gidney (Anglo-Indians)
Sir Hubert Carr (Europeans).

APPENDIK IV

SRATEMENT BY B. R. AMBEDKAR ON GANDHI'S FAST

Statement on Mr. Gandhi's attitude at the Round Table Conference to the Untouchables and their demand for Constitutional Safeguards, 19th September 1932.

I need hardly say that I was astounded to read the correspondence between Mahatma Gandhi, Sir Samuel Hoare and the Prime Minister, which was published recently in the Papers, in which he has expressed his determination to starve himself unto death till the British Government of its own accord or under pressure of public opinion revise their opinion and withdraw their scheme of communal representation for the Depressed Classes. The unenviable position, in which I have been placed by the Mahatma's vow of self-immolation, can easily be imagined.

It passes my comprehension why Mr Gandhi should stake his life on an issue arising out of the communal question which he, at the Round Table Conference, said was one of a comparatively small importance. Indeed, to adopt the language of those of Mr. Gandhi's way of thinking, the communal question was only an appendix to the book of India's constitution and not the main chap-It would have been justifiable, if Mr. Gandhi had resorted to this extreme step for obtaining independence for the country on which he was so insistent all through the R T. C. debates. It is also a painful surprise that Mr. Gandhi should single out special representation for the Depressed Classes in the Communal Award as an excuse for his self-immolation. Separates electorates are granted not only to the Depressed Classes, but to the Indian Christians, Anglo-Indians, Europeans, as well as to the the Mahomedans and the Sikhs. Also separate electorates are granted to landlords, labourers and traders. Mr Gandhi had declared his opposition to the special representation of every other class and creed except the Mahomedans and the Sikhs All the same Mr Gandhi chooses

SPECIAL CLAIMS OF THE DEPRESSED CLASSES

- A.—The constitution shall declare invalid any custom or usage by which any penalty or disadvantage or disability is imposed upon or any discrimination is made against any subject of the State in regard to the enjoyment civic rights on account of Untouchability.
- B—Generous treatment in the matter of recruitment to public Service of the and the opening of enlistment in the Police and Military Service
- C—The Depressed Classes in the Punjab shall have the benefit of the Punjab Land Alumation Act extended to them
- D.—Right of Appeal shall lie to the Governor-General for redress of prejudicial action or neglect of interest by any Executive Authority.
- E—The Depressed Classes shall have representation not less than set forth in the Annexure.

Special Claims of the Anglo-Indian Community

- A—Generous interpretation of the claims admitted by Sub-Committee No VIII (Services) to the effect that in recognition of the peculiar position of the community, special consideration should be given to the claim for public employment, having regard to the maintenance of an adequate standard of living.
- B—The right to administer and control its own educational institutions. i.e. European education, subject to the control of the Minister

Provisions for generous and adequate grants-in-aid and scholar-ship on the basis of present grants.

C—Jury rights equal to those enjoyed by other communities in India unconditionally of proof of legitimacy and descent and the right of accused persons to claim trial by either a European or an Indian jury

Special Claims of the European Community

- A.—Equal rights and privileges to those enjoyed by Indianborn subjects in all industrial and commercial activities.
- B—The maintenance of existing rights in regard to procedure of criminal trials, and any measure or bill to amend, after, or modify such a procedure cannot be introduced except with the previous consent of the Governor-General.

Agreed by:-

His Highness The Aga Khan (Muslims)
Dr. Ambedkar (Depressed Classes)
Rao Bahadur Pannie Selvam (Indian Christiars)
Sir Henry Gioney (Anglo-Indians)
Sir Hubert Carr (Europeans)

APPENDIX IV

SRATEMENT BY B. R. AMBEDKAR ON GANDHI'S FAST

Statement on Mr. Gandhi's attitude at the Round Table Conference to the Untouchables and their demand for Constitutional Safeguards, 19th September 1932

I need hardly say that I was astounded to read the correspondence between Mahatma Gandhi, Sir Samuel Hoare and the Prime Minister, which was published recently in the Papers, in which he has expressed his determination to starve himself unto death till the British Government of its own accord or under pressure of public opinion revise their opinion and withdraw their scheme of communal representation for the Depressed Classes. The unenviable position, in which I have been placed by the Mahatma's vow of self-immolation, can easily be imagined.

It passes my comprehension why Mr Gandhi should stake his life on an issue arising out of the communal question which he, at the Round Table Conference, said was one of a comparatively small importance Indeed, to adopt the language of those of Mr. Gandhi's way of thinking, the communal question was only an appendix to the book of India's constitution and not the main chapter. It would have been justifiable, if Mr. Gandhi had resorted to this extreme step for obtaining independence for the country on which he was so insistent all through the R. T. C. debates It is also a painful surprise that Mr. Gandhi should single out special representation for the Depressed Classes in the Communal Award as an excuse for his self-immolation. Separates electorates are granted not only to the Depressed Classes, but to the Indian Christians, Anglo-Indians, Europeans, as well as to the the Mahomedans and the Sikhs. Also separate electorates are granted to landlords, labourers and traders. Mr Gandhi had declared his opposition to the special representation of every other class and creed except the Mahomedans and the Sikhs All the same, Mr. Gandhi chooses to let everybody else except the Depressed Classes retain the special electorates given to them.

The fears expressed by Mr. Gandhi about the consequences of the arrangements for the representation of the Depressed Classes are, in my opinion, purely imaginary if the nation is not going to be split up by separate electorates to the Mahomedans and the Sikhs, the Hindu society cannot be said to be split up if the Depressed Classes are given separate electorates. His conscience is not aroused in the nation is split by the arrangements of Special Electorates for classes and communities other than the Depressed Classes.

I am sure many have felt that if there was any class which deserved to be given special political rights in order to protect itself against they tyranny of the majority under the Swaraj constitution it was the Depressed Classes. Here is a class which is undoubtedly not in a position to sustain itself in the struggle for existence. The religion to which they are tied, instead of providing for them an honourable place, brands them as lepers, not fit for ordinally intercourse. Economically, it is a class entirely dependeat upon the high-caste Hindus for earning its daily bread with no independent way of living open to it. Nor are all ways closed by reason of the social prejudices of the Hindus but there is a definite attempt all throughout the Hindu Society to bolt every possible door so as not to allow the Depressed Classes any opportunity to rise in the scale of life. Indeed it would not be an exaggeration to say that in every village the caste Hindus, however divided among themselves, are always in a standing conspiracy to put down in a merciless manner any attempt on the part of the Depressed Classes who form a small and scattered body of an ordinary Indian citizen.

In these circumstances, it would be granted by all fair-minded persons that as the only path for a community so handicapped to succeed in the struggle for life against organised tyranny, some share of political power in order that it may protect itself is a paramount necessity

I should have thought that a well-wisher of the Depressed Classes would have fought tooth and nail for securing to them as much political power as might be possible in the new Constitution But the Mahatma's ways of thinking are strange and are certainly beyond my comprehension. He not only does not endeavour to augment the scanty political power which the Depressed Classes have got under the communal Award, but on the contrary he has staked his very life in order to deprive them of little they have got This is not the first attempt on the part of the Mahatma to completely dish the Depressed Classes out of political existence

Long before, there was the Minorities Pact. The Mahatma tried to enter into an agreement with the Muslims and the Congress. He offered to the Muslims all the fourteen claims which they had put forth on their behalf, and is return asked them to join with him in resisting the claims for social representation made by me on behalf of the Depressed Classes.

It must be said to the credit of the Muslim delegates, that they refused to be a party to such a black act, and saved the Depressed Class from what might as well have developed into a calamity for them as a result of the combined apposition of the Mahommedans and Mr. Gandhi

I am unable to understand the ground of hostility of Mr Gandhi to the Communal Award. He says that the Communal Award has separated the Depressed classes from the Hindu Community On the other hand, Dr. Moonje, a much stronger protogonist of the Hindu case and a militant advocate of its interests, takes a totally different view of the matter. In the speeches which he has been delivering since his arrival from London Dr. Moonje has been insisting that the Communal Award does not create any separation between the Depressed Class and the Hindu. Indeed, he has been boasting that he has defeated me in my attempt to politically separate the Depressed Class from the Hindus. I am sure that Dr Moonje is right in his interpretation of the Com-munal Award although, I am not sure that the credit of it can legitimately go to Dr. Moonje. It is therefore surprising that Mahatma Gundhi who is a nationalist and not konwa to be a communalist should read the communal Award, in so far as it relates to the Depressed Class, in a manner quite contrary to that of a communalists like Dr Moonje. If Dr Moonje does not sense any separation of the Depressed Classes from the Hindus in the communal Award the Mahatma ought to feel quite satisfied on that score.

In my opinion, that Communal Award should not only satisfy the Hindus, but also satisfy those individuals among the Depressed Classes such as Rao Bahadur Rajah, Mr Baloo or Mr. Govai, who are in favour of joint Electorates Mr. Rajah's fulminations in the Assembly have amused me considerably. An intense supporter of Separate Electorates and the bitterest and the most vehement critic of caste Hindu tyranny, now professes faith in the Joint Electorates and love for the Hindus! How much of that is due to his natural desire to resuscitate himself from the oblivious in which he was cast by his being kept out of the Round Table Conference and how much of it is to his honest change of faith, I do not propose to discuss

The points on which Mr. Rajah is harping by way of criticism on the Communal Award are two; One is that the Depressed



Classes have gained lesser number of seats than they are entiled to on the population basis, and the other is that the Deptersed Classes have been separated from the Hindu fold

I agree in his first grievance, but when the Rac Bahadur begins to accuse those who represented the Depressed Classes at the R. T. C for having sold their rights, I am bound to point our what M: Rajah did as a member of the Indian Central Committee. In that Committee's report, the Depiessed Classes were given in Madras 10 seats out of 150 : in Bombay 8 seats out of 14; in Lengal 8 seats out of 200, in U.P. 8 seats out of 182, in the Punjab 6 seats out of 150; in Behav and Orissa 6 out of 150 in C. P. 8 out of 125 and in Assam, 9 seats for the Depressed Classes and the indigenous and primitive races out of 75. I do not wish to overburden this statement by pointing out how this distribution compares with the population rate. But there can be no doubt that this ment 2 terribt under-representation of the Depressed Classes To this distribution of seats Mr. Rajah was a party. Surely, Mr. Rajah, before he criticises the communal Award and accuses others, should refresh his memory of what he accepted as Member of the Indian Central Committee on behalf of the Depressed Classes without any protest. If the population ratio of representation was to him a natural right of Depressed Classes and its full realisation was a necessity for their protection, why did not Mr. Rajah insist upon it in the Central Committee when he had an opportunity to do so?

As to his contention that in the Communal Award, the Depressed Classes have been separated from the caste Hindus, it is a view to which I cannot subscribe. If Mr Rajah has any conscientious objection to separate Electorates, there is no compulsion on him to stand as a candidate in the Separate Electorates. The opportunity to stand as a candidate in the general electorate as well as the right to vote in it are there, and Mr. Rajah is free to a vail himself of the same. Mr. Rajah is crying at the top of his voice to assure to the Depressed Classes that there is a complete change of heart on the part of the Caste Hindus towards the Depressed Classes. He will have the opportunity to prove that fact to the satisfaction of the Depressed Class, who are not prepared to take his word by getting himself elected in the general costituency. The Hindus, who profess love and sympathy for the Depressed Classes, will have also an opportunity to prove their bona fides by electing Mr Rajah to the legislature.

The Communal Award, therefore, in my opinion, satisfied both those who want separate Electorates and those who want joint Electorates. In this sense, it is already a compromise nad should be accepted as it is. As to the Mahtma, I do not know

what he wants. It is assumed that although Mahatma Ganchi is opposed to the system of separate electorates, he is not opposed to the system of joint electorates and Releved Scales. That is a gross error. Whatever his views are today, while in London he totally opposed to any system of special representation for the Depressed classes whether by joint Electorates or by Separate Electorates. Beyond the right to vote in a general electorate based upon adult Saffrege, he was not proposed to concede anything to the Depressed Classes by way of securing him representation in the legislatures. This was the position he had taken at first. Towards the end of the R. T. C. he suggested to me a scheme, which he said, he was prepared to consider. The scheme was purely conventional without any constitutional sanction behind it and without any single seat being reserved for the Depressed Classes in the electoral law.

The scheme was as follows.

Depressed Class candidates might stand in the general electorate as against other high easte Hindu candidates. If any Depressed Class Candidate was defeated in the election, he should file an election petition and obtain the vergici that he was defeated because he was an Untoucnable it such a decision was obtained, the Manatma said he would undertake to induce some Hindu members to resign and thus create a vacancy. There would be then another election in which the defeated Depressed Ciass candidate or any other Depressed Class candidate might again try his luck as against the Hindu candidates. Should he be defeated again, he should get similar verdict that he was defeated because le was a Untouchable and so on ad mfindam. I am disclosing these facts as some people are even now under the impression that the Joint Electorates and Reserved Seats would satisfy the conscience of the Mahatma. This will show why I insist that there is ro use discussing the question until the actual proposals of the Mahatma are out forth.

I must, however, point out that I carnot accept the assurances of the Mahatma that he and his Congress will do the needful. I cannot leave so important a question as the protection of my people to convention and understandings. The Mahatma is not an immortal person, and the Congress, assuming it is not a malevolent force, is not to have an abiding evistence. There have been many Mahatmas in India whose sole object was to remove Untouchability and to elevate and absorb the Depressed Classes, but every one of them has failed in his mission. Mahatmas have come and Mahatmas have gone. But the Untouchables have remained as Untouchables.

I have enough experience of the pace of Reform and the faith of Hindu reformers in the conflicts that have taken place at Mahad and Nasik, to say that no well-wisher of the Depressed Classes will ever consent to allow the uplift of the Depressed Classes to rest upon such treacherous shoulders. Reformers who in moments of crises prefer to sacrifice their principles rather than hurt the feelings of their kindred can be of no use to the Depressed Classes.

I am therefore bound to missist upon a Statutory guarantee for the protection of my people. If Mr Gandhi wishes to have the Communal Award altered, it is for him to put forth his proposals and to prove that they give a better guarantee then has been given to us under the Award

I hope that the Mahatma will desist from carrying out the extreme step contamplated by him. We mean no harm to the Hindu society when we demand separate electorate. If we choose separate electorates, we do so in order avoid the total dependence on the sweet will of the Caste Hindus in matters affecting our destiny. Like the Mahatma we also claim our right to err, and we expect him not to deprive us of that right. His determination to fast himself unto death is worthy of a far better cause. I could have understood the propriety of the Mahatma contemplating such extreme step for stopping riots between Hindus and Mohammedans or between the Depressed Classes and the Hindus or any other national cause. It certainly cannot improve the lot of the Depressed Classes. Whether he knows it or not, the Mahatma's act will result in nothing but terrorism by his followers against the Depressed Classes all over the country.

Coercion of this will not win the Depressed Classes to the Hindu fold if they are determined to go out. And if the Mahatma chooses to ask the Depressed Classes to make a choice between Hindu faith and possession of political power, I am quite sure that the Depressed Classes will choose political power and save the Mahatma from seif-immolation. If Mr. Gandhi coolly reflects on the consequences of his act, I very much doubt whether he will find this victory worth having. It is still more important to note that the Mahaima is releasing reactionary and uncontrollable forces. and is fostering the sprit of hatred between the Hinnu Community and the Depressed Classes by resorting to this method and thereby widening the existing gulf between the two. When I opposed Mr Gandhi at the R T. C., there was a hue and cry against me in the country and there was a conspiracy in the so-called nationalist press to represent me as a traitor to the nationalist cause, to suppress correspondence coming from my side and to boost the propaganda against my party by publishing exaggerated reports of meetings and conferences, many of which were never held. "Silver bullets" were freely used for creating divisions in the ranks of

the Depressed Classes There have been also a few clashes ending in violence.

If the Mahatina does not want all this to be repeated on a larger scale, let him, for God's sake, reconsider his decision and avert the disastrous consequences. I believe the Mahatina does not want this But if he does not desist, in spite of his wishes these consequences are sure to follow as night follows the day

Before concluding this statement, I desire to assure the public that although I am entitled to say that I regard the matter as closed, I am prepared to consider the proposals of the Mahatma. I however trust the Mahatma will not drive me to the necessity of making a choise between his life and the rights of my people. For I can never consent to deliver my people bound hand and foot to the Caste Hindus for generations to come.

B. R. AMBEDAKAR

APPENDIX V

TEMPLE ENTRY IN TRAVANCORE

His Highness the Maharaja of Travancore issued a Proclamation on the 12th November 1936 opening the Temples in the State to the Untouchables The Proclamatio reads as follows—

"Profoundly convinced of the truth and validity of our relagion, beliving that it is based on divine guidance and on all-comprehending toleration, knowing that in its practice it has throughout
the centuries adapted itself to the need of the changing times, soil
citious that none of our Hindu subjects should, by reason of birth,
caste or community, be denied the consolation and solace of the
Hindu faith, we have decided and hereby declare, ordain and command that, subject to such rules and conditions as may be laid
down and imposed by us for preserving their proper atmosphere
and maintaining their rituals and observances, their should henceforth be no restriction placed on any Hindu by birth or religion on
entering or worshipping at temples controlled by us and our
Government."

A great lot has been made by the Congressmen and by Mr Gandhi about this Proclamation. It is regarded as heralding the birth of a new conscience in the Hindu world. I don't feel quite so sure of this. At any rate there are facts on the ether side, which are worth bearing in mind.

The proclamation was issued by the Maharaja of Travancore in his name. But the real active force behind the scene was the Prime Minister, Sir C P Ramaswami lyer. It is his motives that we must understand. In 1932, Sir C P Ramaswami lyer was also the Prime Minister of Travancore. In 1932 when Mr. Gandhi had raised a controversy over the entry of the Untouchables into the Guruvayur Temple, Sir C P. Ramaswamy lyer had taken part in the controversy on the side of those who were against Temple Entry. In the course of this controversy, Sir C P. Ramaswamy lyer issued a statement* to the Press. This is what he said.

"Personally I do not observe caste rules I realise there are strong, though not very articulate, feelings in this matter in the minds of men who believe that the present system of temple worship and its details are based on divine ordinances. The problem can be permanently solved only by a process of mutual adjustment and by the awakening of religious and social leaders of Hindu society to the realities of the present situation and to the need for preserving the solidarity of the Hindh community.

"Shock tactics will not answer the purpose and direct action will be even more fatal in this sphere than in the political. I have the misfortune to differ from Mr. Gandhi when he says that the problem of temple-entry can be divorced from such topics as interdining and I agree with Dr. Ambedkar that the social and economic uplift of the Depressed Classes should be our immediate and urgent programme"

This statement shows that in 1943 spiritual considerations did not move Sir C. P. Ramaswamy Iyer Spiritual considerations nave become operative after 1933. What made Sir C P Ramaswamy Iyer take a different view in 1936? What had happend in Travancoie in 1936 to compel this change of opinion? It may be recalled that in 1936, there was held in Travancore a Conference of the Yezawa Community. The Yezawas are an Untouchable community spread over Malabar. It is an educated community and economically quite strong. It is also a vocal community and has been carrying on agitation in the State against social and religious disabilities. The Conference was held to consider whether the Yezawas should not abandon the Hindu Religion in favour of some other religion.** The Yezawas form a very large community The cessation of so large a community would be death-knell to the Hindus and the Conference had made the danger real.

^{*}Times of India dated November 10, 1932.

^{**}This was in pursuance of the decision taken at Yeola in 1935 under my chairmanship.

It may not be far fetched to say that the Proclamation was issued to forestall this danger. If this is correct, there is very little spiritual substance behind the proclamatica. It must not be forgotten that Sir C. P. Ramaiwardy Tyer has listen in which before spiritual colouring to a material act. The permit is have been, in accordance with the Hindu Law, exempt from a print punishment which applied to all Brahmins. This was a very glaring case of discrimination. Sir C. P. Ramaiswami four very receitly announced the abolition of capital punishment in Travanciate State and took credit for having carried out a great lumination. Reform As a matter of fact the object mas really preventing the brahmin from being brought under the guill time in obedie as to the principle of equality before law.

How far did this Prolamation change fact, and how far it has remained a show? It is not possible to get real firsts as they exit in Travancore. In the Lourse of the discussion on hi Malabar Temple Entry Bill in the Malras Legislative Assembly, certain facts relating to Travancore were mediance by Sir T. Pannitselvam which, if true, would show that the whole thing is hollow

Sir T Pannirselvam said -

"One of the arguments advanced by the Premer in support of the measure was that temples in Travancore had been thrown open to the 'Untouchables.' A Maharaja vested with authorizing powers did so by an order. But how was it working there? From representations received, he was led to believe that after the first flush of enthusiasm, Harijans had left off going to temples, and people who used to worship previously before Harijans were allowed to enter the temples, had stopped worshipping in temples. He would ask the Government to tell them if the measure was really a success in Travancore."

On the third reading of the Bill, Sir T. Pannirselvam made a statement which must have come as a surprise to many. He said.

"He wanted to know whether it was a fact that the private temples of the Senior Mahatani were excluded from the Proclamation. What was the reason for it? Again during the celebration of the marriage of the daughter of the Senior Mahatani, it was found necessary, so he was told, to perform purificatory ceremony of the temple. If such a particulation of temples took place, what happened to the Proclamation?"

These facts were not challenged by anybody, either by Sir C.P. Ramaswami Iyer or C. Rajagopalachariar. Evidently, they cannot be challenge. If they are incontrovertible, then the less said about

the Malabar Temple Entry Proclamation as a spritual testament, the better

Is this Temple Entry in Travancoie the be-all and end-all of the social reform in Travancore? Is there to be just Temple Entry and no further or is it going to lead to equality in the matter of religious status." Will, for instance, the Devastan Department be placed in the hands of the Untouchables and the Shudras! Nine years since the Proclamation have passed, there has been no move in democratization of religion in Travancore.

Will the Untouchables of Travancore have to pay for Temple Entry? I am not in a position to say. But I like to reproduce below a letter addressed to me by Sri Narayan Swam: of the All-Travancore Pulayar Cheramar Aykia Maha Sangham It is dated 24th November 1938.

"Camp Mayyanad, Quilon, 24-11-1938.

To Dr. Ambedkar, Bombay RESPECTED SIR,

I have unaffected pleasure to draw your attention to the following facts for obtaining the valuable advice from you. Being the leader of a Harijan Community of the Travancore State, I think, it is my paramount duty to suggest you definitely all the grievances that the Harijans of this State are enduring.

- t. The Temple Entry Proclamation issued by His Highness The Maharaja is indeed a boon to Harijans; but the Harijans are enjoying all the other social disabilities except the temple Entry The Government to not take any step for the amelioration of the Harijans.
- 2. Among 15 lakhs of Haryans, there are a few graduates, half a dozen undergraduates and 50 school finals and more than 200 vernacular certificates holders. Though the Government have appointed a Public Service Commission, appointments to the Harijans are very few All the appointments are given to Savarnas If a Harijan is appointed, it will be for one weak or two weeks. According to the rules of the recruitment in Public Service, the applicant is allowed to apply only after a year again. While a Savarna will be appointed for a year or more. When the list of the appoin ments is brought before the Assembly, the number of appointments will be equal to the communal representation; but the duration of the post of all the Harijans will be equal to one

Savarna This kind of fraud is associating with he officials. Thus the public service is a common property of the Savarnas. No Harrjan is benefited by it

- 3 There was a proclamation from the five Manardy, a few years ago that three acres of ground should be given to each Harijan to live in; but the officials are Swar, as who are always unwilling to carry out the proclamation. Even tadagh the Government is willing to grant large extent of ground for pastiting near towns, not a piece of the ground is given to the Harijans. The Harijans are still living in the compounds of the Savarnas and are undergoing manifold difficulties. Though large extents of ground lay in "Reserve," the applications of the frantisms for granting grounds are not at all regarded with raportunce or literact to. The most parts of the lance are benefit a by the Savarnas
- 4. The Government nominates every year, for the election of members of the Assembly, one member from each Harijan Community. Though they are elected to present the grievances of the Harijans before the Assembly, they are found to be the mid intery of the Government, viz., the toys of the Savarna officers, who are benefited by them. Thus the grievances of the Harijans cannot be redressed any way.
- 5. All the Harijans of Travancore are labourers in the fields and compounds. They are the servants of the Savarnas who behave with them as beasts-nobody to look after for protection. Every Harijan gets only 2 chs (one anna) as the wage in most parts of the State. The social disabilities are the same to them even after the Temple Entry The workers in the factories in various parts of the State of Travancore and the Officers of the State are all Savaranas and they are at present agitating for responsible Government. Now the Harijans are demanding jobs in Government and in factories but the agitation in Travancore is a Savarna agitation by which the Savarnas are making arrangements to get rid of Harijans in Public Service and factories. They plead for higher salaries and more privileges. They pay the least care to the Harijan labourers while the people of Travancore are maddened with the agitation of the workers in the factories. The standard of salary of Harnan worker is very low while the standard of a factory worker is thrice of the former
- 6. Due to starvation and want of proper means of livelihood, the heads of the calldren of Harijans are heared as a result of which they are likely to fail in school. Before proclamation, the curation of Concession in high schools was for 6 years; now, it has been reduced to three years by which a good number of students stopped their education after their failure.

- 7. There is a department for the Depressed Classes, the head of which is Mr C. O. Damodaran (the protector of the Backward Communities). Though every year a big amount is granted for the expectatione, at the end of the year, two-thirds of the sum is lapsed by his sagacity. He used to submit reports to the Government that there is no way of spending the amount Ninety-five per cent of the sum allotted for the Depressed Class is spent as the salary of the officials, who are always Savarnas and 5 per cent, is benefited. Now the Government is going to make some colonies in three parts of Travancore. The officers are Savarnas This scheme is, in my opinion, not a success for the Government do not pay greater attention to it. I regret that Travancore Government spends one anna for the Harijan cause, while Cochin State spends a rupee for the same
- 8 The majority of the subjects of Travancore are now agitating strongly for Responsible Government under an organisation 'The State Congres.' The leaders of this popular organisation belong to the four major communities of the State, namely, the Nair, Mahomedan, Christian and Ezhava community. The President of the State Congress Mr. Thanu Pillia issued a statement in which he stressed that special concessions would be given to the Depressed Class. All the leaders of the Depressed Class have been awaiting for a time to see the attitude of the State Congress. Now we come to understand that there is no reality in the promise of there leaders.
- 9. Now I am sure that the leaders have neglected the cause of the Depressed Class. The State Congress was started on the principles of nationalism and now it has become an institution of communalism. Communal spirit is now working among the leaders. In every public speech, statement of article, the leaders mention only these four major communities, while they have no thought on us I fear, if this is the case of the leaders of the political agitation of Travancors, the situation of the Depressed Class will be more deplorable when the Responsible Government is achieved, for the entire possession of the Government will be then within the clutches of the above mentioned communities and the Depressed Classes' rights and privileges will be devoured by the former. In the meetings of the working committee of the State Congress, two-thirds of the time was devoted in discussion concerning the strike of the Alleppey Coir Factories, but nothing was mentioned in the meeting about the Harijan workers who are undergoing manifold difficulties. The workers in Factories are Savarnas and the agitation for obtaining Responsible Government is a kind of anti-Harijan movement. The motive of every leader of the State Congress is to improve the situation (circumstance) of the Savarna. The leaders of the major communities have some

mercenary attitude who are going to sacrifice the Depressed for their progress

10 These are the conditions of the Depressed Class of the State. What are the ways by which he have to establish our rights in the State? I humbly request you to be good enough to render me your abvice at this occasion. I am awaiting for the reply

Excuse me for the trouble,

Yours faithfully, Srinarayanaswam: "

If the plan of Temple Entry is utomately to deprive the Untouchables of their statutory rights, then the movement is not only not spiritual but it is positively mischievous and it would be the duty of all honest people to warn the Uniouchables

Appendix YI

RECOGNITION OF UNTOUCHABLES AS A SEPARATE ELEMENT

Pronouncements of the British Government on he position of the Unionchables in the Constitution of India.

INTRODUCTION

The necessity for recalling the pronouncements of the Viceorys and of the Secretaries of State has arisen because of the recent criticism in the Press against the reply of 15th August 1944 given by Lord Wavell to Mr. Gandhi stating that the Scheduled Castes are a separte element in the national life of India and that their consent to the new Constitution was assential before power is transferred to Indian hands. This criticism is based upon the supposition that the Cripps's proposals did not recognize the Scheduled Castes as a separate element and did not make their consent necessary. Reliance is placed upon the fact that the Cripps's proposals spoke of "racial and religious minorities" only and it is argued that the Scheduled Castes are neither a racial nor a religious minority

It is hardly necessary to point our how ignorant this criticism is The Scheduled Castes are really a religious minority. The Hindu religion by its dogama of untouchability has separated the Scheduld Castes from the main body of the Hindus in a manner which makes the separation far more real and far wider than the separation which exists either between Hindus and Muslims or Hindus

and Sikhs or Hindus and Christians It is difficult to concede of a more effective method of separation and segregation then the principal of untouchability and it is only those who are actuated by malicious spirit of finding exacuses to deay the Scheduled Castes their claim to political rights would indulge in this kind of jugglery. Those who regard the statement of Lord Wavell as a new depearture have completely forgotthen what attitude His Majesty's Government has taken in the matter of the Scheduled Castes from the very beginning when the transfer of political power from British to Indian hands was thought of Eye, since 1917 when the Montagu-Chelmsicia Report advanted responsible Government, the British Government have taken a definite stand that they would, under no circumstances, transfer power to Indian hands until they were satisfied the the position of the Scheduled Castes was safeguarded by adequate Constitutional provisions. A few of many declarations made by S. cretains of State and Viceroys of India from 1917 to 1941 are collected together in the following pages be found that the recognition that the Scheduled Castes are a separate and important element in the national life of the country and that their consent is necessary are in no way new proposals Both the statements have been made by responsible representatives of His Majesty's Government, viz, the Secretary of State and the Viceroy long before the Cripps's proposals came into being Particular attention is drawn to Mr Amery's statemen, on 14th August 1940 and Loard Limithgow's statement on 10th January It is hoped that a perusal of these declarations will enable those who are trying to negative the claim of the Scheduled Castes for political rights to realise that their propaganda is both foolish and malicious.

(1)

Extract from the Montagu-Chelmsford Report on Indian Constitutional Reform—1971.

155. We have shown that the political education of the royt cannot be a very rapid, and may be a very difficult process. Till it is complete, he must be exposed to the risk of oppression by people who are stronger and eleverer than he is, and until it is clear that his interests can safely be left in his own hands or that the legislative councils represent and consider his interest, we must retain power to protect him. So with the depressed classes. We intend to make the best arrangements that we can for their representation, in order that they too may ultimately learn the lesson of self-protection. But if it is found that their interests suffer and that they do not shere in the general progress, we must retain the means in our own pands of helping them.

| Percentage of total Asmbaship | 13 | かんみそと ひたび | ĭ 1 |
|---|--|---|------------------|
| losoT , | 12 | <i>oannoann</i> | 43 |
| erahtO | —————————————————————————————————————— | uuduuu | 7 |
| Domiciled Bengalis | 70 | | - - - |
| ะ รกร์ซูเรอส์ค | 6 | 1 1 - 3 1 - 4 | |
| rədto teərəini lairteuhni YniniM & Ynitnolq nodt | œ | 111141. | |
| izeresti Litaty | 7 | 1111-11 | And I |
| Excluded Tracts | 9 | 4 1 ,10- | , , |
| moqv | 4 | · | . 4 |
| Indian Christians | 4 | | ' 9 |
| spipuj-ojšuķ | | 1-1-0 | ! |
| Depressed Classes | 63 | 0 | , |
| Name of Province | g-mil | Madras Bombay Rengal United Provinces Puniah Bihar and Orissa Central Provinces | Total |

Appeaix VI

* Europeans and Auglo-Indians,

(2)

Extract from the Fifth Despatch of the Governmendated 23rd April 1919 on the Report of the Southborough Con Franchise.

13. We shave analysed in the statement (printed at the next page) the interests which in the committee' should be represented by non-official nomination

We accept these proposals generally. But there is munity whose case appears to us to require more continuities gave it. The Report on Indian Cors Reforms clearly recognizer the problem of the depress and gave a pledge respecting them. "We intend to make arrangements that we can for their representation." I described as "Hind a others" in the committee's report

described as "Hind's—others" in the committee's reporting are defined in varying arms, are broadly speak same kind of people. Except for differences in the rigidit ecxlusion they are all more or less in the position of the Panchamas, definitely outside that part of the Hindu cowhich is allowed access to their temples. They amount one-fifth of the total population and have not been reprall in the Morley-Minto Councils. The committee's retions the depressed classes twice, but only to explain the absence of satisfactory electorates they have been promination. It does not discuss the position of these their capacity for looking after themselves. Nor does the amount of nomination which it suggests for them. If the report justifies the restriction of the nominated seats of which do not suggest that the committee were referri

depressed classes. The measures of representation w

propose for this community is as follows:-

| | | Total Population | Population of Depressed Classes | Total Seats |
|---|-----|---|--|---|
| Madras Bombay Bengal United Provinces Punjab Bihar and Orissa Central Provinces Assam | • ! | (millions) 39 8 19.5 45.0 47.0 19.5 32 4 12.2 0.0 | (millions) 6.3 .6 9.9 10.1 1.7 9.3 3 7 0.3 | 120 113 127 120 85 100 72 54 |
| Total . | · | 221.4 | 41 9 | 719 |

These figures speak for themselves. It is suggested that oneist's of the entire population of British India should be allotted seven seats out of practically eight hundred. It is true that in all the councils there will be roughly a one-sixth proportion of officials who may be expected to bear in mind the interests of the depressed: but that arrangement is not, in our opinion, what the Report The authors stated that the depressed classes on reforms aims at also should learn the lesson of self-protection. It is surely fanciful to hope that this result can be expected from including a single member of the community in an assembly where there are sixty or seventy caste Hindus To make good the principles of paras 151, 152, 154 and 155 of the Report we must treat the out-castes more generously. We think there should be in each council enough representatives of the depressed classes to save them from being entirely submerged, and at the same time to stimulate some capacity for collective action In the case of Madras, we suggest that they should be given six seats in Bengal, the United Provinces and Bihar and Orissa, we would give them four; in the Central Provinces and Bombay two and elsewhere one. In these respects we think that the committee's report clearly requires modification.

(3)

Extract from Lard Birkenhead's speech as Secretary of State for India in the House of Lord on the 30th Marc" 1927 on the appointment of Statutory Commission

Let me take the case of the depressed classes. There is in India a vast population even in relation to the numbers with which we are dealing, a population of sixty millions of the depressed classes. Their condition is not quite as terrible, quite as poignant as it has been in the past, but it is still terrible and They are repelled from all social intercourse. If they come between the gracious light of the sun and one who despised them the sun is disfigured for that man. They cannot drink at the public water-supply. They must make diversions of miles in order to satisfy thirst and they are tragically known and they have been known for generations as the "untouchables." There are sixty millions of them in India. Am I to have a representative of them upon this Commission? Never, would I form a Commission nor would anyone in a democratic country, nor would my friends opposite recommend it, from which you have excluded a member of this class which more than any other requires representation if you are indeed to put the matter to a mixed jury of the kind I am indicating.

(4)

Extract from the Report of the Simon Commission, Vol. II.

78. ... In no other province has it been possible to get an estimate of the number of the depressed classes who are qualified to vote. It is clear that even with a considerable lowering of the franchise—which would no doubt increase the proportion of the depressed class voters—there would be no hope of the depressed classes getting their own representatives elected in general constituencies without special provision being made to secure it. In the long run the progress of the depressed classes, so far as it can be secured by the exercise by them of political influence, will depend on their getting a position of sufficient importance for other elements to seek their support and to consider their needs

It will be seen, therefore, that we do not recommend allocating seats to the depressed classes on the basis of their full population ratio. The scale of reserved representation suggested will secure a substantial increase in the number of the M L C's. drawn from the depressed classes. The poverty and want of education which so widely prevail amongst them make it extremely doubtful whether a large number of adequately equipped members could be at once provided, and it is far better that they should be represented by qualified spokesmen rather than by a larger number of ineffectives who are only too likely to be subservient to higher castes. The re-distribution of seats which is now being attempted among different kinds of representatives cannot be permanent, and provision must be made for its revision we think that our proposal is adequate for the persent, especially as the representation of opinion by reservation of seats does not exclude the possibility of the capture of other seats not so reserved.

(5)

Extract from the Government of India's Despatch on Proposals for Constitutional Reform (as set out by the Simon Commission).

35 The Representation of the Depressed Classes.—The suggestions made by the Commission for the representation of the depressed classes have been much criticized by the provincial Governments. The difficulty of framing for each province a definition of the "depressed classes" is probably inherent in any scheme for the special representation of this class other than by

nomination; but the Commission's proposals place a peculiarly embarrassing duty on the Governor to certify candidates authorised to stand for the depressed classes, and the proportion of representatian which the Commission suggest, namely, in the ratio of threequarters of the proportion of the depressed classes population to the total population of the electoral area of the provinces, seems likely to be unduly high The Government of the United Provinces have calculated that in that province the Commission's proposal would result in the return to the provincial legislature of no less than forty members in place of the single nominated member who at present represents the community. The whole problem of the representation of the depressed classes will require careful investigation by the Franchise Committee; and at this stage we only wish to make plain that in our view their adequate representation should be secured by the best means that may be found practicable. Though there are some differences of opinion within the community, recent meeting of depressed classes associations have re-affirmed their belief in separate electorates

(6)

Extract from the Terms of Reference to the Lothian Committee (on Franchise) 1932.

- 3 You are aware that the present electorate in Indian provinces amounts to less than 3 per cent of the population of the areas returning members to provincial Councils, and it is obvious that under this limited franchise, the majority of the people and many large and important sections of the community can enjoy no effective representation in the Legislatures. The principle of a responsible Federal Government, subject to certain reservations and safeguards, has been accepted by His Mojesty's Government while it has been decided that the Governore' provinces are to become responsibly governed units, enjoying the greatest possible measure of freedom from outside interference and dictatian in carrying out their own policies in their own sphere. In these circumstances, it is clearly necessary so to widen the electorate that the legislatures to which responsibility is to be entrusted should be representative of the general mass of the population and that no important section of the community may lack the means of expressing its needs and its opinions.
- 6. It is evident from the discussions which have occurred in various connections in the Conference that the new Constitution must make adequate provision for the representation of the

Depressed Classes and that the method of representation by nomination is no longer regarded as appropriate. As you are aware, there is difference of opinion whether the system of separate electorates should be instituted for the Depressed Classes and your Committee's investigations should contribute towards the decision of this question by indicating the extent to which the Depressed Classes would be likely, through general extension of the franchise as you may recommend, to secure the right to vote in ordinary electorates. On the other hand, should it be decided eventually to constitute separate electorates for the Depressed Classes, either generally or in those provinces which in they from a distinct and separate element in the population, your committee's inquiry into general problem of extending the franchise should place you in possession of facts which would facilitate the devising of a method of separate representation for the Depressed Classes.

(7)

Extract from the Statement issued by H E. lord Linlingow the Viceroy and Governor-General of India, dated 17th October 1939.

"Be that as it may, His Majesty's Government recognise that when time comes to resume consideration of the plan for the future federal Government of India, and of the plan destined to give effect to the Assurance given in Parliament by the late Secretary of state, to which I have just referred, it will be necessary to reconsider in the light of the then circumstances to what extent the details of the plan embodied in the Act of 1935 remain appropriate.

And I am authorised now by His Majesty's Government to say that at the end of the war they will be very willing to interinto consultation with representatives of the several communities, parties and interests in India, and with the Indian Princes, with a view to securing their aid and co-operation in the framing of such modifications as may seem desirable."

(8)

Extract from a Statement made on 7th November 1939 by Lord Zetland, Secretary of State for India in the house of Lords

"The Congress have further consistently taken the line which they still maintain, that the fact that there are racial and religious minorties in India is of no relevance in that connexion

and that it has always been the intention of the Congress to secure through the Constitution to be framed by Indians themselves such protection for their rights as may prove acceptable to the minorities

His Majesty's Government find it impossible to accept this position. The long-standing British connexion with India has left His Majesty's Government with obligations towards her which it is impossible for them to shed by disinteresting themselves wholly in the shaping of her future form of Government. Moreover, one outstanding result of the recent discussions in which the Governor-General has been engaged with representatives of all parties and interests in India, has been to establish beyond doubt the fact that a declaration in the sense proposed, with the summary abandonment by His Majesty's Government of their position in India, would be far from acceptable to large sections of the Indian population."

(9)

Extract from the Speech made by H. E Lord Linlithgow, Viceroy and Governor-General at the Orient Club, Bombay, on January 10, 1940.

"Nor must we forget the essential necessity, in the interests of Indian unity, of the inclusion of the Indian States in any Constitutional scheme.

There are the insistent claims of the minorities

I need refer only to two of them—the great Muslim minority and the Scheduled Castes—there are the guarantees that have been given to the minorities in the past, the fact that their position must be safeguarded, and that those guarantees must be honoured.

(10)

Extract from a Speech made by the Right Hon'ble Mr. L. S. Amery, Secretary of State for India in the House of Commons on August 14, 1940.

"Congress leaders have built up a remarkable organization, the most efficient political machine in India if only they had succeeded, if the Congress could, in fact speak, as it professes to speak, for all the main elements in India's national life, then how-ever advanced their demands, our problem would have been

in many respects far easier than it is to-day. It is true that they are numerically the largest single party in British India, but their claim in virtue of that fact to speak for India is utterly denied by very important elements in India's complex national life. Those others assert their right to be regarded not as mere numerical minorities but as separate constituent factors in any future Indian policy. The foremost among these elements stands the great Muslim community. They will have nothing to do with a constitution framed by a constituent assembly elected by a majority vote in geographical constituencies. They claim the right in any constitutional discussions to be regarded as an entity aginst the operations of a mere numerical majority. The same applies to the great body what are known as the Scheduled Castes who feel that in spite of Mr. Gandhi's earnest endeavours on their behalf, that as a community, they stand outside the main body of the Hindu community which is represented by the Congress.

(11)

Extract from the Speech of the Right Hon'ble Mr. L S Amery, Secretary of State for India in the House of Commons on 231d April 1941

"India's future Constitution should be devised by Indians for themvelves and not by the British Government. India's future Constitution should be essentially an Indian Constitution, framed in accordance with the Indian conception of Indian conditions and Indian needs. The only essential condition is that the Constitution itself and the body which is to frame it must be the outcome of agreement between principal elements in India's national life."

(12)
Extract from a Statement by H. E Lord Linlithgow, Viceroy and Governor-General of India on 8th August 1940.

"These are two main points which have emerged. On these two points, His Majesty's Government now desire me to make their position clear. The first is as to the position of the minorities in relation to any future Constitutional scheme. It goes without saying that they (H. M. Government) could not contemplate the transfer of their present responsibilities for the peace and welfare of India to any system of Government whose authority is directly denied by large and powerful elements in India's national life. Nor could they be parties to the coerction of such elements into submission to such a Government."

APPENDIX VII

MINORITIES AND WEIGHTAGE

Views of the Montagu-Chelmsford Report and of the Simon ommission on the inequitous distribution of weightage.

(1)

Montagu-Chelmsford Report

Extract from the Montagu-Chelmsford Report on Indian Constitutional Reforms

163. Important minorities, it is proposed, should be represented by election. This appears to point to a Minority system of which later are specified only for representation Muhammadans, who are no longer to be allowed to vote in the general electorates as will as in their own special ones. We have elsewhere touched upon the difficulty of denying to certain other communities, such as the Sikhs in the Panjab, a concession which is granted to Muhammadans. The authors of the scheme have also agreed upon, and set forth the proportions of the seats to be reserved for the special Muslim eletorates in the various provinces. We are not aware on what basis, other than that of negotiation, the figures were arrived at. Separate electorates are proposed in all provinces even where Muhammadans are in a majorite, and wherever they are numerically weak, the proportion suggested is in excess of their numerical strength or their present representation. At the same time, nearly all the Muslim associations which addressed us urged upon us that it should be still further increased. Now a privileged position of this kind is open to the objection, that if any other community hereafter makes good a claim to separate representation, it can be satisfied only by deduction from the non-Muslim seats, or else by a rateable deduction from both Muslim and non-Muslim; and Hindu and Muslim opinions are not likely to agree which process should be adopted. While, therefore, for reasons that we explain subsequently, we assent to the maintenance of separate representation for Muhammadans, we are bound to reserve our approval of the particular proposals set before us until we have ascertained what the effect upon other interests will be, and have made fair provision for them. We agree with the authors of the scheme that Muhammadans should not have votes both in their own special. and in the general electorates-and we welcome the Muslim League's assent to the revision in this respect of existing arrangements."

(2)

Extract from the Report of the Indian Statutory Commission, Vol. II

Number of Muhammadan Seats

Para 85. We now take up the question of the proporation of seats in the various provincial councils to be set aside for Muhammadan members

The Lucknow Pact, as we have already pointed out, included an agreement between Hindus and Moslems as the proportion of Indian elected seats allotted in each province to the Muhammadan community, and its terms have been followed closely in the allocation of Muhammadan seats in the existing provincial legislatures. The Pact is no longer accepted by either side as offering a fair basis of representation and the rival contentions now put forward are indicated in paragraph 70 above. It is very much to be hoped that a renewed effort will be made between the two communities themselves to arrive at a fresh accommodation: but in the absence of agreement, a decision will have to be reached by others, on the assumption that separate electorates remain. Our own opinion is that in view of the existing position and of the weakness of the Moslem minority in six out of the eight* provinces, the present scale of weightage in favour of Muhammadans in those provinces might properly be retained. Thus, the proportion to be allotted to them, of seats filled from the "general" constituencies (other than the European general constituencies) would be determined as at present. But a claim has been put forward for a guarantee of Muhammadan representation which goes further than this-see paragraph 70 above and Appendix VII at the end of this chapter This claim goes to the length of seeking to preserve the full security for representation now provided for Moslems in these six provinces and at the same time to enlarge in Bengal and the Punjab the present proportion of seats secured to the community by separate electorates to figures proportionate to their ratio of population This would give Muhammadans a fixed and unalterable majority of the "general constituency" seats in both provinces. We cannot go so far. The continuance of the present scale of weightage in the six provinces could not—in the absence of a new general agreement between the communities-equitably be combined with so great a departure from the existing allocation in Bengal and the Pumab

It would be unfair that Muhammadans should retain the very considerable weightage they now enjoy in the six provinces, and that there should at the same time be imposed, in face of Hindu-Sikh

^{*} Burma is not in question

opposition, a definite Moslem majority in the Punjab and in Bengal unalterable by any appeal to the electorate. On the other hand, if by agreement separate electorates in Bengal were abandoned, so that each community in that province was left to secure such seats as it could gain by appeal to a combined electorate, we should not, on that account, seek to deprive the Moslem community of its existing weightage in the six provinces where they are in a minority. In the same way in the Punjab, if Moslems, Sikhs and Hindus were prepared to seek election through a joint electorate covering all three communities, here again we should still be prepared to see this combined with the preservation of the present numerical proportion secured to the Muhammadans by separate electorates in the six other provinces.

We make this last suggestion, which really involves giving the Moslem community the advantage of a choice between two courses to follow, because we sincerely desire to see all practicable means attempted for reducing the extent of separate electorates and for Biving the other system a practical trial.

APPENDEX VIII

CRIPPS PROPOSALS

DRAFT DECLARATION FOR DISCUSSION WITH INDIAN LEADERS

The conclusions of the British War Cabinet set out below are those which Sir Stafford Cripps has brought with him for discussion with Indian Leaders, and the question as to whether they will be implemented will depend upon the outcome of these discussions which are now taking place.

His Majesty's Government having considered the anxieties expressed in this country and in India as to the fulfilment of promises made in regard to the future of India have decided to lay down in precise and clear terms, the steps which they propose shall be taken for the earliest possible realisation of self-government in India. The object is the creation of a new Indian Union which shall constitute a Dominion associated with the United Kingdom and other Dominions by a common allegiance to the Crown but equal to them in every respect, in no way subordinate in any aspect of its domestic or external affairs.

His Majesty's Government, therefore, make the following claration.-

- immediately upon cessation of hostilities, steps shall be (a)taken to set up in India, in manner described hereafter, an elected body charged with the task of framing a new Constitution for India.
- (b) Provision shall be made, as set out below, for participation of Indian States in the Constitution-making body.
- His Majesty's Government undertake to accept and im-(c) plement forthwith the Constitution so framed subject only ξο .—
 - (1) The right of any Province of British India that is not prepared to accept the new Constitution, to reatain its present constitutional position, provisions being made for its subsequent accession if it so decides.

With such non-acceding Provinces, should they so desire, His Majesty's Government will be prepared to agree upon a new Constitution given them the same full status as the Indian Union and arrived at by a procedure analogous to that here laid down.

(u) The signing of a Treaty which shall be negotiated between His Majesty's Government and the Constitution-making body. This Treaty will cover all necessary matters arising out of the complete transfer of responsibility from British to Indian hands; it will make provision, in accordance with undertakings given by His Majesty's Government, for the protection of racial and religious minorities; but will not impose any restriction on the power of the Indian Union to decide in future its relationship to other Member States of the British commonwealth.

Whether or not an Indian State elects to adhere to the Constitution, it will be necessary to negotiate a revision of its Treaty arrangements so far as this may be required in the new situation.

(d) The Constitution-making body shall be composed as follows unless the leaders of Indian opinion in the principal communities agree upon some other form before the end of hostilities:--

Immediately upon the result being known of Provincial Elections which will be necessary at the end of hostilities. the entire membership of the Lower House of Porvincial Legislatures shall as a single electoral college proceed to the election of the Constitution-making body by the system of proportional representation. This new body shall be in number about 1/10th of the number of the electoral college.

Indian States shall be invited to appoint representatives in the same proportion to their total population as in the case of representatives of British India as a whole and with the same powers as British Indian Members

During the critical period which now faces India and until (e) the new Constitution can be framed, His Majesty's Government must inevitable bear the responsibility for and rteatin the control and direction of the defence of India as part of their world war effort, but the task of organising to the full the military, morel andmaterial resources of India must be the responsibility of the Government of India with the co-operation of the peoples of India. His Majesty's Govement desire and invite the immediate and effective participation of the leaders of the principal sections of the Indian pepole in the counsels of their country, of the Commonwealth and of the united nations. Thus they will be enabled to give their active and constructive help in the discharge of a task which is vital and essential for the future freedom of India.

APPENDIX IX

OBJECTIONS TO CRIPPS PROPOSALS

tatement by B. R. Ambedkar showing how the Cripps Proposals would affect the Untouchables

The War Cabinet proposals show a sudden Volte Face on the of His Majesty's Government. The putting forth of these cosals, which were denounced by them as an invesion of minorights, is an indication of their complete surrender of right to might. This is Munich mentality, the essence of which is to oneself by sacrificing others, and it is this mentality which is large on these proposals. It is reported that the American English people are annoyed at Indians not welcoming the proposals of His Majesty's Government relating to the constitutional neement of India and thereby allowing the mission of Sir ford Cripps to fail. One can forgive the Americans for their ude, but surely the English people and Sir Stafford Cripps

ought to know better. It does not seem to have been sufficienty realised that the proposals of His Majesty's Government now put forth as the best are the very proposals which have been rejected and condemned by His Majesty's Government as the worst, only a few months previously Those who realise this cannot but help saying that this is the ugliest part of the whole business of constitutional advance, which His Majesty's Government is now suddenly and contrary to its previous declarations, rushing to undertake. The proposals fall into three parts: (1) There is to be a constituent assembly with a right to frame the Constitution for India. Assembly is to have the fullest power to frame such constitution as the majority in the Assembly may choose to decide; (2) The new Constitution is not to include all of the present Provinces of India but only such Provinces as may be willing to be bound by For this the Provinces have been given a right to decide whether they shall join the new Constitution or stay out of it, This is left to be done by a plebiscite in which a bare majority is declared enough to decide the issue; (3) The Constituent Assembly shall be required to enter into a treaty with the British Government. The treaty is to contain provisions for the safety and security of racial and religious minorities. After such a treaty is signed, the British Government is to withdraw its sovereignty and the Constitution framed by the Constituent Assembly is to come into operation

Such in brief outline, is the scheme of His Majesty's Government.

The proposal regarding Constituent Assembly is not a new proposal. It was put forth by the Congress when the war broke out and what is important is that this proposal of the Congress rejected by his Magesty's Government. This is what Mr Amery said in the House of Commons on August 14, 1940, regarding Constituent Assembly:—

"Congress leaders have built up a remarkable organization, the most efficient political machine in India If only they had succeeded, if the Congress could, in fact speak, as it professes to speak, for all the main elements in India's national life, then however advanced their demands, our problem would have been in many respects far easier than it is to-day. It is true that they are numerically the largest single party in British India, but their claim in virtue of that fact to speak for India is utterly denied by very important elements in India's complex national life. These others assert their right to be regarded not as mere numerical minorities but as separate constituent factors in my future Indian policy. The foremost among these elements stands the great Muslim community. They will have nothing to do with a Constitution framed by a Constituent Assembly elected by a

majority vote in geographical constituencies. They claim the right in any constitutional discussions to be regarded as an entity and are determined only to accept a Constitution whose actual structure will secure their position as an entity against the operations of a mere numerical majority. The same applies to the great body of what are known as the Scheduled Castes who feel, in spite of Mr. Gandhi's earnest endeavours on their behalf, that, as a community, they stand outside the main body of the Hindu Community, which is represented by the Congress."

This statement was made by Mr. Amery when he was clucidating the announcement made by the Viceroy on 8th August 1941 in which he had given the following pledge to the minorities on behalf of His Majesty's Government:—

"There are two main points which have emerged On these two points, His Majesty's Government now desire me to make their position clear. The first is as to the position of the minorities in relation to any future constitutional scheme It goes without saying that they (H. M. Government) could not contemplate the transfer of their present responsibilities for the peace and welfare of India to any system of government whose authority is directly denied by large and powerful elements in India's national life. Nor could they be parties to the coercion of such elements into submission to such a government."

Again on the 23rd April 1941, Mr Amery referred to the demand of the constituent Assembly and expressed himself in the following terms:—

"India's future Constitution should be devised by Indians for themselves and not by the British Government India's future Constitutions should be essentially an Indian Constitution, framed in accordance with the Indian conception of Indian conditions and Indian needs The only essential condition is that the Constitution itself and the body which is to frame it, must be the outcome of agreement between principal elements in India's national life."

Such were the views and pledges given by H. M. Government regarding Constituent Assembly, which is now conceded. Regarding the demand for Pakistan, it was a demand put forward by the Juslim League. This demand was also rejected by His Majesty's Government. This is what Mr. Amery said in regard to it in the House of Commons on August 1, 1940.—

"This reaction against the dangers of what is called the Congress Raj or Hindu Raj has gone so for as to lead to a growing demand from Muslim quarters for a complete breaking up of

India into separate Hindu and Muslim dominions. I need say nothing to-day of the manifold and to my mind, insuperable objections to such a scheme, at any rate in its extreme form I would only note that it marely shifts the problem of permanent minorities to somewhat smaller areas, without solving it."

Again on April 23, 1941, he referred to it in his speech in the House of Common and spoke about it in the following terms:—

"I am not concerned here to discuss the immense practical difficultise in the way of this so-called Pakistan project nor need I go back to the dismal record of India's history in the 18th century or to the disastrous experience of the Balkan contries before our eyes, to-day, in order to point out the terrible dangers inherent in any break up of the essential unity of India, a tany rate in its relation to the outside world. After all, there is no British achievement in India of which we have reason to be proud then the unity we have given her."

Such were the views of His Majesty's Government only a year ago regarding Constituent Assembly and Pakistan.

It is quite obvious that the proposal for a Constituent Assembly is intended to win over the Congress, while the proposal for Pakistan is designed to win over the Muslim League the proposal deal with the Depressed Classes? To put it shortly, they are bound hand and foot and handed over to the caste Hindus. They offer them nothing; stone instead of bread. For the Constituent Assembly is nothing short of a betrayal of the Depressed Classes There can be no doubt as to what the position of the Depressed Classes will be in the Constituent Assembly, nor can there be any doubt regarding the political programme of the Constituent Assembly. In the Constituent Assembly, there may be no representatives of the Depressed Classes at all because no communal quotas are fixed by these proposal. If they are there, they cannot have a free, independent and decisive vote. In the first place, the representatives of the Depressed Classes will be in a hopeless minority In the second place, all decisions of the Constituent Assembly are not required to be by a unanimous vote. A majority vote is enough to decide any question no matter what its constitutional importance is. It is clear that under this system the voice of the Depressed Classes in the Constituent Assembly cannot. In the third place, the present system of proportional by which the members to the Constituent Assembly are to be elected under the terms contained in His Majesty's proposal cannot but result in the caste Hindus having virtually the right to nominate the representatives of the Depressed Classes to the Constituent Assembly Such representatives of the Depressed Classes will be the tools of the caste Hindu. In the forth place, the Constituent

Assembly will be filled with the Congressites who will from the dominant majority party able to carry out its own programme. There is no doubt that Mr Gandhi, whatever may be said about his endeavours in the matter of the social uplift of the Depressed Classes, is totally opposed to giving political recognition to the Depressed Classes in the Constitution as a separate and distinct element in the national life of India. That being the case, the programme of the majority party in the Constituent Assembly will be to wipe out the political safeguards already granted to the Depressed Classes in the persent Constitution. Any one, who realises what is implied in the Constituent Assembly, will admit that His Majesty's Government by their proposals have literally thrown the Depressed Classes to the wolves. It may be said that while there is the Constituent Assembly which may deny constitutional safeguards to the Depressed Classes, His Majesty's Government have been ceareful to include in their proposal the provisions for a treaty with the Constituent Assembly the object of which is to secure the interests of the Depressed Classes. propasal of a treaty is evidently borrowed from the plan adopted by His Majesty's Government for the settlement of the Irish dispute. The proposal regarding the treaty does not say what are the safeguards His Majesty's Government will decide to include to the treaty. This is an important point because there may be a difference of opinion between His Majesty's Government and the Depressed Classes on the nature, number and method of the political safeguards that may be necessary to protect the interests of the Depressed Classes under the new Constitution The second and equally important question about the treaty is what is going to be the sanction behind the treaty. Will the treaty be a part of the Constitutent framed by the Consituent Assembly, so that any provision in the Constitution which is repugnant with the treaty will be null and void? Or, will the treaty be just a treaty between the two governments, the Indian National Government and His Majesty's Government, as any trade treaty? If the treaty is to be of the former kind, it will be the law of the land and will have legal sanction of the Indian Government behind it. If, on the other hand, the treaty is to be of the latter kind, it is obvious it will not be the law of the land and will have no legal sanction behind it. Its sanction will be political sanction a treaty cannot override the Constitution framed by the National Government for the obvious reason that such a thing, as was found in the case of Irish Free State, is incompatible with Dominion Status. The only sanction behind such a treaty will be political sanction. It is obvious that the use of such sanction must depend upon the colour of the Government and the state of public opinion. Given this fact, the questions that arise are two: (1) What are the means which His Majesty's Government will

have at its disposal to enforce the treaty obligations? (2) Secondly. will His Majesty' Government be prepared to use these means to coerce the Indian National Government to abide by the terms of the treaty? With regard to the first question, it is obvious that the means for enforcing the treaty are twofold—use of force and trade war As to the military force, the Indian army will not be available. It will be entirely transferred to the control of the new Indian National Government. His Majesty's Government will have therefore lost this means of enforcing the treaty. It is impossible to belive that His Majesty's Government will send its own army to compel the National Government to obey the treaty. trade war is not possible. It is a suicidal policy and the experience of the Irish war with the Irish Free State for the recovery of land annuities shows that a nation of shopkeepers will not sanction it even though it may be for their interest and honour. The treaty therefore is going to be an empty formula, if not a cruel loke, upon the Depressed Classes His Majesty's Government has sent out these proposals to be welcomed by Indians. But neither His Majesty's Government nor Sir Stafford Cripps have offered any explanation as to why they are offering to Indians they very proposals which His Majesty's Government had been condemning in scathing terms only a few months ago. A year ago, His Majesty's Government said that they would not grant Constituent Assembly because that would be coercion of the minorities. His Majesty's Government is now prepared to grant Constituent Assembly and to coerce the minorities A year ago, His Majesty's Government said that they will not allow Pakistan because that is Balkanization of India. To-day, they are prepared to allow the partition of India. How can be Government of a Great Empire lose all sense of principle? They only explanation is that His Majesty's Government has, as a result of the course of the war, become panic-The proposals are the result of loss of nerve. How great is the panic that has overtaken His Majesty's Government can be easily seen if one compared the demands made by the Congress and the Muslim League and the concessions made to them by these proposals. The Congress demanded that the Constitution should be framed by a Constituent Assembly by a mere majority On the other hand, when the Viceroy announced that the British Government will not be a party to the coercion of the minorities involved in the demand by the Congress, the Working Committee of the Congress at its meeting at Wardha held on August 22, 1940, passed the following resolution:-

"The Committee regrets that although the Congress has never thought in terms of coercing any minority, much less of asking the British Government to do so, the demand for a settlement of a Constitution though through a Constituent Assembly of duly elected representatives has been misrepresented as coercion and the issue of minorities has been made into an insuperable barrier to Indians progress"

The Working Committee added :-

"The Congress had propsed that minority rights should be amply protected by agreement with the elected representatives of the minorities concerned."

This shows that even the Congress did not demand that the decision of minority rights should be included in the purview of the Constituent Assembly. His Majesty's Government has, however, given them the additional right to decide this minority rights issue by a bare majority vote. With regard to the question of Pakistan, the same attitude is noticeable. The Muslim League did not demand that Pakistan must be conceded immediately. All that the Muslim League had asked for was that at the next revision of the Constitution, the Mussalmans should not be prevented from raising the question of Pakis'an. The present proposals have gone a step beyond and distinctly give to the Muslim League the right to creat Pakistan. These are constitutional proposals They are intended to lead India to wage a total war in which Hindus, Mussalmans, Depressed Classes and Sikhs are called upon wholeheartedly to participate Yet Sir Stafford Cripps, either with the consent or without the consent of His Majesty's Government, has been making discrimination between major parties and minor parties. The major parties are those whose consent is necessary. Minor parties are those with whom consulation is believed to be enough. This is new distinction Certainly it was never made in the prior pronouncements either of His Majesty's Government or of the Vicerov The pronouncement spoke of the "consent of the principal elements in the national life of India."

So far as the Depressed Classes are concerned, I am not aware of any pronouncement in which the Depressed Classes were placed on a lower plane than the one given to the Mussalmans. I quote the following from the speech of the Viceroy made in Bombay January 10, 1941, from which it will be seen that the Depressed Classes were bracketed with the Mussalmans:

"There are insistent claims of the minorities. I need refer only to two of them; the great Muslim minority and the Scheduled Classes—There are the guarantees that have been given to the minorities in the past, the fact that their position must be safeguarded and that those guarantees must be honoured."

This invidious distinction now sought to be made is a breach of faith with those minorities whose position has been lowered by this discrimination. From a constitutional point of view of total

war, it is bound to cause more disaffection and disloyalty in the country. It is for the British to consider whether in this attempt to win the friendship of those who have probably already decided to choose other friends, they should lose those who are their real friends. The proposals show a sudden volte face on the part of His Majesty's Government. The putting forth of those proposals which were denounced by them as an invasion of minorities' rights is an indication of their complete surrender of right to win might. This is Munich Mentality the essence of which is to save oneself by sacrificing others and it is this mentality which is writ large on those proposals. My advice to the British Government is that they should withdraw these proposals. If they cannot fight for right and justice and their plighted word they should better make peace. They can thereby at least save their honour.

APPENDIX X

CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN LORD WAVELL AND MR. GANDHI, 1944

1. Letter from Mr. Gandhi to Viceroy on July 15th, 1944.

"DEAR FRIEND,

You have no dout seen the authentic copies, now published in the Indian Press, of the statements given by me to Mr. Gelder of the News Chronicle. As I have said to the Press, they were meant primarily to be shown to you. But Mr Gelder, no dout with the best of motives, gave the interview premature publicity I am sorry. The publication will nevertheless be a blessing in disguise, if the interview enables you to grant at least one of my requests contained in my letter of June 17, 1944

I am yours, etc., (Sd.) M. K. Gandhi."

2 Viceroy's reply to Mr. Gandhi, dated 22nd July 1944.

"DEAR MR. GANDHI,

Thank you for your letter of July 15. I have seen the statements you have made to Mr. Gelder, and your subsequent explanation of them. I do not think I can usefully comment at present, except to repeat what I have said in my last letter that if you wil

submit to me a definite and constructive policy, I shall be glad to eonsider it.

Yours sincerely, (Sd) Wavell."

3. Gandhi's letter to the Viceroy, dated 27th July 1944.

"DEAR FRIEND.

I must admit my dissappointment over your letter of 22nd instant. But I am used to work in the face of disappointment. Here is my concrete proposal.

I am prepared to advise the Working Committee to declare that in view of changed conditions wass civil disobedience envisaged by the resolution of August 1942, cannot be offered and that full co-operation in the war effort should be given by the Congress, if a declaration of immediate Indian independence is made and a National Government responsible to the Central Assembly be formed subject to the proviso that, during the pendency of the war, the military operations should continue as at present but without involving any financial burden on India If there is a desire on the part of the British Government for a settlement, friendly talks should take the place of correspondence. But I am in your hands—I shall continue to knock so long as there is the least hope of an honourable settlement

After the foregoing was written, I saw Lord Munster's speech in the House of Lords. The summary given by him in the House of Lords fairly represents my proposal. This summary may serve as a basis for mutual friendly discussion.

> I am, yours, sincerely, (Sd.) M. K. Gander"

4. Viceroy's reply to Mr. Gandhi, dated 15th August 1944.

"DEAR MR. GANDHI

Thak you for your letter of July 27. Your proposals are .-

- (1) that you should undertake to advise the Working Committee. (a) "that in view of changed conditions mass civil disobedience envisaged by the resolution of August 1942, cannot be offered" and (b) "that full co-operation in the war effort should be given by the Congress provided.
- (ii) that His Majesty's Government (a) declare immediate Indian independence, and (b) from a "National Government" responsible to the Central Assembly "subject to

the proviso that during the pendency of the war the military operations should continue as at present but without involving any financial burden on India."

His Majesty's Government remain most anxious that a settlement of the Indian problem should be reached. But proposals such as those put forward by you are quite unacceptable to His Majesty's Government as a basis for discussion and you must realise this if you have read Mr. Amery's statement in the House of Commons on July 28th last. They are indeed very similar to the proposals made by Maulana. Abul Kalam Azad to Sir Stafford Cripps in April 1942 and His. Majesty's Government's reasons for rejecting them are the same as they were then.

- 3. Without recapitulating all these reasons in detail, I should remind you that His Majesty's Government at that time made it clear:
 - (a) That their offer of unqualified freedom after the cessation of hostilities was made conditional upon the framing of a Constitution agreed by the main elements of India's national life and the negotiation of the necessary treaty arrangements with His Majesty's Government:
 - (b) That it is impossible during the period of hostilities to bring about any change in the Constitution by which means alone a "National Government" such as you suggest could be made responsible to the Central Assembly.

The object of these conditions was to ensure the fulfilment of their duty to safeguard the interests of the racial and religious minorities of the Depressed Classes and their treaty obligations to the Indian States

4. It was upon the above conditions that His Majesty's Government invited Indian leaders to take part in an interim Government which would operate under the existing Constitution I must make it quite clear that until the war is over responsibility for defence and military operations cannot be divided from the toher responsibilities of Government and that until hostilities cease and the new Constitution is in operation, His Majesty's Government and the Governor-General must retain their responsibility over the entire field. So far as the question of India's share of the cost of the war is concerned, this is essentially a matter for settlement between His Majesty's Government on the one hand and the Government of India on the other, and existing financial arrangements can only be reopened at the instance of one or the other.

5 It is clear in these circumstances that no puropose will be served by discussion on the basis which you suggest. If however the leaders of the Hindus, the Muslims and the important minorities were willing to co-operate in a transitional Government established and working within the present constitution, I believe good progress might be made. For such a transitional Government to succeed, there must before it is formed, be agreement in principle between Hindus and Muslims and all important elements as to the method by which the new Constitution should be framed. This agreement is a matter for Indians themselves.

Until Indian leaders have come closer together than they are now, I doubt if I myself can do anything to help. Let me remind you too that minority problems are not easy. They are real and can be solved only by mutual compromise and tolerance.

6. The period after the termination of hostilities for which the transitional Government would last, would depend or the speed with which the new constitution could be framed. I see no leason why preliminary work on that Constitution should not beging as soon as the Indian leaders are prepared to co-operate to that end. If they can arrive at a genuine agreement as to the methood of framing the Constitution, no unnecessary time need be spent after the war in reaching final conclusions and in agreeing treaty arrangements with His Majesty's Government. There again the primary responsibility rests on the Indian leaders.

Yours sincerely, (Sd.) Wavell."

APPENDIX XI

POLITICAL DEMANDS OF SCHEDULED CASTES

Resolutions passed by the Working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation held in Madrus on the 23rd September 1944 under the Presidentship of Rao Banadur N. Shiva Raj, B.A., B.L., M.L.A., outlining the safeguards for the Untouchables in the new Constitution.

RESOLUTION No. 1

Subject:—Recognition of the Scheduled Castes as a separate element.

The Working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation has found a section of the Press in India making the

allegation, that the statement made by H. E the Viceroy in his letter to Mr. Gandhi dated the 15th August 1944 to the effect that the Scheduled Castes are one of the important and separate elements in the national life of India and requiring that the consent of the Scheduled Castes to the Constitution of India was a necessary condition precedent for transfer of power to Indians, is a departure from the position of His Majesty's Government as defined in the Cripps Proposals. The Committee cannot help expressing its indignation at this propaganda and takes this occasion to state in most emphatic and categorical terms that the Scheduled Castes are a distinct and separate element in the national life of India and that they are a religious minority in a sense far more real than the Sikhs and Muslims can be and within the meaning of the Cripps Proposals The Working Committee desires to point out that what has been stated by Lord Wavell in his letter to Mr. Gandhi has been the position of of His Majesty's Government from the very beginning and was enunciated in clear terms as early as 1917 by the authors of the Montagu-Chelmsford Report simultaneously with the enunciation by them of Responsible Government as the goal of India's political evolution and has been confirmed by subsequent action of His Majesty's Government such as the grant of sepate representation to the Scheduled Castes at the Round Table Conference, Joint Parliamentary Committee and in the Government of India Act, 1935, as a recognized minority, separate from the Hindus. The Working Committee has, therefore, no hesitation in saying that it is a false and malicious propaganda to allege that this is a departure from the policy of His Majesty's Government and regards it as a manoeuvre on the part of the enemies of the Scheduled Castes to defeat their just claims for constitutional safeguards and calls upon Indian political leaders and particularly the Hindu leaders to accept this fact, in the interests of peace and good-will between the Hindus and the Scheduled Castes, and for the speedy realizataion of India's political goal.

RESOLUTION No. 2

Subject — Declaration by His Majesty's Government relating to the Scheduled Castes and the Constitution.

The Working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation welcomes the declaration made by His Majesty's Government and recently resterated by His Excellency the Viceroy that His Majesty's Government regards the consent of the Scheduled Castes, among others, to the Constitution of a free India, as a matter of vital importance and as a necessary condition precedent to the transfer of power to Indian hands. At the same time the Working Committee wishes to draw the attention of His Majesty's Government to the attitude of the Congress and other

political organizations in the country which treats this declaration of His Majesty's Government as not being a bonu fide declaration and made without any intention to honour it and as a mere matter of tactics adopted to postpone transfer of power, and which is in all probability responsible for the unwillingness of the Majority Community to seek for a settlement with the Scheduled Castes. The Working Committee regards this allegation as baseless and calls upon His Majesty's Government not to give any ground for such suspicion and make it clear that they will stand by the declaration at all times and under all circumstances

RESOLUTION No. 3

Subject:—Nature of Constitutional Safeguards.

The Working Committee declares that no Constitution shall be acceptable to the Scheduled Castes unless ---

- (a) it has the consent of the Scheduled Castes;
- (b) it recognizes the Scheduled Castes as distinct and separate element;
- (c) it contains within itself provisions for securing the following purposes:
 - (1) For earmarking a definite sum in the Budgets of the Provincial and Central Governments for the Secondary, University and Advanced Education of the Scheduled Castes.
 - (2) For reservation of Government lands for separate settlements of the Scheduled Castes through a Settlement Commission.
 - (3) For Representation of the Scheduled Castes according to their needs numbers and importance:—
 - (i) in the Legislatures,
 - (11) in the Executive,
 - (ui) in Municipalities and Local Boards,
 - (1V) in the Public Services,
 - (v) on the Public Service Commissions.
 - (4) For the recognition of the above provisions as fundamental rights beyond the powers of the Legislature or the Executive to amend or alter or abrogate.
 - (5) For the appointment of an Officer similar in status to that of the Auditor-General appointed under

Section 166 of the Government of India Act of 1935 and removable from office in like manner and on the like grounds as a judge of the Federal Court to report on the working of the provisions relating to Fundamental Rights.

Resolution No 4

Subject.—Communal Settlement.

The Working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation, while it is most eager for a settlement of the Communal problem, wholly disapproves of the secret negotiations which are being carried on by Mr. Gandhi and Mr. Jinnah for a settlement between the Hindus and the Muslims. The Working Committee 18 of opinion that Communal settlement of a sectional character is harmful in every way. It is haimful because it ignores the vital interests of other communities. It is harmful because it creates a feeling of suspicion in other communities that dishonest deal is being made between two communities to defeat their interests is also harmful to the general interests of the country, inasmuch as the singling out of one special community from others for conferring special privileges, not necessary for its protection but demanded on the basis of prestige, creates differences in status which from the point of view of maintaining equal citizenship for all, are unjustifiable and must be deplored. The Working Committee is surprised that Mr Gandhi who has time and again proclaimed himself as an opponent of secrecy in public life should have entered into secret diplomacy to bring about Hindu-Moslem Settlement. The Committee expresses its emphatic opinion that the proper procedure to settle the communal question, which would give a sense of security and ensure fair and equal treatment to all is to discuss the demands put forth by each interest in public and in the presence of and with the representatives of other interests.

RESOLUTION No. 5

Subject — Revision of Constitution

The Working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation is of opinion that the provision's in the existing Constitution relating to minority representation are not based on any intelligible principle. The Committee finds that as the system now stands, some minorities have not received even their population ratio of representation, while other minorities have been given weightage over and above their population ratio as a concession to their claims based on historical and military importance. The Norking Committee regards the recognition of such claims to be

harmful to the interests of other minorities and inconsistent with the ideal of social and political democracy, which is the goal of all Indians and that they should never be tolerated. In this connection, the Committee wishes to draw attention to the fact that the principle of giving weightage to specially selected minorities has been condemned by the authors of the Monragu-Chelmsford Report and also by the Simon Commission. The Committee demands that in view of the fact that next Constitution of Iadia will be for India as a Dominion, the provisions of the Constitution relating to minorities should be revised and should be brought in accord with the principle of equal treatment of all minorities

RESOLUTION No. 6

Subject - Representation in the Legislatures and in the Executive

The Working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation desires to state in categorical and emphatic terms that the Scheduled Castes will not tolerate any discrimination between one community and another in the matter of representation and will insist upon their claim for seats in the Provincial and Central Legislatures and in the Provincial and Central Executive being adjudged in the same manner and by the same principles that may be made applicable to the claims of the Muslim Community.

RESOLUTION No. 7

Subject: -Electorates.

The Working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation is of opinion that the experience of the last elections held under the Government of India Act has proved that the system of joint electorates has deprived the Scheduled Castes of the right to send true and effective representatives to the Legislatures and has given the Hindu Majority the virtual right to nominate members of the Scheduled Castes who are prepared to be the tools of the Hindu Majority. The Working Committee of the Federation therefore demands that the system of joint electorates and reserved seats should be abolished and the system of separate electorates be introduced in place thereof.

RESOLUTION No. 8

Subject: Frame+ork of Executive Government.

The working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation takes note of the fact that not only all wealth, property, trade and industry are in the hands of the Majority Community, but even the whole administration of the State is controlled by the Majority Community whose members have monopolized all posts in the State services both superior and infeior. The Working

Committee of the Ali-India Scheduled Castes Federation regards this as the most dangerous situation which cannot but cause great apprehension to the minority communities since the combination of these circumstances gives the majority the fullest power to establish its stranglehold upon the minorities. This fear of a stranglehold is greatly augmented by the Constitutional provisions relating to the Executive contained in the Government of India Act of 1935 which permits the majority in the Legislature to form a Government without reference to the wishes of the minorities.

The Working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation feels that while, in the absence of an alternative system, the Parliamentary system of Government may have to be accepted, the Committee is definitely opposed to the system of Parliamentary cabinet massiment as it automatically vests the Executive authority in the Majority Community and thereby strengthens the hold of the Majority Community which has entered into the steel frame of the administration and thus has become a source of great danger to the Minorities. The Working Committee has, therefore, come to the conclusion that the system of Parliamentary Cabinet is not suited to Inian conditions and that a different system under which Executive Government would be formed in consultation with the wishes of the minorities must be designed to to give them a better sense of security.

The Working Committee insists that the Executive in the Provinces as well as in the Centre should be constituted in the following manner:—

- (i) The Executive should consist of a Prime Minister and other ministers drawn from general community and from minority communities in a proportion to be specified in the Constitution.
- (11) The Prime Minister and Ministers drawn from the general community shall be elected to the Executive by the whole house by single transferable vote.
- (iii) The Ministers representing the minority communities shall be chosen by the members representing the different communities by single transferable vote.
- (17) The Members of the Executive shall be members of the Legislature, shall answer questions, vote and take part in debates.
- (v) Any vacancy in the Executive shall be filled in accordance with rules governing the original appointments.
- (n) The period for which the Executive shall hold office shal be co-terminus with the life of the Legislature.

RESOLUTION No 9

Subject Public Services

While it is desirable to plan for a Government which will be a Government of Laws and not of men, it can not be forgotten that no matter how Government is organized, it must remain a Government of men. That being so, whether Government is good or bad -as distinguished from a merely efficient Government-and how for the administration of public affairs will be non-political and impartial must depend upon the spirit and outlook and sense of justice of the men who are appointed to administer the Law. The working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation is convinced that the Scheduled Castes can never get protection, justice or sympathy from the present administration which is controlled by men full of Caste consciousness, narrowmindedness, absence of sense of justice and having a hatred and contempt for the Scheduled Castes. The Working Committee, therefore, demands that the Constitution must recognize right of the Scheduled Castes to reservation in the public services in the same proportion as may be applied to the claims of the Muslim Community.

RESOLUTION No 10

Subject: - Provision for Education.

The Working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation feels that unless persons belonging to the Scheduled Castes are able to occupy posts which carry executive authority the Scheduled Castes must continue to suffer, as they have been doing in past all the injustices and indignities from the hands of the Government and the public. The Working Committee, therefore, regards the spread of higher and advanced education among Scheduled Castes as of vital importance to them. But, it cannot be denied that such advanced education is beyond the means of the Sheduled Castes. The Committee regards it as essential that a definite hability in this behalf should be imposed on the State to provide funds for that purpose and demands that the Constitution should impose an obligation upon the Provincial Government and the Central Government to set apart adequate sums, as may be specified by the Constitution, exclusively for advanced edu-cation of the Scheduled Castes in their annual budgets and to accept such provisions as a first charge on their revenues.

RESOLUTION No. 11

Subject: -- Separate Settlements.

The Working Committee of the All-India Scheduled Castes Federation holds:—

(a) that so long as the Scheduled Castes continue to live on the outskirts of the Hindu village, as an alien people, with

Appendix XI

no source of livelihood and in small numbers as compared to Hindus, they will continue to remain Untouchables and subject to the tyranny and oppression of the Hindus and will not be able to enjoy free and full life, and that for the better protection of the Scheduled Castes from the tyranny and oppression of the Caste Hindus, which may take a worse form under Swaraj, and to enable the Scheduled Castes to develop to their fullest manhood, to give them economic and social security as also to pave the way for the removal of untouchability, the Working Committee demands that the Constitution should provide—

- (1) For the transplantation of the Scheduled Castes from their present habitations and from separate Scheduled Caste villages away from and independent of Hindu villages;
- (2) For the settlement of the Scheduled Castes in new villages a provision shall be made by the Constitution for the establishment of a Settlement Commission
- (3) All Government land which is cultivable and which is not occupied and land which may be reclaimed shall be handed over to the Commission to be held in trust for the purpose of making new settlements of the Scheduled Castes.
- (4) The Commission shall be empowered to purchase new land under the Land Acquisition Act from private owners to complete the scheme of settlements of the Scheduled Castes.
- (5) The Constitution shall provide that the Central Government shall grant to the Settlement Commission a minimum sum of rupees five crores per annum to enable it to carry out their duty in this behalf.

RESOLUTION No. 12

"The Working Committee of the A.I.S.C. Federation unanisty resolves that it places its complete confidence in Dr B. R bedkar and authorises him to negotiate on its behalf and or alf of Scheduled Castes with other political parties or theilers as and when necessity arises."

| | .05 |
|---------------------|--|
| 256 | |
| 2,5 | 226,096 |
| uni | 98 |
| 12,042 | 120 540 10 778 |
| 3.56 | 19.2 19.6 26.2 |
| 420,760 | 8,018,803 3,698,355 |
| 17 Kg | 15.5 |
| \$47,844 448,528 | 135,169 6,231,062 2,185,246 |
| 7,516,349 | 3,604,866 40,906,147 14 114,470 |
| : : | : : |
| Chota Nagpur | Berat |
| | Nagpur 13,208,718 448,528 3.4 2,359,836 17,9 42,135 .3 |

Appendix XII

| | | Appenuix All |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Per- cent- age | |
| Sikhs | Population | 381 126 286 287 2731 803 81,342 65,903 65,903 65,903 1,342,685 11,342,685 11,342,685 11,342,685 17,739 81,896 |
| stians | Per- cent- age | 6.6. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10 |
| Indian Christians | Population | 25,913 9,1820 1,582 17,236 17,236 17,236 1,355 20,880 98,580 98,580 1,958,491 1,958,491 1,958,491 1,958,491 1,105 |
| Muslims Scheduled Castes | Per- cent- age | 200. 100. |
| | Porula tion | 265 230, 794 269, 729 1,027,009 483, 132 141, 154 306, 298 55, 204 113, 464 813, 464 113, 464 1405, 067 Nul 752, 088 349, 962 238, 774 2, 238, 774 152, 952 152, 952 152, 952 152, 952 152, 952 152, 952 152, 952 152, 952 |
| | Per. cent- age | 4.72 2.77 2.77 2.77 2.75 |
| | Papulation | 31,662 346,251 223,610 372,113 439,850 183,036 58,000 58,000 58,000 2097,473 3,073,540 3,073,540 14,355 2,251,459 1,297,841 1,297,841 1,297,841 600,440 |
| | Total Population | 725,655 356,204 2,855,010 2,144,829 7,506,427 4,050,000 1,428,702 4,006,159 16,338,534 4,006,159 16,338,534 4,006,159 16,338,534 7,329,140 4,6267 3,023,731 5,503,554 1,090,644 13,670,018 982,470 4,904,156 |
| States and Agencies | | apur) |
| | | Ofhapur) |
| | | Assam Baluchistan Baroda Baroda Bengal Central India Chattisgarh Cochm Deccan (and Kolhap Gujarat Gwallor Hyderabad Kashmere and Feuds Madra N. W F P Ortsa Punjab Punjab Punjab Sikkun Travancore U P. Western India |

Communal Distribution of Population by Minorities in Indian States.

APPENDIX XIV

Particulars of Scheduled Castes Constituencies in regard to seats and voting strength Province by Province:—

Appendix XIV: (1) Madras

- ,, (2) Bombay
- ., ,, (3) Bengal
- ., (4) U. P
- " ,, (5) Punjab
- ,, (6) Bihar
- " (7) C. P. & Berar
- " (8) Assam
- ,, (9) Orissa

| | | A | ppe | ndu | c X | \mathcal{IV} | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| Percentage of Scheduled Caste Voters | 8 | 22 | 14 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 17 | 16 | 21 | 14 | 21 | 15 | 25 |
| Scheduled Castes | 1~ | 2,909 | 7,461 | 23,110 | 12,066 | 11,463 | 13,314 | 10,885 | 7,436 | 10,630 | 11,396 | 9,232 | 13,433 |
| General | 9 | 13,318 | 54,012 | 46,163 | 50,384 | 45,452 | 77,627 | 67,851 | 35,094 | 74,497 | 54,864 | 63,092 | 53,687 |
| For Scheduled Caste Seat | 5 | | 4 | w | - | 60 | m | r4 | 4 | ю | 7 | 73 | 4 |
| For General Seat | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | ec | 60 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 2 | ભ | m | 7 |
| For Scheduled Castes | ы | 1 | - | | , - | | ₩. | | **** | - | presi | | 1 |
| For General | 77 | | 1-4 | _ | | | _ | | | , | - | resi | - |
| | *- 3 | Madras City, South Central | Chreacole | Amalapuram | Cocanada , | Ellore | Bandar | Ongole | Guđur . | Cuddapah | Penukonda | Bellary | 'urnaal |
| | For For For Scheduled General Scheduled Castes Seat Caste Seat | For For For For Scheduled Scheduled Scheduled Castes Seat Castes Castes 2 3 4 5 6 7 | For General General 2For General Scheduled 33For For General General 4Scheduled Scheduled Castes Seat 5Scheduled Castes States 6Percentage Castes 61145678345678413,3182,90922 | For General General General I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | For General General In Incidental General In Incidental Incidenta | For General General General I Scheduled General General I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | For General General General I In In In In In In In In In In In In I | For For | For For For For For For For General Scheduled General Scheduled General Scheduled General Scheduled General Scheduled General Gastes Scheduled General Gastes Scheduled Gastes For For For For Scheduled For For For For For For For For Scheduled General Scheduled General Scheduled General Caste Seat The control of the | The control of the | 1 2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 |

| Truttanı | | | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 52,875 | 05£'9 | 12 | |
|---------------|---|---|------|-------------|----|----|--------|--------|----|------|
| Chingleput | : | : | - | Н | 7 | 7 | 46,237 | 19,366 | 42 | |
| Truvallur | ì | : | - | _ | ~ | m | 57,029 | 21,033 | 37 | |
| Ranipet | : | | = | _ | ĸ | *4 | 53,632 | 10,370 | 19 | |
| Truvannamalar | | : | | _ | 60 | 4 | 67,861 | 16,705 | 25 | |
| Tindivanam | : | • | - | | m | 4 | 63,485 | 19,500 | 31 | Å |
| Chidambaram | ٠ | ; | yand | | æ | ↔ | 68,713 | 19,947 | 29 | 1ppe |
| Tirukkoyilur | : | : | | herek | 73 | 2 | 75,874 | 22,986 | 30 | ndu |
| Tanjore | ; | | | - | | | 78,874 | 14,718 | 19 | ι X |
| Mannargudi | : | • | - | t | m | ধ | 45,283 | 11,767 | 26 | IV. |
| Arryalur | | | - | - | ~ | ۳, | 85,125 | 16,077 | 19 | |
| Palni | | | had | , terri | | 7 | 60,453 | 11,400 | 19 | |
| Sattur | - | | - | | εì | 73 | 58,648 | 6,843 | 12 | |
| Kollpatti | | | | Tarrel | 61 | m | 59,101 | 12,526 | 21 | |
| Pollach | | | | | 2 | 4 | 39,239 | 12,919 | 32 | |
| Namakal | | | 744 | _ | 7 | 2 | 43,437 | 14,561 | 34 | |
| Coondapur . | ٠ | | - | **** | 7 | æ | 35,679 | 8,843 | 25 | 3 |
| Malappuram | * | * | - | | 2 | ۲, | 47,299 | 10,355 | 22 | 41 |

| | | 4 | Appendi: | x XI | ν | | | |
|---|---|------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| rs in the | Percentage of Scheduled Caste Voters to General | ∞ | 16 | 75 | ∞ గు చ్ ం | 20 20 | 19.5 | 18 8 18 8 18 |
| Total Number of Voters in the Constitueucy | Scheduled Castes | ! - | 9,880 | 10,486 6,231 | 3,929 7,263 4,814 814 8,814 | 8,881 7,206 | 6,741 | 7,525 4,804 3,961 |
| | General | 9 | 61,831 | 71,100 | 51,711 42,003 36,065 56,733 | 43,147 | 36,210 | 42,301 59,490 21,908 |
| Total Number of Condidates | For Scheduled Caste Seat | ĸ | 4 | <u>ო</u> —- | 4 w w 4 | - 884 | - - | 4mm |
| Total Number Condidates | For General Seat | 4 | 9 | ক ক ১ | 0 m 4 t | -4n | . 9 9 | 41~ 00 |
| Total Number of Seats in the Constituency | For Scheduled Castes | т | 1 | | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| | For General | 2 | 2 | c) m c | n €1 €1 €1 | m 19 m | 0.60 | ™⇔m |
| Name of Constituency | | 1 | Bombay City North and Bombay Suburban District Bombay City (Byculla and | Farel Kaira District Surat District | Thana, South Ahmednagar South East Khandesh, East | Nasık, West Poona, West Satara, North | Sholapur, North East Belgaum, North | Elabur, North Kolaba District Ratnagiri, North |

| | | | Appendix XIV | 343 |
|--------------------|---|------|--|--|
| | Percentage of Scheduled Caste Voters to General | ∞ | 4224225258888782489858 | 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 |
| | Schednled Castes | , | 4,88,82,82,52,52,52,53,68,83,68,68,68,68,68,68,68,68,68,68,68,68,68, | 29,745 77,946 37,895 37,175 |
| | General | 9 | 35,234 14,817 15,968 15,968 15,968 15,968 16,123 16,133 16 | 42,247 63,532 23,477 65,898 |
| - | For Scheduled Caste Seat | ĸ | www.40/4www.44.61/04.1/04.1 | ·4×44 |
| | For General Seat | 4 | 990-901-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-01-0 | letel-w |
| | For Scheduled Castes | ca . | | |
|) ! | For General | 2 | | |
| ASSESSED OF TO A R | | - | Burdwan, Central Burdwan, North West Burdwan, North West Burkura West Midnapur, Central Jbarga am-cum-Ghatal Hoghly, North Fast 24-Parganas, South East 24-Parganas, North West Narla Murshidabad Jessole Khuina Malda Malda Danapur Jeshaguri-cum-Shiguri Ranggur Bagra-cum-Paban Bagra-cum-Paban Bagra-cum-Paban Bagra-cum-Paban | Mymensingh, East Faridpur Bakargani, South West Tippera |

Appendix XIV

| | | A | Ippendix XIV |
|---|---|-------------|---|
| rs in the | Percentage of Scheduled Caste Voters to General | × | 8888444558881881884828 |
| Total Number of Voters in the Constitueucy | Scheduled Caster | | 6,821 6,621 6,103 6,103 6,103 6,103 6,103 10,356 10 |
| Total N | General | Q. | 22, 22, 22, 23, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24 |
| Total Number of Constituency | For Scheduled Caste Seat | S | はよななならみなすなまなまる しまらでます |
| Total No Consti | For General Seat | ₹* | พ44±4444444444444444444444444444444444 |
| Total Number of Seats in the Constituency | For Scheduled Castes | 6 | مسر يسم پسر پسر بسر بسر دسر بسر جسر جسر جسر جسر جسر جسر بسر جسر بسر جسر بسر جسر بسر |
| Total No Seats Consti | For General | 2 | تست المسل المسل المسل المسل المسل المسل المسل المسل المسل المسل المسل المسل المسل المسل المسل |
| Name of Constituency | | | .:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::: |
| | | - -4 | Lucknow City Cawpore City Agra City Allahabad City Saharanpur District Bulandshalir District Manpuri District Mirzapur District Mirzapur District Gorakhpur District Azamgarh District Azamgarh District Strangarh District Azamgarh District Aramgarh District Aramgarh District Aramgarh District Aramgarh District Stapur District Stapur District Stapur District Stapur District Barabanki District Gonda District Barabanki District |

| | Ap_I | pendi | $x \lambda$ | KIV | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Percentage of Scheduled Caste Voters to General | ∞ | 01 | 12 | 87 | 42 | 114 | 99 | દ્ધ | 7.7 |
| Scheduled Castes | 1 | 2,842 | 2,698 | 7,611 | 11,701 | 14,744 | 12,299 | 5,374 | 3,805 |
| General | 9 | 27,177 | 23,224 | 26,918 | 7,589 | 12,967 | 20,334 | 21,610 | 13,908 |
| For Scheduled Caste Seat | رب. | - | m | 33 | 4 | 4 | 4 | ***1 | ~ |
| For General Seat | 4 | য | 4 | ν ₀ | 61 | 64 | 4 | 64 | CI. |
| For Scheduled Castes | E. | 1 | | | | 7-4 | - | 7-1 | |
| For General | 2 | 1 | - | | **** | **** | | | |
| | | : | • | • | ; | | • | : | : |
| | | South East Gurgaon | Karnal, North | Ambala and Simla | Hoshiarpur, West | Jollundur | Ludhiana and Ferozepur | Amritsar and Sialkot | Lyallpur and Jhang |
| | | Sou | Kar | Am | Hos | Jul | Lud | Am | Lya |

Appendix XIV

| | | ilppoisson in i |
|---|---|---|
| ers in the | Percentage of Scheduled Caste Voters to General | 588 22 22 23 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 |
| Total Nunber of Voters in the Constituency | Scheduled Castes | 2,944 6,606 7,060 7,060 3,361 2,382 2,018 2,739 6,739 6,174 6,174 6,174 |
| Total 1 | General | 23,966 35,104 28,149 25,149 25,419 22,596 22,189 22,189 38,772 33,670 23,670 22,930 |
| Total Number of Candidates | For Scheduled Caste Seat 5 | 0m0mm mmmm 00m00 |
| Total N Cana | For General Seat 4 | ичи4т ичшчт ичи чи |
| Total Number of Seats in the Constituency | For Scheduled Castes | <u>ചെയ്യത്</u> പ്രൂത്ത് വര്യ്യ് പ്ര |
| Total N Seats Const | For General 2 | कृत्या कर्मा |
| | | |
| Name of Constituency | | East Bihar South Gaya Nawada East Central Shahabad West Gopalgan) North Bettrain Bast Muzaffarpur Sadr Darbhanaga Sadr South East Samastipur South Sadr Monghyr Machipura South West Purnea Giridih-cum-Chatra North East Palamau |

| | Percentage Scheduled of Scheduled Caster Caste Voters | 80 | 8,574 24 3,688 3,688 3,688 1,519 1,519 1,527 1,529 1,527 1,521 1,527 1,527 1,527 1,527 1,527 1,527 1,527 1,527 1,737 1,741 1,7 |
|---------------------|---|-----|--|
| - | Schee | | 8.45.6 8.45.6 8.45.6 8.45.6 8.65.6 |
| | General | 9 | 25,275 27,275 27,275 27,275 27,040 27,140 27 |
| | For Schedulvd Caste Seat | .5. | ヰヰヰヰなななななるのののますヰなのの |
| | For General Seat | 4 | ・ こうきょうきょう からなるのようのうちょう |
| | For Scheduled Castes | æ (| ھنے ہی جس سے جس جس جس جس جس جس جس جس جس جس جس جس جس |
| ļ | For General | 2 | يسو ليسو ليسو ليسو ليسو ليسو ليسو ليسو ل |
| ž | | | ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::: |
| friedling to dinner | | Ī | Nagpur City Nagpur-Unret Hinganghat-Wardha Chanda-Brahmapuri Chhindwara-Sausar Jubbulpore Patan Saugor-Khurai Dannoh Hatta Nar singhpur-Gadarwara Rarpur Baloda Bazar Runur Buloda Bazar Runur Buloda Bazar Ahungeli Jangir Citte Chingan Citte Chingan Citte Chingan Citte Chingan Citte Chingan Citte Childhara-Sakoh |

Appendix XIV

| | | | zippen | | 23, 2 7 | | | | |
|---|---|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| rs in the | Percentage of Scheduled Caste Voters to General | ∞ | 7 | 14 | ٧. | 41 | 8 | 76 | 10 |
| Total Number of Voters in the Constituency | Sche duled Caster | 1 | 1,203 | 1,825 | 657 | 6,502 | 7,615 | 7,323 | 1,587 |
| Total Nu | General | 9 | 17,501 | 13,173 | 12,785 | 15,907 | 12,628 | 9,611 | 15,459 |
| Total Number of Candidates | For Scheduled Caste Seat | ĸ | 4 | 4 | 2 | 7-1 | ers. | 2 | m |
| Total Ne Cana | For General Seat | 4 | 2 | e | 9 | 73 | ൻ | 4 | 8 |
| Total Number of Seats in the Constituency | For Scheduled Castes | m | | (| - | | - | H | - |
| Total Number Seats in the Constituency | For General | | 7 | - | | | - | *= | ₩ |
| Name of Constituency | • | | Kamrup Sadr (South), General | Nowgong (North East), General | Jorhat (North), General | Sunamganj, General | Habiganj (North), General | Karımganı (East), General | Silchar, General |

| | | For General | For Scheduled Custes | ಕ್ ` | Sch | General | Scheduled Castes | Scheduled of Scheduled Castes Caste Voters to General |
|---|--|----------------|----------------------------|------|--------|---------|---------------------|---|
| 1 | 1 | [5] | | 4 | [5] | (e) | [7] | [8] |
| North Cuttack Sadr | | H | 7 | ¢1 | ы | 17,288 | 4.150 | 70 |
| East Japur | • | | , | es | 73 | 15.338 | 000 7 | ţ ; |
| North Puri Sadr | ; | ~~ | , 4 | 4 | 2 | 13.803 | 000 F | T. ; |
| East Bargarh | : | | - | es | l 1994 | 22.849 | 23,182 | 23 |
| West Bhadrak | : | - | Ħ | 7 | m | 16,187 | 5,152 | c 6 |
| Aska-Surada | | - | | C1 | 4 | 24,914 | 1,475 | 1 0 |
| *************************************** | ************************************** | - | | | | - 11 | | , |

APPENDIX XV

Particulars regarding Election to seats reserved for Schedule Castes Province by Province.

| Appel div | XV | (1) Madias |
|------------|----|------------------|
| ,, | >> | (2) Bombay |
| 25 | ,, | (3) Begnal |
| ,, | ,, | (4) U P |
| ,, | ,, | (5) Punjab |
| 29 | ,, | (6) Bihar |
| , | 19 | (7) C. P & Berar |
| 7 , | ,, | (8) Assam |
| ** | , | (9) Orissa |
| | | |

N. B.—All the figures except those in column 8 are actuals, those in column 8 are calculated as actuals are not obtainable. They are calculated on the assumption that the precentage of Scheduled Caste Voters and of Hindu Voters who went to the polls was the same. How far the assumption is justified, it is not possible to say.

4

| Madras City (South | | | Central) Unopposed | Non-Congress | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | |
|--------------------|---|---|----------------------|--------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Chicacole | , | | Contested | Congress | 2,380 | 5,259 | 7,039 | 4,036 | 6,416 | |
| Amalapuram | | | Contested | Congress | 9,742 | N | 9,742 | 5,523 | 29,111 | 4 |
| Cocanada | • | : | Unopposed | Congress | | 1 | f | 1 | 1 | App |
| Ellore | : | | Contested | Congress | 7,532 | 962.9 | 14,128 | 1,848 | 12,380 | e di |
| Bandar | ; | : | Contested | Congress | 9,935 | Z | 9,935 | 2,004 | 18,393 | ix I |
| Ongole | | : | Contested | Congress | 6,513 | ī | 6,513 | 3,807 | 11,973 | KV |
| Gadur | | | Contested | Congress | 4,291 | 344 | 4,637 | 4,778 | 9,072 | |
| Cuddappah | • | | Contested | Congress | 8,284 | 344 | 8,628 | 4,047 | 12,331 | |
| Penukonda | | | Contested | Congress | 4,731 | Z | 4,731 | 1,749 | 9,801 | |
| Rellary | * | : | . Contested | Congress | 4,019 | Ī | 4,019 | 910 | 421's | |
| Kurnool | ٠ | | Contested | Congress | 5,362 | ž | 5,362 | 6,6,5 | 13 164 | |
| Thruttani | : | • | . Contested | Congress | 4,966 | Z | 4,966 | 141 | 8,128 | |
| Chingleput | : | • | Contested | Non-Congress | 12,366 | Ī | 17,300 | 011,0 | 22,852 | 3 |
| T muvallur | - | * | . Contested | Congress | 3,107 | 6,216 | 9,323 | 14,140 | 17,247 | 51 |

Appendix XV

| Rampet | | Contested | Contested Non-Congress | 7,303 | ä. | 4,707 | 2 124 | - 1. |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Tiruvannamalai | : | Contest ed | Congress | 3,342 | Z | 3,342 | 4,938 | 12,696 |
| Tindivanam | • | Contested | Congress | 968'9 | ž | 6,396 | 2,541 | 12,480 |
| Chidambaram | ; : | Unopposed | Non-Congress | 1 | 1 | ! | } | } |
| Tirukkoyilur | | Contested | Congress | 156'6 | 4,436 | 14,393 | 6,133 | 16,090 |
| Tanjore | | Unopposed | Congress | i | 20,494 | 1 | ļ | 1 |
| Mannargudi | : | Contested | Congress | 2,294 | 10,084 | 22,788 | 8,296 | 10,590 |
| Ariyalur . | | Contested | Congress | 1,208 | 29,436 | 11,292 | 8,759 | 296'6 |
| Pain. | : | Contested | Congress | 1,469 | 18,514 | 30,905 | 10,615 | 12,084 |
| Sattur , | ٠ | Contested | Congress | Ž | 6,284 | 18,514 | 11,894 | 086'9 |
| Koulpatti . | : | Contested | Congress | 4,199 | īž | 10,483 | 811 | 5,010 |
| Pollachi | : | Contested | Congress | 9,703 | 8,153 | 9,703 | 2,217 | 15 244 |
| Namakai | : | Contested | Congress | 8,141 | Nii | 16,294 | 3,217 | 11,358 |
| Coondapur | ٠ | Contested | Congress | 1,425 | Nil | 1,425 | 1,798 | 11,673 |
| Malappuram | • | Contested | Congress | 7,154 | | 7,154 | 2,606 | 10,148 |
| Total of Scheduled - Caste Votes secured by Congress Candidates | uled - Caste by Congress | | | 126,152 | | | Total | 321,616 |

| | | | | | | A | ppe | ndıx | c X | V | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----|------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| Scheduled- | Caste Votes Polled | œ | 17,918 | 9,388 | 18,876 | 816,0 | 16,124 | 11,305 | 11,764 | 14,380 | 27,72 | 20,987 | 20,957 | 10,522 | 32,134 | 78,887 |
| Ages cemal | Unsuccessful Candidates | 7 | 2,728 | 2,506 | 848 | 1,838 | 1,733 | 693 | 1,613 | 5,986 | 745 | 2,361 | 8,967 | 2,129 | 11,936 | 20,307 |
| Distribution of yotes rother by Successful Canadate | Total | 9 | 2,383 | 2,332 | 4,832 | 109'6 | 1,851 | 1,171 | 1,638 | 10,375 | 7,289 | 14,964 | 5,219 | 2,529 | 20,213 | 16,575 |
| on of vore | Hmdu Votes | 'n | N. | Ī | Z Z | 4,501 | Ž | ž | N ₁ | Na | Z | ŢZ | Z | T'Z | 15 | ZZ |
| Distribute | Scheduled Casre Votes | 4 | 2,383 | 2,332 | 4,833 | 5,100 | 158'1 | 1,171 | 1,638 | 10,373 | 7,289 | 14,964 | 5,219 | 2,529 | 20,198 | 16,575 \ 32,662 \ |
| Pariv Ticket | of Successful Candidate | m. | Non-Congress | Non-Congress | Non-Congress | Congress | Non-Congress | Congress | Congress | Non-Congress | Non-Congress | Congress | Non-Congress | Non-Congress | Congress | Congress Non-Congress |
| Contested or | Unconfested | 2 | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | . Contested | Contested |
| | vame of Constituency | | Burdwan, Cential | Burdwan, North West . | Birblum | Bankura, West | Midnapur, Centrai | Jhangram-cum-Ghatai | Hoogly, North East | Howarah | 24-Parganas, South East | 24-Pargands, North West | Nadia | Murshidabad | Jessore . | Khulna |

| | | | | | ΑĮ | pen | dıx | XV | | | | | 35 |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---|--|--|
| 21,364 | II | 49,191 | 53,632 | 27,054 | 30,869 | 21,025 | 31,530 | 92,608 | 26,526 | 29,673 | 648,443 | April and a Copy of the Land Copy of the | |
| 1,413 | !! | 19,513 | 17,345 | 9,920 | 12,062 | 8,987 | 16,509 | 87,699 | 18,801 | 8,017 | Total | 684,443 59,646 | 624,797 |
| 2,229 | ۱ ۱ | $\frac{16,244}{7,264}$ | 11,97 | 10,502 | 17,413 | 11,822 | 10,720 | 27,342 25,924 | 10,515 | 19,388 | | | 624 |
| Nai | | ZZZ | Z | ïZ | īZ | Ä | N. | ZZ | ź | Z | | s Candida | andidates |
| 2,229 | | 16,244 } | 11,914 | 10,502 | 17,413 | 11,822 | 10,720 | 27,342 5 | 10,515 | 19,388 | 59,664 | d by Congres | 4-Congress C |
| Non-Congress | Non-Congress | Non-Congress Non-Congress | Non-Congress | Non-Congress | Non-Congress | Non-Congress | Non-Congress | Non-Congress Non-Congress | Non-Congress | Non-Congress | u | Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes secured by Congress Candidates | Scheduled-Caste Votes secured by Non-Congress Candidates |
| Contested | Uno pposed | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contested | Contes ted | | Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes | uled-Caste Vo |
| Malda | Dinajpur . | Jaipaiguri-cum-Saliguri | Rangpur | Bogia-cum-Pabna | Daca, East | Mymensingh, West | Mymensingh, East | Faridpur | Bukanganj, South West | Tiprera . | Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes secured by Congress Candidates | Fotal (Total C | Sched |

Appendix XV

| | | Appenux Af | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|--|---|
| Polled | 0 0 | 5,784 4,156 4,156 6,228 6,228 6,748 6,748 6,748 7,256 7,256 12,428 13,458 18,458 13,458 | |
| Unsuccessy us Candidates | 7 | lote lote | 8 2 |
| Total | 9 | 4,9,2,9,6,4,4,2,3,4,4,6,4,6,4,6,4,6,4,6,4,6,4,6,4,6,4,6 | 79,571 |
| Scheduled- Caste Votes Hindu Votes | Ş | 2,327 100,4,4,389 100,2,38,285 100,2,38,29 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,2,38,28 100,28 10 | es idates , |
| Scheduled. Caste Votes | 4 | 1,910 4,483 1,018 1,018 3,252 3,252 3,252 1,851 2,762 2,762 949 6,026 52,609 | ess Candidati ongress Cand |
| of Successful | 3 | Contested Congress 1,910 Contested Congress 1,018 Contested Congress 3,252 Contested Congress 3,252 Contested Congress 3,853 Contested Congress 1,557 Contested Congress 2,317 Contested Congress 1,557 Contested Congress 2,762 Unopposed Congress 2,762 Unopposed Congress 949 Unopposed Non-Congress 949 Contested Congress 949 Unopposed Non-Congress 5,771 Contested Congress 5,771 Unopposed Non-Congress 5,771 Contested Congress 5,771 Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes | Scheduled-Caste Votes secured by Congress Candidates Scheduled-Caste Votes secured by Non-Congress Candidates |
| Contestea or Uncontested | - 21 | Contested | aste Votes se |
| Name of Constituency | | Lucknow City Cawdone Agra City Allahabad City Saharandur District Bulandshahar District Muragur District Muragur District Gorakhpur District Azamgah District Aramgah District Gorakhpur District Aramgah District Azamgah District Azamgah District Azamgah District Azamgah District Azamgah District Aramgah District Aramgah District Sitabut District Gonda District Gond | Scheduled-C |

| | | | | 1 | ļ | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------|------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| South East Gurgaon | . Unopposed | Unopposed Non-Congress | j | ļ | 1 | ! | 1 |
| Karnal, North | Contested | Non-Congress | 3,318 | Ž | 3,318 | 1,299 | 3,777 |
| Ambala and Simia | Contested | Non-Congress | 5,237 | Z | 5,237 | 4,911 | 10,960 |
| Hoshiarpur, West | Contested | Non-Congress | 8,592 | Ž | 8,599 | 14,640 | 11,701 |
| : | . Contested | Non-Congress | 13,135 | ξ | 13,135 | 9,176 | 20,347 |
| Ludhiana and Ferozepur | Contested | Non-Congress | 7,258 | Z | 7,258 | 6,024 | 16,481 |
| Amritser and Sialkot | Unopposec | Unopposed Non-Congress | ı | l | } | ! | |
| Lyallpur and Jhang | Contested | Contested Non-Congress | 2,903 | īž | 2,903 | 2,143 | 5,860 |
| | | of Charges - No. | | | | Total | 69,126 |
| Total of Total of | f Scheduled-Ca f Scheduled-Ca | Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes secured by Congress Candidates | by Congress | Candidates | 69,126 Na | 26 | |
| Schedul | led-Caste Votes | Scheduled-Caste Votes accured by Non-Congress Candidates | Congress Ca | ndidates | 69,126 | 26 | |

APPENDIX XV (6) BIHAR

| | | AFFENDIA | AFFENDIA AV (O) BIBAR | SAK | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Contacted or | Party Ticket | Distribute Succe | Distribution of Votes Polled by Successful Candidate | 1 | Votes Polled | Total |
| Name of Constituency | Uncontested | of Successful Condidate | Scheduled- Caste Votes | Scheduled- Hindu Votes | Tosal | Unsuccessful Condidates | Scheduled: Caste Votes |
| r=1 | N | m | 4 | v | 9 | 7 | & |
| East Bihar | Contested | Non-Congress | 2,471 | Z.Z. | 2,471 | 519 | 5,443 |
| South Gaya Nawada | Unopposed Contested | Congress | 3,079 | Į≅ | 3,079 | 1,629 | 10,449 |
| East Central Shahabad | Unopposed | ' | j | } | : | • | |
| West Gopalganj | Unopposed | | 1 | <u></u> | • | | • |
| North Bettrah | Unopposed | Congress | 1 |] | ٠ | • | |
| Hast Muzaffarpuı Sadr | Unopposed | - | 1 |] | | | |
| Darbhanaga Sadr | Unopposed | _ | 1 |] | | | |
| South East Samastipur | Unopposed | - | 1 | 1 | - | | |
| South Sadi Monghyr | Unopposed | | 1 |] | | | • ; |
| Madhipura | Contested | _ | 70 | 1,688 | 1,758 | 1,700 | 1,770 |
| South West Purnea | Contested | Congress | 2,040 | 2,878 | 4,918 | 1,669 | 3,709 |
| Giridih-cum-Chatra | Unopposed | Congress | j |] | | • | |
| North East Palamau | Contested | Congress | 3,465 | 3,419 | 6,884 | 979 | 4,091 |
| Central Manbhum | Contested | Non-Congress | 2,539 | ž | 2,539 | 1,973 | 5,379 |
| Total of Scheduled Caste Votes secured by Congress Candidates | | | 8,654 | | | Total. | 30,841 |

| appen ix X | | 0 /2 |
|---|---|---|
| 9,088 6,723 2,964 2,964 2,590 1,671 1,571 1,503 1,503 1,781 10,309 1,329 3,232 3,232 3,233 | 134,861 | |
| 3,787 3,093 1,764 1,764 1,198 1,198 1,447 1,655 1,655 1,726 1,726 1,726 1,726 1,726 1,726 1,726 | Total | 134,861 19,507 115,354 |
| 2,796 2,796 2,133 2,133 2,133 2,133 2,133 2,136 | | . , va |
| ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ | | s Candidate |
| 7,796 2,667 1,477 1,477 1,906 1,906 1,906 1,823 1,150 1,697 1,150 1,697 | 19,507 | ed by Congres on-Congress C |
| Non Congress Non-Congress Non-Congress Non-Congress Congress Congress Congress Congress Non-Congress | | Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes Congress Candidates Scheduled-Caste Votes secured by Congress Candidates Scheduled- Caste Votes secured by Non-Congress Candidates |
| Contested | | Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes Fotal of Scheduled-Caste Votes Scheduled- Caste Votes secured |
| Nagpur City Nagpur-Umrer Hinganghat-Wardha Chanda-Brahmapur Chhindwara-Sausar Jubbulpore Patan Saugor-Khurai Damoh Hatta Narsughpur-Gadarwara Baloda Bazar Baloda Bazar Mungeli Jangir Drug Bhandara-Sakoli Ellichpur-Daryapur-Melghat Akola-Balapur Yeotmal-Darwha | Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes secured by Congress Candidates | Total of Total of Schedui |

Appendu

| | | | | A_{j} | ppen | dıx | XV | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Toal Schodulad | Caste Votes | •• | 1,841 | 2,226 | 828 | l | 10,356 | 10,252 | 2,254 | 27,757 |
| Votes Polled | Unsuccessful Gandidates | 7 | 3,665 | 3,045 | 371 | ľ | 4 397 | 1,119 | 2,197 | Total |
| lled by ate | Total | 9 | 4,832 | 1,596 | 952 | 1 | 4,863 | 3.252 | 2,108 | |
| Distribution of Votes Polled by Successful Candidate | Hındu Votes | ×٥ | 4,823 | Z | 495 | } | $f Z_{11}$ | Z | N ₁₁ | |
| Distribut.o Succ | Scheduled- Caste Voter | 44 | Ē | 1,596 | 457 | 1 | 4,863 | 3,252 | 2,108 | 5,320 |
| Party Ticket | of Successful Candidate | 60 | Congress | Non-Congress | Congress | Congress | Congress | Non-Congress | Non-Congress | |
| Conversed to | Uncontested | 2 | Contested | Cantested | Contested | Unopposed | Contested | Contested | Contested | |
| ; | Name of Constituency | | Kamrup Sadr (South), General | Nowgong (North East), General | Jorhat (North), General | Sunamgan, General | Habiganj (North), General | Karımganı (East), General | Silchar, General | Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | _ | | |
|--|--|---|--------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|
| North Cuttack Sadr | Unopposed | Unopposed Non-Congress | <u> </u> | 1 | | j | 7 |
| East Japur | Contested | Congress | 958 | Z | 958 | 517 | 4,808 |
| North Pun Sadi | Contested | Congress | 1,416 | 602 | 4,018 | 339 | 3,755 |
| East Bargaili | Unopposed | Non-Congress | 1 | t | | } | į |
| West Bhadrak | Contested | Congress | 1,564 | īž | 1,504 | 734 | 5,049 |
| Aska-Surada | Contested | Congress | Ž | 716 | 917 | 1,402 | 973 |
| Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes secured by Congress Candidates | | | 5,878 | | | Total | 14,585 |
| Total of S Total of S | Total of Scheduled Caste Votes Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes | Total of Scheduled Caste Votes Total of Scheduled-Caste Votes secured by Congress Candidates | by Congress | Candidates | 14.585 | 885 878 | |
| Scheduled | I Caste Votes | Scheduled Caste Votes secured by Non-Congress Candidates | Congress Cau | odidates. | 00 | 8,707 | |

APPENDIX XVI

THE WAVELL PLAN

- (i) White Paper presented to Parliament on 14th June 1945 by the Secretary of State for India, containing His Majisty's Government's Proposal relating to the Government of India.
- 1. During the recent visit of Field-Marshal Viscount Wavell to this country, His Majesty's Government reviewed with him a number of problems and discussed particularly the present political situation in India.
- 2. Members will be aware that since the offer by His Majesty's Government to India in March 1942, there has been no further progress towards the solution of the Indian constitutional problem
- 3. As was then stated, the Working out of India's new constitutional system is a task which can only be carried through by the Indian peoples themselves.
- 4. While His Majesty's Government are at all times most anxious to do their utmost to assist the Indians in the working out of a new constitutional settlement, it would be a contradiction in terms to speak of the imposition by this country of self-governing institutions upon an unwilling India. Such a thing is not possible, nor could we accept the responsibility for enforcing such institutions at the very time when we were, by its purpose, withdrawing from all control of British Indian affairs.
- 5. The main constitutional position remains therefore as it was. The offer of March 1942 stands in its entirety without change or qualification. His Majesty's Government still hope that the political leaders in India may be able to come to an agreement as to the procedure whereby India's permanent future form of Government can be determined.
- 6. His Majesty's Government are, however, most anxious to make any contribution that is practicable to the breaking of the political deadlock in India. While that deadlock lasts, not only political but social and economic progress is being hampered.
- 7. The Indian administration, over-burdened with the great tasks laid upon it by the war against Japan and by the planning for the post-war period, is further strained by the political tension that exists.
- 8. All that is so urgently required to be done for agricultural and industrial development and for the peasants and workets of

India cannot be carried through unless the whole-hearted cooperation of every community and section of the Indian people is forthcoming.

- 9. His Majesty's Government have therefore considered whether their is something which they could suggest in this interim period, under the existing constitution, pending the formulation by Indians of their future constitutional arrangements, which would enable the main communities and parties to co-operate more closely together and with the Britis's to the benefit of the people of India as a whole.
- 10. It is not the intention of His Mejesty's Government to introduce any change contrary to the wishes of the major Indian communities. But they are willing to make possible some step forward during the interim period if the leaders of the principal Indian parties are prepared to agree to their suggestions and to co-operate in the successful conclusion of the war against Japan as well as in the reconstruction in India which must follow the final victory.
- 11. To this end they would be prepared to see an important change in the composition of the Viceroy's Executive. This is possible without making any change in the existing statute law except for one amendment to the Ninth Schedule to the Act of 1935. That Schedule contains a provision that not less than three members of the Executive must have had at least 10 years' service under the Crown in India. If the proposals I am about to lay before the House meet with acceptance in India, that clause would have to be amended to dispense with that requirement.
- 12. It is proposed that the Executive Council should be reconstituted and that the Viceroy should in future make his selection for nomination to the Crown for appointment to his Executive from amongst leaders of Indian political life at the Centre and in the Provinces, in proportions which would give a balanced representation of the main communities, including equal proportions of Moslems and Caste Hindus.
- 13. In order to pursue this object, the Viceroy will call into conference a number of leading Indian politicians who are the heads of the most important parties or who have had recent experience as Prime Ministers of Provinces, together with a few others of special experience and authority. The Viceroy intends to put before this conference the proposal that the Executive Council should be reconstituted as above stated and to invite from the members of the conference a list of names. Our of these he would hope to be able to choose the future members whom he

would recommend for appointment by His Majesty to the Viceeroy's Council, although the responsibility for the recommendations must of course continue to rest with him, and his freedom of choice therefore remains unrestricted.

- 14. The members of his Council who are chosen as a result of this arrangement would of course accept the position on the basis that they would whole-heartedly co-operate in supporting and carrying through the war against Japan to its victorious conclusion.
- 15. The members of the Executive would be Indians with the exception of the Viceroy and the Commander-in-Chief, who would retain his position as war Member This is essential so long as the defence of India remains a British responsibility.
- 16. Nothing contained in any of these proposals will affect the relations of the Crown with the Indian States through the Viceroy as Crown Representative.
- 17. The Viceroy has been authorised by His Majesty's Government to place this proposal before the Indian Leaders. His Majesty's Government trust that the leaders of the Indian communities will respond. The success of such a plan must depend upon its acceptance in India and the degree to which responsible Indian politicians are prepard to co-operate with the object of making it a workable in a rangement. In the absence of such general acceptance existing arrangements must necessarily continue
- 18. If such co-operation can be achieved at the Centre, it will no doubt be reflected in the Provinces and so enable responsible Governments to be set up once again in those provinces where, owing to the withdrawal of the majority party from participation, it became necessary to put into force the powers of the Governors under Section 93 of the Act of 1935. It is to be hoped that in all the Provinces these Gavernments would be based on the participation of the main parties, thus smoothing out communal differences and allowing Ministers to concentrate upon their very heavy administrative tasks.
- 19. There is one further change which, if these proposals are accepted, His Majesty's Government suggest, should follow.
- 20. That is, that External Affairs (other then those tribal and frontier matters which fall to be dealt with as part of the defence of India) should be placed in he charge of an Indian Member of the Viceroy's Executive so far as British India is concerned, and that fully accredited representatives shall be appointed for the representation of Indians abroad.

- 21. By their accepatance of and co-operation in this scheme the Indian leaders will not only be able to make their immediate contribution to the direction of Indian affairs, but it is also to be hoped that their experience of co-operation in government will expedite agreement between them as to the method of working out the new constitutional arrangements.
- 22. His Majesty's Government consider, after the most careful study of the question, that the plan now suggested gives the utmost progress practicable within the present constitution. None of the changes suggested will in any way prejudice or prejudge the essential form of the future permanent constitution or constitutions for India.
- 23. His Majesty's Government feel certain that given good-will and a genuine desire to co-operate on all sides,' both British and Indian, these proposals can mark a genuine step forward in the collaboration of the British and Indian peoples towards Indian self-government and can assert the rightful position, and strengthen the influence of India in the councils of the nations.

(ii) Broadcast Speech by His Excellency the Viceroy at New Delhi on 14th June 1945.

I have been authorised by His Majesty's Government to place before Indian political leaders proposals designed to ease the present political situation and to advance India towards her goal of full self-government. These proposals are at the present moment being explained to Parliament by the Secretary of State for India My intention in this broadcast is to explain to you the proposals, the ideas underlying them, and the method by which I hope to put them into effect.

This is not an attempt to obtain or impose a constitutional settlement. His Majesty's Government had hoped that the leaders of the Indian parties would agree amongst themselves on a settlement of the communal issue, which is the main stumbling-block, but this hope has not been fulfilled.

In the mentime, India has great opportunities to be taken and great problems to be solved, which require a common effort by the leading men of all parties. I therefore propose, with the full support of His Majesty's Government, to invite Indian leaders both of Central and Provincial politics to take counsel with me with a view to the formation of a new Executive Council more representative of organised political opinion. The proposed new Council would represent the main communities and would include equal proportions of Caste Hindus and Moslems. It would work, if formed, under the existing Constitution. But it

would be an entirely Indian Council, except for the Viceroy and the Commander-in-Chief, who would retain his position as War Member. It is also proposed that the portfolio of External Affairs, which has hitherto been held by the Viceroy, should be placed in charge of an Indian Member of Council, so far as the interests of British India are concerned.

A further step proposed by His Majesty's Government is the appointment of a British High Commissioner in India, as in the Dominions, to represent Great Britain's Commercial and other such interests in India.

Such a new Executive Council will, you realise, represent a definite advance on the road to self-government. It will be almost entirely Indian, and the Finance and Home Members will for the first time be Indians, while an Indian will also be charged with the management of India's Foreign Affairs. Moreover, Members will now be selected by the Governor-General after consultation with political leaders; though their appointment will of course be subject to the approval of His Majesty the King-Emperor.

The Council will work within the framework of the present constitution; and there can be no question of the Governor-General agreeing not to exercise his constitutional power of control; but it will of course not be exercised unreasonably.

I should make it clear that the formation of this interim Government will in no way prejudice the final constitutional settlement.

The main tasks for this New Executive Council would be.

First, to prosecute the war against Japan with the utmost energy till Japan is utterly defeated.

Secondly, to carry on the Government of British India, with all the manifold tasks of post-war development in front of it, until a new permanent constitution can be agreed upon and come into force.

Thirdly, to consider, when the Members of the Government think it possible, the means by which such agreement can be achieved.

The third task is most important. I want to make it quite clear that neither I nor His Majesty's Government have lost sight of the need for a long-term solution, and that the present proposals are intended to make a long-term solution easier

I have considered the best means of forming such a Council, and have decided to invite the following to Viceregal Lodge to advise me

Those now holding office as Premier in a Provincial Government, or, for Provinces now under Section 93 Government, those who last held the office of Premier.

The Leader of the Congress Party and the Deputy Leader of the Muslim League in the Central Assembly, the leader of the Congress Party and the Muslim League in the Council of State; also the leaders of the Nationalist Party and the European Group in the Assembly

Mr Gandhi and Mr. Jinnah as the recognised leaders of the two main political parties.

Rao Bahadur N Siva Raj to represent the Scheduled Classes.

Master Tara Singh to represent the Sikhs.

Invitations to these gentlemen are being handed to them today and it is proposed to assemble the Conference on 25 th June at Simla where we shall be cooler than at Delhi.

I trust that all those invited will attend the Conference and give me their help. On me and on them will lie a heavy responsibility in this fresh attempt to make progress towards a final settlement of India's future.

If the meeting is successful, I hope that we shall be able to agree on the formation of the new Executive Council at the Centre-I also hope that it will be possible for Ministries to re-assume office and again undertake the tasks of government in the Provinces now administered under Section 93 of the Constitution Act and that these Ministries will be coalitions.

If the meeting should unfortunately fail, we must carry on as at present until the parties are ready to come together. The existing Executive Council, which has done such valuable work for India, will continue it if other arrangements cannot be agreed.

But I have every hope that the meeting will succeed, if the party leaders will approach the problem with the sincere intention of working with me and with each other. I can assure them that there is behind this proposal a most genuine desire on the part of all responsible leaders in the United Kingdom and of the British people as a whole to help India towards her goal. I believe that this is more than a step towards that goal, it is a considerable stride forward, and a stride on the right path.

I should make it clear that these proposals affect British India only and do not make any alteration in the relations of the Princes with the Crown Representative.

With the approval of His Majesty's Government, and after consultation with my Council, orders have been given for the immediate release of the members of the Working Committee of Congress who are still in detention. I propose to leave the final decision about the others still under detention as the result of the 1942 disturbances to the new Central Government, if formed, and to the Provincia Governments.

The appropriate time for fresh elections for the Central and Provincial Legislatures will be discussed at the Conference.

Finally, I would ask you all to help in creating the atmosphere of goodwill and mutual confidence that is essential if we are to make progress. The destiny of this great country and of the many millions who live in it depends on the wisdom and good understanding of the leaders, both of action and of thought, British and Indian, at this critical moment of India's history.

India's military reputation never stood higher in the world than it does at present; thanks to the exploits of her sons drawn from all parts of the country. Her representativas at International conferences have won high regard for their statesmanlike attitude. Sympathy for India's aspirations and progress towards prosperty was never greater or more widespread. We have thus great assets if we can use them wisely. But it will not be easy, it will not be quick; there is very much to do, there are many pitfalls and dangers. There is on all sides something to forgive and forget

I believe in the future of India, and as far as it lies with me, I further her greatness. I ask you all for your co-operation and goodwill.

(iii) Mr. Gandhi's Statemens.

As soon as I read the broadcast, I sent a wire to His Excellency the Viceroy drawing his attention to the fact that I have no locus standi as the "recognized representative of the Congress." That function belongs to the President of the Congress or whoever is appointed to represent the Congress on a particular occasion.

For several years, I have acted unofficially as an adviser to the Congress, whenever required. The public will remember that I went with the same unrepresentative character for my talks with the Qaid-e-Azam Jinnah, and I can take up no other position with the British Government, in this instance represented by the Viceroy.

There is one aspect of the Viceregal broadcast which certainly offends my ear and, I hope, will offend that of every politically-minded Hindu. I refer to the expression "caste Hindus" I claim

that there is no such person, speaking politically, as a "Caste Hindu." let alone the Congress which seeks to represent the whole of India which is yearning after political independence. Does Veer Sayarkar or Dr. Shyama Prasad Mookeriee of the Hindu Mahasabha represent caste Hindus? Do they not represent all Hindus without distinction of caste? Do they not include the so-called untouchables? Do they themselves claim to be caste Hindus? I hope not Of all politically-minded Hindus, I know that even the revered Pandit Malaviyaji, though he observes caste distinction, will refuse to be called a caste Hindu, as distinguished from the other Hindus. Modern tendency in Hinduism is to abolish all caste distinctions and this I maintain in spite of my knowledge of reactionary elements in Hindu society. I can only hope, therefore, that the Viceroy has allowed himself to make use of the expression in utter ignorance. I want to acquit him of knowingly wounding the susceptibilities of the Hindu society or dividing it I would not have dwelt on this matter but for the fact that it touches the political mind of Hindus in its sensitive spot and carries with it political repercussions.

The proposed conference can do much useful work if it is put in its proper political setting and is at the very outset rendered immune from any fissiparous tendency. Undoubtedly all invitees might appear as Indians conjointly bent on achieving India's natural goal and not as persons representing several sections of Indian society

That is how I have viewed the Bhulabhai-Liaquat Ali understanding which I suppose laid the foundations for the forthcoming Viceregal conference. Sri Bhulabhai Desai's proposal has no such colouring as the Viceregal broadcast would seem to have I am not ashamed of the part I have played in advising Sri Bhulabhai Desai when he consulted me about his proposal. Sri Bhulabhai Desai's proposal, as I understood it, attracted me as one interested in solving the communal tangle, and I assured him that I would use my influence with the members of the Working Committee and give reasons for acceptance of this proposal and I have no doubt that, if both parties to the proposal correctly represent their constituents and have independence of India as their common goal, things must shape well.

At this point, I must stop and the Working Committee has to take up the issue It is for its members to declare the Congress mind on the impending questions.—A.P.I.